VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	1	-/ (IVI I	<u> I N D E X</u>	
	2	Witnes	ss	Pages
	3	ADAM F	R. FOLTZ	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN				
	4		Examination by Mr. Hassett	
ALVIN BALDUS, CINDY BARBERA, CARLENE BECHEN, RONALD BIENDSEIL, PORTER PROPERTY FOR	5		Examination by Mr. Poland	17/24
RON BOONE, VERA BOONE, ELYIRA BUMPUS, EVANJELINA CLEEREMAN, SHEILA COCHRAN, LESLIE W. DAVIS III, BRETT ECKSTEIN,	6		Examination by Mr. Earle	228/24
MAXINE HOUGH, CLARENCE JOHNSON,	7		Examination by Mr. McLeod	2 4
RICHARD KRESBACH, RICHARD LANGE, GLADYS MANZANET, ROCHELLE MOORE, AMY RISSEEUW, JUDY ROBSON, GLORIA ROGERS,	8			
JEANNE SANCHEZ-BELL, CECELIA SCHLIEPP, and TRAVIS THYSSEN,	9			
Plaintiffs,			<u>E X H I B I T S</u>	
TAMMY BALDWIN, GWENDOLYNNE MOORE, and RONALD KIND,	10	No.	Description	Identifie
Intervenor-Plaintiffs,	11	23	Subpoena	1
v. File No. 11-CV-562	12	2 4	Documents Produced in Response to	
Members of the Wisconsin Government	13	24	Subpoena Issued by Plaintiffs to	
Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity:	14		Adam Foltz	2
MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE,	15	25	Document produced by witness	2
[Caption Continued]	16	26	DVD identified as Adam Foltz	
VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION			Documents Responsive to 12/13/11 Subpoena	9
ADAM R. FOLTZ	17	27	DVD identified as Adam Foltz	
Madison, Wisconsin	18		Statewide Data Base	9 .
December 21, 2011	19	28	Order dated December 8, 2011	
Susan C. Milleville, Court Reporter	20		<pre>(by U.S. District Judge J. P. Stadtmueller)</pre>	9 !
	21	29	Order dated December 20, 2011	
	22		(by U.S. District Judge J. P. Stadtmueller)	9
			o. P. Stadtmueller)	91
	23			
	24 25		(Continued)	
			3	
and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin	1		<u>E X H I B I T S</u> (Continued)	
Government Accountability Board,	2	<u>No</u> .	<u>Description</u>	Identified
Defendants,	3	3 0	December 13, 2011 expert report of Ronald Keith Gaddie, Ph.D.	158
F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR.,	5	31	December 14, 2011 expert report of John Diez/Magellan Strategies BR	160
THOMAS E. PETRI, PAUL D. RYAN, JR., REID J. RIBBLE, and SEAN P. DUFFY,		2.0		
		3 2	December 14, 2011 expert report of Peter A. Morrison, Ph.D.	16
Intervenor-Defendants.	7			
	8		original exhibits were attached to tonscript and copies were provided to	
	9			,
VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, INC.,	10			
RAMIRO VARA, OLGA WARA, JOSE PEREZ, and ERICA RAMIREZ,	11			
	12			
Dlaimhiffa				
Plaintiffs,	13			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011	13			
	13 14			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011 $$\tt JPS-DPW-RMD$$ Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in	13 14 15			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011 ${\tt JPS-DPW-RMD}$ Members of the Wisconsin Government	13 14 15 16			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government  Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity:  MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER,  GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE,	13 14 15 16 17			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government  Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CAME, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and	13 14 15 16			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin	13 14 15 16 17			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government  Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CAME, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and	13 14 15 16 17 18			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government  Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,  Defendants.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government  Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,  Defendants.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government  Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,  Defendants.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23			
v. Case No. 11-CV-1011  JPS-DPW-RMD  Members of the Wisconsin Government  Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,  Defendants.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(The	original deposition transcript was f	filed with

```
MR. KELLY: Mr Hassett, before you
 2
    witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of the
                                                                       2
                                                                                    begin, could we have the same agreement with
 3
    Plaintiffs, wherein Alvin Baldus, et al., are
 4
    Plaintiffs, and Members of the Wisconsin Government
                                                                       3
                                                                                    respect to objections; that is, that an
 5
    Accountability Board, et al., are Defendants, pending
                                                                       4
                                                                                    objection made by one attorney will stand as
 6
    in the United States District Court for the
                                                                                    an objection for all attorneys without the
    Eastern District of Wisconsin, pursuant to subpoena,
 8
   before Susan C. Milleville, a Court Reporter and
                                                                       6
                                                                                    necessity of going around the table and
 9
    Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin, at
                                                                       7
10
                                                                                    joining in?
    the offices of Godfrey & Kahn, S.C., Attorneys at
11
    Law, One East Main Street, in the City of Madison,
                                                                       8
                                                                                             MR. HASSETT: Sure.
12
    County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin, on the 21st
                                                                                             MR. KELLY: Is that acceptable to
13
    day of December 2011, commencing at 10:21 in the
    forenoon.
                                                                      10
                                                                                    all other counsel?
15
                                                                      11
                                                                                             MR. POLAND: Yes.
16
                                                                      12
                                                                                             MS. LAZAR: Yes.
                                                                      13
                                                                                             MR. SHRINER: Sure.
17
                     A P P E A R A N C E S
                                                                      14
                                                                                             MR. KELLY: Thank you.
18
                                                                      15
                                                                      16
                                                                                              ADAM R. FOLTZ.
19
    DOUGLAS M. POLAND, Attorney,
     for GODFREY & KAHN, S.C., Attorneys at Law,
                                                                      17
                                                                                   called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
20
             One East Main Street, Suite 500, Madison,
                                                                      18
                                                                                   testified on oath as follows:
             Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of
                                                                      19
21
             Plaintiffs Alvin Baldus, et al.
                                                                      20
                                                                                                EXAMINATION
22
                                                                      21
                                                                          By Mr. Hassett:
    PETER G. EARLE. Attorney.
23
    for LAW OFFICE OF PETER EARLE, LLC, Attorneys at Law,
                                                                      22
                                                                           Q Good morning, Mr. Foltz. My name is
             839 North Jefferson Street, Suite 300,
                                                                      23
                                                                               Scott Hassett. I represent the intervenor
24
             Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, appearing by
             telephone on behalf of Plaintiffs
                                                                      24
                                                                              plaintiffs, Moore, Kind and Baldwin. I'm going to
25
             Voces De La Frontera, Inc., et al.
                                                                      25
                                                                              ask you a few questions. This is really
              \underline{\underline{A}} \ \underline{\underline{P}} \ \underline{\underline{P}} \ \underline{\underline{E}} \ \underline{\underline{A}} \ \underline{\underline{R}} \ \underline{\underline{A}} \ \underline{\underline{N}} \ \underline{\underline{C}} \ \underline{\underline{E}} \ \underline{\underline{S}} \quad \text{(Continued)}
                                                                       1
                                                                              Mr. Poland's deposition, and I think he will cover
 2
                                                                       2
                                                                               some of the ground much more thoroughly than I do
 3
    P. SCOTT HASSETT and JAMES A. OLSON, Attorneys,
                                                                       3
                                                                               on some of the background questions. If you don't
     for LAWTON & CATES, S.C., Attorneys at Law,
 4
             Ten East Doty Street, Suite 400, Madison,
                                                                              understand a question, please state that.
             Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the
 5
             Intervenor-Plaintiffs.
                                                                       5
                                                                               Understand that for purposes of the court reporter
 6
                                                                       6
                                                                               you can't nod your head. You do have to give an
    MARIA S. LAZAR, Assistant Attorney General,
    for STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
17 West Main Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703,
appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
 7
                                                                               answer.
 8
                                                                          A Understood.
                                                                       9
                                                                           Q First of all, where are you employed?
     DANIEL KELLY, Attorney,
10
    for REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C.,
                                                                      10
                                                                          A The Wisconsin State Assembly.
            Attorneys at Law, 1000 North Water Street,
             Suite 2100, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202,
                                                                           Q And how long have you been employed there?
             appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
                                                                      12
12
                                                                          A Since January of 2007.
                                                                      13
                                                                           Q And what did you do prior to that?
    THOMAS L. SHRINER, JR., Attorney,
     for FOLEY & LARDNER, LLP, Attorneys at Law,
                                                                      14
                                                                          A Various political work, campaign work.
14
             777 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee
             Wisconsin 53202, appearing on behalf of the
                                                                      15
                                                                           Q And who do you report to currently in the
15
             Intervenor-Defendants.
                                                                      16
                                                                               assembly?
16
     ERIC M. MCLEOD, Attorney
                                                                      17 A Speaker Fitzgerald.
    for MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP, Attorneys at Law,
17
             One South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison,
                                                                      18
                                                                           Q And that would be Jeff Fitzgerald?
18
             Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the Wisconsin State Senate by its Majority Leader
                                                                      19
                                                                          A Correct.
19
             Scott Fitzgerald, the Wisconsin Assembly by its
             Speaker Jeff Fitzgerald, and Adam R. Foltz.
                                                                      {f 20} {f Q} Tell me what your basic job duties are.
20
                                                                      21
                                                                          A Speaker Fitzgerald tasked me with drafting the
21
    Also present:
                     Todd S. Campbell, CLVS
                                                                      22
                                                                              legislation that ultimately became Wisconsin
                      Campbell Legal Video Company
22
                      417 Heather Lane, Suite B
                                                                      23
23
                      Fredonia, WI 53021 (262) 447-2199
                                                                      24
                                                                          Q Did you have any involvement in Act 44?
24
                                                                      {\bf 25} \, \, \, \, \, Not in drawing of the map but in facilitating the
25
```

```
drafting.
                                                                       assisted in determining the appropriate
 2
    Q Okay. Who did draw that map?
                                                                2
                                                                       constitutional boundaries for the state and
    A I don't know.
                                                                3
                                                                       congressional districts as memorialized in Act 43
    Q Well, you were involved in facilitating the
                                                                        and 44. Is that a true statement?
 5
       drafting of the map?
                                                                    A I just want to make sure I'm reading that.
    A Correct.
                                                                                     MR. McLEOD: Can I have that
 7
                                                                7
    Q Explain what that means.
                                                                            question read back, please.
                                                                8
    A We received a file, a block assignment file I
                                                                          (The following was read by the reporter:
       believe is the correct term, which was then turned
                                                                           Q "Now, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10 and
10
                                                                10
       over to LRB for drafting.
                                                                           refer you to Page 5, and that would be -- on
11
                                                                11
    Q And who sent that to you? Where did you receive
                                                                           that page is Paragraph 10, and you have been
12
                                                                12
       it from?
                                                                           identified as a person who was involved in
13
                                                                13
                     MR. McLEOD: I'm going to insert an
                                                                           drawing the redistricting maps that were signed
14
                                                                14
            objection. Insofar as it calls for
                                                                           into law August 9, 2011. And I'm paraphrasing,
15
                                                                15
            information subject to the attorney-client
                                                                           but you're described as an individual who
16
                                                                16
            privilege, I would instruct the witness not
                                                                           reviewed the 2010 census and assisted in
17
                                                                17
            to answer. To the extent he has an answer
                                                                           determining the appropriate constitutional
18
                                                                18
            that does not implicate the attorney-client
                                                                           boundaries for the state and congressional
19
                                                                19
            privilege, he's free to answer.
                                                                           districts as memorialized in Act 43 and 44. Is
20
    Q Can you tell me who sent you that file?
                                                                20
                                                                           that a true statement?")
21
                                                                21
    A I received it from legal counsel.
                                                                                     MR. McLEOD: I'm going to object to
                                                                22
22
    Q And you're going to follow the instructions of
                                                                            the form of the question, but to the extent
23
                                                                23
       your counsel and not answer that --
                                                                            you understand the question, please feel free
                                                                24
24
    A Correct.
                                                                            to answer it.
25
                                                                25
    Q -- specific question in any more detail?
                                                                   A I would say more specifically that I was tasked
 1
    A Correct.
                                                                1
                                                                       with Act 43 in the drawing aspects of it, and I'll
 2
                                                                2
    Q Thank you.
                                                                       refer to my previous answer on facilitating
 3
                                                                       drafting of Act 44.
                     MR. McLEOD: I'm going to insert an
                                                                3
 4
            objection to the extent that there wasn't a
                                                                    Q Explain what you mean by facilitating again if you
            pending question separate from the question
 6
                                                                6
            asked. So my objection stood with respect to
                                                                   A The block assignment file was given to LRB. A
 7
                                                                7
            the first question.
                                                                       draft was returned.
 8
    Q Do you have some experience in redistricting
                                                                8
                                                                    Q Were you involved in the exchange of any data or
 q
                                                                q
       matters?
                                                                       statistics with anyone for purposes of
                                                                10
10
    A This is my first go-round with redistricting.
                                                                       congressional redistricting?
11
    Q And when did you first begin working on that?
                                                                11
                                                                                     MR. McLEOD: I'm going to object to
12
                                                                12
    A I was originally assigned the job duty sometime in
                                                                            the form of the question.
13
                                                                13
       2009 T believe.
                                                                                To the extent you can answer, please do
14
                                                                14
    Q In your earlier political career did you have any
                                                                            so.
15
       involvement in redistricting?
                                                                15
                                                                    A Can you rephrase that question?
                                                                16
16
                                                                    Q Listen, at some point I believe you testified you
    A No.
17
                                                               17
    Q Now, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10 and refer
                                                                       turned some materials over to the LRB --
18
                                                                18
       you to Page 5, and that would be -- on that page
                                                                    A Uh-huh.
19
                                                                19
                                                                    Q -- that you received from counsel.
       is Paragraph 10, and you have been identified as a
20
                                                               20
       person who was involved in drawing the
                                                                   A Uh-huh.
21
                                                               21
       redistricting maps --
                                                                    Q Prior to that did you have any involvement in
22
                                                               22
   A Uh-huh.
                                                                       congressional redistricting?
23
                                                                23 A No.
    Q -- that were signed into law August 9, 2011. And
       I'm paraphrasing, but you're described as an
                                                                24
                                                                    Q You were not involved in the exchange of any data
25
                                                               25
       individual who reviewed the 2010 census and
                                                                       or statistics or material of that nature for
```

		VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	, \L	יחכ	
1		congressional redistricting?	1		of anybody strike that. Aside from counsel
2		MR. McLEOD: I'm going to object to	2		that were involved in congressional redistricting,
3		the form the question. It's compound.	3		do you know of anyone else who was involved in
4		If you can answer the question, please	4		congressional redistricting?
5		do so.	5	Α	No.
6	Α	I did not exchange any data. I want to be careful	6	Q	Any congressional staffers that you were aware of?
7		here. I did not exchange any data with anyone	7	Α	Not outside of my previous answer.
8		involved in the congressional redistricting.	8	Q	Now, you did testified at the hearing in July,
9	Q	Now, you worked on redistricting. At what work	9		correct?
10		sites did you perform this work?	10	Α	Yes.
11	Α	What do you mean?	11	Q	I'm referring to Exhibit 19 which is a transcript
12	_	Did you work in your offices in the capitol	12		of those proceedings. On Page 18 you described
13	_	building, at offices of legal counsel or anywhere	13		economic and social interests, correct?
14		else? What was the physical	14	Δ	Where are you looking?
15	Δ	Offices of legal counsel.	15	_	Let me find the line. That would be Lines 11 and
16	_	Okay. All of your redistricting work was done at	16	_	12. 10 through 13 I should say.
17	٩	offices of legal counsel?	17	Δ	Uh-huh.
18	Δ	Outside of the public testimony.	18	_	Yes?
19	_	And what offices was that?	19		Yes.
20	_		20	_	
21	Α		21	Q	What do you mean by common economic and social
22	Q	And approximately how much time did you spend in	22	٨	interests in terms of redistricting?
		the course of this project?		^	I believe that is one way of defining communities
23	_	I don't know.	23	_	of interest.
24		Was it a matter of days? Weeks? Months?	24	Q	And what's your understanding of communities of
25	A	I don't know. It was my job.	25		interest? How do you define that?
1	_	13	1	_	15
1		It was over a period of months?	1	_	There are multiple ways you can define it.
2	Α	It was over a period of months? Yes.	2	Q	There are multiple ways you can define it. What's your understanding of it?
2	Α	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did	3	Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.
2 3 4	Α	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did  you ever observe any congressional redistricting	2 3 4	Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.
2 3 4 5	A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?	2 3 4 5	Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.
2 3 4 5 6	A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.	2 3 4 5 6	Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I  think could be interpreted as one definition of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A Q A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	It was over a period of months? Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting? Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who? Speth.  How do you spell that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that?  I believe it's S-p-e-t-h.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district.  And what are they to your knowledge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that?  I believe it's S-p-e-t-h.  And who is he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it. What's your understanding of it? Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it. It's a very nebulous term. Give me one of the definitions. Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it. Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest? It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term. What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting? There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district. And what are they to your knowledge? I couldn't list them off the top of my head.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that?  I believe it's S-p-e-t-h.  And who is he?  Chief of staff to Congressman Paul Ryan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district.  And what are they to your knowledge?  I couldn't list them off the top of my head.  What about the term core retention as it relates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AQ AQ AQAQAQAQ	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that?  I believe it's S-p-e-t-h. And who is he?  Chief of staff to Congressman Paul Ryan.  What was the nature of that conversation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it. What's your understanding of it? Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it. It's a very nebulous term. Give me one of the definitions. Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it. Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest? It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term. What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting? There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district. And what are they to your knowledge? I couldn't list them off the top of my head.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AQ AQ AQAQAQAQ	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that?  I believe it's S-p-e-t-h.  And who is he?  Chief of staff to Congressman Paul Ryan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district.  And what are they to your knowledge?  I couldn't list them off the top of my head.  What about the term core retention as it relates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that?  I believe it's S-p-e-t-h.  And who is he?  Chief of staff to Congressman Paul Ryan.  What was the nature of that conversation?  Primarily regarding the legislative time line for action.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district.  And what are they to your knowledge?  I couldn't list them off the top of my head.  What about the term core retention as it relates to community of interest? What's your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that? I believe it's S-p-e-t-h.  And who is he?  Chief of staff to Congressman Paul Ryan.  What was the nature of that conversation?  Primarily regarding the legislative time line for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district.  And what are they to your knowledge?  I couldn't list them off the top of my head.  What about the term core retention as it relates to community of interest? What's your understanding of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It was over a period of months?  Yes.  Now, during the time you were doing this work did you ever observe any congressional redistricting maps, draft, final or otherwise?  No.  Did you hear anybody talking about any congressional redistricting matters?  No.  Did you engage in any conversations with anybody about congressional redistricting?  Yes.  Who was that?  Andy Speth.  Andy who?  Speth.  How do you spell that?  I believe it's S-p-e-t-h.  And who is he?  Chief of staff to Congressman Paul Ryan.  What was the nature of that conversation?  Primarily regarding the legislative time line for action.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q .	There are multiple ways you can define it.  What's your understanding of it?  Well, again, there are multiple definitions of it.  It's a very nebulous term.  Give me one of the definitions.  Well, what I say here in Lines 10 through 13 I think could be interpreted as one definition of it.  Do you have any other understandings or definitions of community of interest?  It could be anything from a school district to a political subdivision. It's a very open-ended term.  What's your understanding of compactness, the term compactness, as it relates to redistricting?  There are multiple measures of compactness used to evaluate compactness of a district.  And what are they to your knowledge?  I couldn't list them off the top of my head.  What about the term core retention as it relates to community of interest? What's your understanding of that?  They're two different things.

```
the number of constituents carried over from a
                                                                A Well, technically I am on the payroll of the
 2
       previously existing district to the new district
                                                                   assembly chief clerk and assigned to the speaker.
 3
       as I understand it.
                                                                Q How long have you worked at the state assembly?
                                                             4
    Q How is core retention used in redistricting?
                                                                A What was my previous answer? January of 2007 I
                                                             5
    A To evaluate the number of constituents from an old
       district that are carried over to the new
                                                             6
                                                                Q I would like you to turn to the last page of --
 7
                                                             7
       district.
                                                                   I'm sorry. Not the last page. The second to the
 8
                    MR. HASSETT: I have nothing
                                                             8
                                                                   last page of Exhibit 23. It has a heading at the
 9
           further. Thank you.
                                                                   top that says Exhibit A.
10
                                                            10
                                                               A Uh-huh.
11
                                                            11
                      EXAMINATION
                                                                Q Do you see that on that page that is headed
12
    By Mr. Poland:
                                                            12
                                                                   Exhibit A there are five different enumerated
    Q Mr. Foltz, my name is Doug Poland, and I represent
                                                            13
                                                                   paragraphs asking you to produce certain
14
                                                            14
       the plaintiffs in the case. I'll be asking you
                                                                   materials?
15
                                                            15
       some questions probably for a little while.
                                                               A Uh-huh.
16
                                                            16
                                                                Q And did you in fact look for all of the materials,
       You're here today pursuant to a subpoena, correct?
17
                                                            17
                                                                   search for all of the materials that are
18
                    MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and
                                                            18
                                                                   identified in the five paragraphs in Exhibit A?
19
                                                            19
           mark this as Exhibit 23.
                                                                A Yes, I did.
20
                (Exhibit No. 23 marked for
                                                            20
                                                                Q And you brought some materials with you this
21
                                                            21
                identification)
                                                                   morning; is that correct?
22
    Q Mr. Foltz, I've handed you a copy of a document
                                                            22
                                                                A That is correct.
23
       that has been marked as Deposition Exhibit 23. Do
                                                            23
                                                                Q Let's go ahead and mark those as an exhibit and
24
                                                            24
       you have that in front of you?
                                                                   get that on the record. Can you hand me the stack
25
                                                            25
   A Yes, I do.
                                                                   that is underneath, the whole thing. We have two
                          17
                                                                                      19
    Q Do you see this is a subpoena for your testimony
                                                             1
                                                                   disks as well?
1
 2
       at our deposition here today?
                                                             2
                                                                A Right.
 3
                                                             3
                                                                            (Exhibit Nos. 24 through 27 marked for
   A Uh-huh.
    Q Have you seen this document before?
                                                                            identification)
    A Yes.
                                                                Q Mr. Foltz, I'm first going to hand you a document
    Q When did you receive it?
                                                             6
                                                                   that's been marked Exhibit No. 24, and the caption
   A I don't recall.
                                                             7
                                                                   of the document says Documents Produced in
    Q Do you see that the cover letter is dated
                                                                   Response to Subpoena Issued by Plaintiffs to
       December 13, 2011?
                                                             q
                                                                   Adam Foltz. I'm handing you that document now.
                                                            10
10
    A I do see that.
                                                                   Is that a document that you have seen before?
11
    Q You received it sometime on or after December 13th
                                                            11
                                                                A Yes.
12
                                                            12
       then?
                                                                Q When did you first see that document?
13
                                                            13
    A I don't recall.
                                                                A A day ago. Two days ago potentially.
14
    Q Who gave you Exhibit 23?
                                                            14
                                                                Q Did you assist in preparing that document?
                                                            15
15
    A Eric McLeod.
16
                                                            16
    Q And Mr. McLeod is representing you here today,
                                                                Q What work did you do in assisting to prepare that
17
                                                            17
                                                                   document?
       correct?
18
                                                            18
    A Correct.
                                                                A Produced the documents.
19
    Q You testified before that your employer is the
                                                            19
                                                                Q So you identified the documents that you had that
20
                                                            20
       Wisconsin State Assembly?
                                                                   were responsive to the subpoena?
21
    A Correct.
                                                            21
                                                                A Correct.
22
                                                            22 Q Were you asked to comment at all on the
   Q It's not any particular person within the state
23
       assembly; is that right?
                                                            23
                                                                   preparation of Exhibit 24?
    A I work for Speaker Fitzgerald.
                                                            24
                                                                A No.
                                                            25
    Q Is he technically your employer?
                                                                Q When you saw Exhibit 24, did you see it in the
```

```
final form if you know?
                                                                    maps confirming the physical location of members'
 2
                                                              2
   A I don't know.
                                                                    residence and new district analysis." Do you see
    Q Were you asked to review the responses in
                                                              3
                                                                    that description?
       Exhibit 24 to comment on whether they were correct
                                                                A Yes, I do.
 5
       and accurate?
                                                                 Q Are there any documents that fall within that
 6
                    MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                                    description that you have produced here today?
 7
                                                              7
           attorney-client privilege. To the extent
                                                                A Not to my knowledge.
 8
           there were conversations between counsel and
                                                                 Q Did you search for all such documents described in
 9
           Mr. Foltz with respect to the preparation of
                                                                    that Paragraph Seven that were within your
10
                                                             10
           this document or commenting on the document,
                                                                    possession, custody and control?
11
                                                             11
           I'm going to instruct him not to answer.
                                                                 A Yes.
12
    Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
                                                             12
                                                                 Q All right. So anything that you had that fell
                                                             13
13
       not to answer the question?
                                                                    within that description either is being withheld
                                                             14
14
    A Yes.
                                                                    from production pursuant to the objections stated
15
                                                             15
    Q Take a look at the first page of Exhibit 24,
                                                                    in Exhibit 24 --
16
       please.
                                                             16
                                                                A Uh-huh.
17
                                                             17
    A Uh-huh.
                                                                 Q -- or was produced?
18
    Q The Item Number One that's identified in there is
                                                             18
                                                                 A I believe so, yes.
                                                                 Q But there is nothing that you're producing in that
19
       a July 7, 2011 E-mail. Do you see that?
                                                             19
20
    A Yes.
                                                             20
                                                                    category today; is that correct?
21
                                                             21
    Q And then Item Number Two also identifies another
                                                                 A That's my -- yes.
22
                                                             22
                                                                 Q I would like you to look at Item Number Eight,
       July 7, 2011 E-mail correspondence. Do you see
23
                                                             23
                                                                    please.
24
    A Yes.
                                                             24
                                                                 A Uh-huh.
25
                                                             25
                                                                 Q This is on Exhibit 24. That identifies political
    Q You have gone through and there are I think
                           21
                                                                                        23
1
       through Paragraph Number Six -- those are all
                                                             1
                                                                    analysis of draft/final maps compared to current
 2
       identified E-mail exchanges, correct?
                                                                    districts. Do you see that?
                                                                A Yes, I do.
 3
   A It appears so, yes.
                                                              3
    Q In addition to those E-mails that are identified
                                                                 Q Did you produce any materials falling into that
       in Paragraphs One through Six, are there any other
                                                                    category today in the documents you brought with
 6
                                                              6
       E-mails that you located when you searched your
                                                                    you?
 7
       records?
                                                              7
                                                                A No.
    A Yes.
                                                                 Q Did you in fact search for all documents falling
 q
    Q All right. Have those been produced today?
                                                              q
                                                                    into that category within your possession, custody
                                                             10
10
    A Yes, they have.
                                                                    and control?
11
    Q Are there any E-mails that you had in your
                                                             11
                                                                 A Yes.
12
                                                             12
       possession, custody or control that were requested
                                                                 Q And so anything that you would have had in your
13
                                                             13
       in the subpoena, which is Exhibit 23, that you
                                                                    possession, custody or control is being withheld
14
                                                             14
       have not either produced here today or that have
                                                                    from production pursuant to Exhibit 24?
15
       not been identified in Exhibit 24?
                                                             15
                                                                 A Correct.
                                                             16
16
    A No.
                                                                 Q Look at Paragraph Number Nine, please, Demographic
17
                                                             17
    Q I would like you to look at Exhibit -- I'm sorry.
                                                                    Analysis of Minority Population
18
                                                             18
       Paragraph Number Seven in Exhibit 24, please.
                                                                    Trends/Proportionality.
19
                                                             19
                                                                 A Uh-huh.
       That identifies a category of documents. It
20
                                                             20
                                                                 Q Did you produce any documents or materials falling
       states, "Documents used during meetings between
21
                                                             21
       Legislative Staff Member Adam Foltz and state
                                                                    within that category today?
22
       representatives including memoranda analyzing
                                                             22 A Yes.
23
                                                             23
       population changes of each district enumerated in
                                                                 Q What have you produced that is described in
       the 2010 census, maps illustrating the analysis of
                                                             24
                                                                    Paragraph Nine here today?
25
                                                             25 A I'm sorry. I think I got a little too much into a
       the district population changes over the decade,
```

rhythm there. Go back to the question regarding A Yes. 2 2 Number Nine. MR. SHRINER: You are being 3 Q Sure. Paragraph Number Nine, and this is in 3 summoned into the hallway by your assistant. 4 Exhibit 24, describes documents falling into the MR. POLAND: Okay. I'll go out 5 category of demographic analysis of minority there in just a minute. Thank you. Q Paragraph Number 11 identifies a category of population trends/proportionality. 7 7 A Uh-huh. documents, maps incorporating census and elections Q Did you in fact search the records that were 8 data. Do you see that? within your possession, custody or control for A Yes. 10 10 materials falling under that document category? Q Did you look for documents falling within that 11 11 A Yes, I did. category? 12 A Yes. Q Did you bring any documents falling within that 13 category with you today? 13 Q Did you identify any documents? A No. 14 14 A Yes. 15 Q So any documents that you identified within your 15 Q Did you produce any documents falling within that 16 16 category today at your deposition? possession, custody or control are being withheld 17 17 from production pursuant to the objections stated A No. 18 in Exhibit 24? 18  ${f Q}$  So any documents that you identified that fall 19 19 A Correct. within the category of Paragraph 11 are being 20 Q Did you in fact locate any documents when you were 20 withheld pursuant to the objections stated in 21 21 looking that fall within that category? Exhibit 24? 22 A Yes. 22 23 Q I should go back, actually, and ask you the same 23 Q And the last category of documents that are 24 24 question with respect to Paragraph Number Eight. identified in Exhibit 24 are in Paragraph 12, and 25 25 Did you in fact identify any documents when you that identifies a category of draft maps prepared 1 by Legislative Staff Member Adam Foltz. looked that fall into the category of political 1 2 2 A Yes. analysis of draft/final maps compared to current 3 districts? Q Did you look for any such documents? A Yes. A Yes, I did.  ${f Q}$  Did you identify any documents within your Q Did you identify any such documents? 6 possession, custody or control that fall within 7 the description of Paragraph Number Seven? Q Are you producing any of those documents today? q Q I would like to draw your attention to Paragraph 9 Q So any documents that are described in 10 10 Ten of Exhibit 24 which identifies a category of Paragraph 12 are being withheld from production 11 materials, spreadsheets analyzing census and 11 based on the grounds identified in Exhibit 24? 12 election data. Do you see that? 12 A Correct. 13 13 A Yes. MR. POLAND: Let me take just a 14 14 Q And you looked for documents that fell within that break for a second here. 15 category? 15 (Recess) 16 A Correct. 16 Q Mr. Foltz, I would like to ask you to take a look 17 17 Q Did you identify any such documents? at Exhibit No. 25, please. I'm handing that to 18 A Yes. 18 you now. 19 Q Are you producing any documents today that fall 19 A Uh-huh. 20 20 within that category of materials? Q This consists of a number of different written 21 A No. 21 documents that you brought with you this morning; 22 22 Q So any documents that you have within your is that correct? 23 23 A That is. possession, custody or control are being withheld pursuant to the objections that are stated in 24 Q Let's just take these one by one here from the 25 25 Exhibit 24? top. The very first document that's on the stack

- 1 that's included within Exhibit 25 is a document
- 2 that states at the top 2011-12 Legislative
- 3 Statistics and Maps SB 148. Do you have that in
- 4 front of you?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- 6 Q That is a stapled document? Is your copy stapled?
- 7 A My copy is not stapled.
- 8 Q Your copy is not stapled. How many pages is that
- 9 first document? Is that four pages?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q All right. What is that document?
- 12 A This is the population deviation summary that is
- 13 produced by LRB and attached as an addendum to the
- 14 bill draft of SB 148.
- ${f 15}$   ${f Q}$  And then if you turn the page -- I'm sorry. If
- 16 you go to the fifth page, it states at the top
- Memorandum SB 148 Memo Two. Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q What is that memorandum?
- 20 A A summary of municipal splits contained within
- 21 SB 128.
- 22 Q Who prepared this document?
- 23 A Either Tad Ottman or I.
- 24 Q Do you recall who prepared it?
- 25 A No.

1

- 29
- Q Turn to the next page. There it says SB 148
- 2 Memo Three dated July 15, 2011. What document is
- 3 that?
- 4 A Milwaukee County population trends and racial
- 5 composition.
- 6 Q Who prepared this document?
- 7 A Either Tad Ottman or I.
- 8 Q Did you prepare any such memorandums together?
- 9 A I don't recall.
- 10 Q Did anyone else assist in the preparation of this
- 11 Memo Number Three?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q It would have just been you or Mr. Ottman?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And Memo Number Two was the previous page. Same
- 16 question. Did anyone assist in the preparation of
- 17 Memo Two?
- 18 A No.
- ${f Q}$  Do you know where you would have received the data
- 20 that underlies the municipal splits information in
- 21 Memo Two?
- 22 A The autoBound software. And for the 2002 court
- 23 map, it would have been the court decision.
- 24 Q When you or Mr. Ottman prepared Memo Number Two,
- 25 were you looking at a printed report from

- 1 autoBound to draw this information?
- 2 A Printed or electronic. I don't recall which.
- 3 Q And when you say or electronic, what do you mean?
- 4 Do you mean you were looking at a computer screen
- 5 or --
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Where were you physically when you prepared
- 8 Memorandum Number Two?
- 9 A The offices of Michael Best & Friedrich.
- 10 Q Did you produce any of these memos, and we will
- 11 get to some other ones as well, any of these
- 12 memorandums in conjunction with Senate Bill 148 at
- 13 any location other than the offices of Michael
- 14 Best & Friedrich?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Was anyone else present when you prepared
- 17 Memorandum Number Two?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Where were you in Michael Best & Friedrich's
- offices when you prepared Memo Number Two?
- 21 A I don't understand.
- 22 Q Were you in an attorney's office? Were you in a
- 23 conference room? Were you in the law library?
- 24 A I was in an office.
- Q Was that an office that you alone were using?
  - 31
- 1 A Tad Ottman and I.
- 2 Q When did you first start using an office at
- 3 Michael Best & Friedrich with respect to the
- 4 redistricting?
- 5 A I don't recall.
- 6 Q How many months did you have an office at Michael
- 7 Best & Friedrich where you were doing the
- 8 redistricting?
- 9 A I don't recall.
- 10 Q When did you first start working on redistricting
- 11 matters?
- 12 A Can you elaborate?
- 13 Q Sure. With respect to the 2011 redistricting,
- 14 when were you first asked to work on that?
- 15 A I believe it was in 2009 when the speaker asked me
- 16 to begin performing preliminary tasks related to
- 17 redistricting.
- 18 Q And when did you first meet with somebody from
- 19 Michael Best & Friedrich about the 2011
- 20 redistricting?
- 21 A I don't recall.
- 22 Q Had you started working with Michael
- 23 Best & Friedrich by February of 2011?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Had you started working out of Michael

Best & Friedrich's offices in Madison by February Q Yes. 2 2011? A I believe that's everyone. 3 A Yes. Q And who is Michael Screnock? 4 Q Did you have a specific office that you and A An attorney at Michael Best. Mr. Ottman worked in at Michael Best & Friedrich Q Was Speaker Fitzgerald ever present in that office by February 2011? with you? 7 7 A Yes. A Yes. Q Did you have that same office through the end of Q Was Senator Fitzgerald ever present in that office the time that your work on the redistricting with you? 10 10 completed? A Yes. 11 11 Q Was Robin Vos ever present in that office with A I'm sorry. Say that again. 12 Q Sure. Did you and Mr. Ottman have a specific you? office that you worked in during the time that you 13 13 A Yes. 14 14 worked on the 2011 redistricting? Q And what about Representative Zipperer? 15 A Yes. A I'm sorry? 16 Q That was an office that you both shared? Q What about Mr. Zipperer, the senator? Was he ever 17 17 present in the office with you? A Yes. 18 Q Were there computers in that office? 18 A Yes, he was. 19 19 Q Were there any other legislators that were present 20 Q How many computers were there? 20 in the office with you? 21 21 A Three. A Not that I can recall. No. No. 22  ${f Q}\,$  Did you and Mr. Ottman each have your own computer 22  ${f Q}$  Was anyone else ever present in that office with 23 23 in that office? you other than the people that you have mentioned 24 24 A Yes. while you were performing legislative 25 25 Q Who was the third computer for? redistricting work? 1 A It wasn't assigned to anyone specifically. It was 1 A I don't believe so. Actually, let me go back to 2 there in the event we needed an additional 2 it real quick. Representative Scott Suder. 3 3 machine. Q I want to take your attention back to Exhibit 25. Q Was there ever a time that anyone else was in that A Uh-huh. office with you and Mr. Ottman working? Q We will look at a document that's titled Memo Four 6 6 A Yes. SB 148 dated July 13, 2011. Do you see that? 7 Q Who else was in that office working with you and A Memo Four? Mr. Ottman? Q Yes. q A Legal counsel and experts. 9 A Yes, T do. 10 10 Q Who prepared that document? Q Who were the experts who were in that office 11 working with you? A Either Tad Ottman or I. 12 A Dr. Keith Gaddie. 12 Q Did anyone else work on the preparation of that 13 13 Q Anyone else? document? 14 A No. 14 A Joe Handrick. 15 Q Anyone else? 15 Q Memorandum Number Five is the next page. Who 16 A Not to my knowledge. 16 prepared that document? 17 17 Q And you mentioned legal counsel was present in A Either Tad Ottman or T. 18 18 that office with you as well working on Q And looking through the rest of these memos --19 redistricting? 19 let's say Six and Seven. Who prepared Memos Six 20 and Seven? 20 A That is correct. 21 21 A Either Tad Ottman or I. Q Who were the specific legal counsel who were 22  ${\bf 22} \quad {\bf Q}$  Did anyone else assist you and Mr. Ottman in present with you?

 ${f 25}$   ${f A}$  The only exception would be LRB Memo One is a

at so far up through Memo Number Seven?

preparing any of these memos that we have looked

23

23

25

A Eric McLeod, Ray Taffora, Jim Troupis,

say Sarah Troupis?

Sarah Troupis, Michael Screnock, Sarah -- did I

- report produced by LRB. Other than that it was for Senator Zipperer's committee had in his 2 2 Tad Ottman and I. possession. 3 Q After Memo Seven there's a document that says 3 Q And when you say the entire packet, how far does Hispanics for Leadership. Do you see that that packet go? Is that all of the written 5 document? materials that you produced today? 6 A I do. 6 A No. 7 7 Q What is that document? MR. McLEOD: I'm going to object to 8 the form of the question. I'm not sure I A This was the testimony submitted by it appears Zeus Rodriguez as he gave it to the committee. understand it. 10 10 Q And when was it submitted to the committee? To the extent you understand it, feel 11 A I don't know. I would believe it was on the day 11 free to answer. 12 12 of the public hearing, but I don't know if it was Q Which of the documents that you have in front of 13 13 submitted ahead of time or after the fact which you that I've marked as Exhibit No. 25 make up the 14 14 can happen. packet that you just testified about? 15 Q Have you ever spoken with Mr. Rodriguez before? 15 A The packet. I'm just looking for the end point 16 16 here. I believe that the committee -- you're 17 17 Q Do you know whether Mr. Ottman ever spoke to referring to the committee packet, I just want to 18 Mr. Rodriguez? 18 make sure, the submitted testimony to the 19 19 A I don't know. committee. I just want to be clear on that. 20 Q Do you know whether -- strike that question. Were 20 Q Well, you had identified a packet that was in the 21 21 you involved at all in asking Mr. Rodriguez to possession of the clerk. 22 submit this letter to the committee? 22 23 A I never spoke to Mr. Rodriguez. 23 Q So that's what I'm asking you about. Q Does the next page that also has a caption at the 24 A Okay. To my understanding this is the last page 25 top Hispanics for Leadership -- was that attached 25 of that. 39 1 Q So the last page is -- can you identify that for to the first page? 1 2 A To the best of my knowledge. 2 the record. 3 Q When was the first time that you saw this A I honestly don't -document? Q Is there a heading on it? A Honestly, the first time I saw this document I A There's not a heading on it. It appears to be 6 believe was today. I heard his testimony, but I 6 some type of plat map. 7 had not actually seen this prior to today. 7 Q Okay. All right. But at any rate, in the stack Q The next page is a memo from the Office of the that you have got there that's Exhibit 25, that q Mayor of the City of Fitchburg. Do you see that? q last page appears just before a table that says 10 10 A Yes, I do. Disenfranchisement at the top? Q What is that document? 11 A That is correct. 12 A It appears to be his testimony to the committee. Q So beginning with Memo One and then through the 13 13 Q Have you ever spoken with Mayor Shawn Pfaff of the document that you just identified, that is the 14 14 City of Fitchburg? packet that the clerk had? 15 15 A Yes. A Yes. 16 Q Have you spoken with the mayor about redistricting 16 Q Did you ask the clerk for documents and the clerk 17 17 matters? provided this to you? 18 A No. 18 A I did not ask. 19
- Q Had you seen this memo from the Office of the 19 Q Do you know who did ask the clerk for documents? 20 Mayor of the City of Fitchburg before today? 20 A I don't know. 21 A No. 21
- Q All right. You can set that first part of 22 22 Q Why were you producing these two documents, the Exhibit 25 to the side.
- 23 A Okay.
  - Hispanics for Leadership document and the City of 23 Fitchburg memo today? 24 Q The next document in Exhibit 25 is a table that
- 25 25 A This is the entire packet that the committee clerk has a heading Disenfranchisement at the top. Do

you see that? Q All right. And why were you doing that? 2 A Uh-huh. A To have a better understanding of redistricting. Q And specifically with respect to Q What is that document? A It's a summary of '92 and '02 court submissions disenfranchisement? 5 5 summarizing the delayed voting or 6 disenfranchisement in each of those submissions as Q What does disenfranchisement mean to you in the 7 7 a raw number and as a percentage of the total context of redistricting? 8 population at the time of the '90 and the '02 8 A In the context of redistricting disenfranchisement census. or delayed voting is when you have staggered terms 10 10 Q Did you prepare this document? in the upper house an inevitable consequence of 11 11 A It was either Tad Ottman or I. redistricting is going to be a six-year delay 12 Q Turning your attention to the top table that says between state senate elections in this case if you 13 13 2002 Court Submissions. Do you see that? move from an even to an odd numbered senate 14 14 A Uh-huh. district. 15 Q The first column says Plan? Q Where did you draw the data from that you included 16 in the 2002 court submissions table that's on this 17 17 Q And then there are a number of different rows in page? 18 that table? 18 A The court decision itself and the pleadings index. 19 19 A Uh-huh. Q So it was the materials that were submitted in the 20 Q Do you see that? The first one says Plan JP1. 20 2002 redistricting litigation? 21 21 What does that indicate? A That is correct. 22 A I believe Jensen Panzer 1. 22  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$  Did anyone request you and Mr. Ottman to prepare 23 Q And then the second row would be Jensen Panzer 2? 23 24 A Correct. A No. 25 Q And then 3 for the next row down? Q You decided to do it yourself? 1 A Yes. 1 A Yes. 2 Q And then what is the AB 842? 2 Q Looking at the 1992 court submissions table --A AB 842 was the bill passed by the state assembly. A Uh-huh. Q And then the next one is Dem A? Q Do you see that? There are three rows in that, A Yes. correct? Q And the next one SB 463? 6 A Yes. A Uh-huh. 7 Q One was a plan submitted by -- it says Prosser Q What does that indicate? A That is the redistricting plan passed by the state A Yes. 10 10 Q And that was the republican plan? senate during the '02 redistricting cycle. Q And then what is the CCE row? 11 A Yes. 12 A If memory serves, it's Citizens for Competitive Q And then the next one says -- that's a legislative 13 13 Elections. It was Representative Fred Kessler's or legislature plan? 14 14 A Yes. group. I believe that's what the acronym stands 15 for, but I'm not 100 percent on that. 15 Q And that was the democratic plan? 16 Q WMC, is that Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce? 16 A Correct. 17 17 A Correct. Q And then finally is the plan adopted by the Court? 18 18 Q And then finally is the court plan that was A Correct. 19 actually adopted? 19 Q Why did you prepare this table for the 1992 court 20 20 submissions? 21 21 A Same reason as the '02. Q Why did you and Mr. Ottman put together the 22 22 particular table with respect to the 2002 court Q Did anyone ask you to take a look specifically at 23 23 the 1992 disenfranchisement numbers?

24

25

MR. McLEOD: Let me just insert an

A To look at where the various parties were ten

years ago and also see where the court landed.

```
1
           objection. To the extent that the question
                                                                 A Yes, T do.
 2
           asks for information which is within the
                                                                 Q Is that the E-mail account that these E-mails were
 3
           scope of the attorney-client privilege, I
                                                              3
                                                                    sent to?
           would instruct the witness not to answer. To
                                                                 A Yes.
 5
           the extent the question seeks to elicit
                                                                 Q So these E-mails were still on your computer at
           information about a conversation between
                                                                    the state assembly; is that correct?
 7
                                                              7 A Yes.
           Mr. Foltz and somebody outside of the scope
 8
           of the attorney-client privilege, he's free
                                                                 Q Did you send or receive E-mails on the computer
 9
           to answer. I just want to make sure that
                                                                    you were working within at Michael
10
           that's clear. The questions have potentially
                                                             10
                                                                    Best & Friedreich?
11
                                                             11
           implicated the privilege. I just want to
                                                                 A I'm sorry. State that again.
12
           make sure that that objection is asserted.
                                                                 Q Did you send or receive E-mails on the computer
13
    Q Are you going to --
                                                             13
                                                                    that you were working with when you worked at
14
                                                             14
                    MR. EARLE: Can I ask a clarifying
                                                                    Michael Best & Friedrich?
15
                                                             15
                                                                A Yes.
           question on that?
16
                                                             16
                    MR. POLAND: Hold on, Peter, just
                                                                 Q Are any of those E-mails included within this
17
                                                             17
                                                                    packet that you have produced today?
           one second.
18
                                                             18
                                                                 A Yes.
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
19
                                                             19
       to answer questions with respect to privileged
                                                                 Q Are any of them within the clipped stack that you
20
       conversations?
                                                             20
                                                                    have got in front of you?
21
                                                             21
    A Correct.
                                                                 A Yes.
22
                                                             22
                                                                 Q Are you able to identify which ones you received
                    MR. EARLE: With regard to the
23
           scope of privilege, we're talking about
                                                             23
                                                                    when you were at Michael Best & Friedrich versus
24
           communications not only with counsel but also
                                                             24
                                                                    which ones you would have received or sent when
25
           with Mr. Handrick? Is that correct or not
                                                             25
                                                                    you were in your office at the capitol building?
1
           correct as you were asserting it here now?
                                                              1
                                                                 A No.
 2
                    MR. McLEOD: I'm asserting that the
                                                              2 Q The very first page says, "From Michael Keane" --
 3
           privilege applies to communications with
                                                                A Uh-huh.
           attorney and client. If there is a specific
                                                                 Q -- to you. The date is July 11. Who is
           question that relates to conversations with
                                                                    Michael Keane?
 6
           Mr. Handrick, we will deal with that at the
                                                                 A An employee of LRB.
 7
           appropriate time.
                                                                 Q You had asked Mr. Keane for this information?
                    MR. EARLE: Okay. Thank you.
 9
    Q You can set that to the side. Mr. Foltz, the
                                                              9
                                                                 Q Why did you ask Mr. Keane for this information?
10
                                                             10
       next -- there's a clipped package. My copy is
                                                                 A He is the person at LRB that is tasked with
11
       stapled. There's an E-mail on top that says From
                                                             11
                                                                    districting to my knowledge.
12
       Michael Keane to Adam Foltz dated Monday,
                                                             12
                                                                 Q And so why did you ask him for the specific
13
                                                             13
       July 11th, and then there's some additional
                                                                     spreadsheet that was attached to this E-mail?
14
                                                             14
       materials that are attached to that I think
                                                                 A I don't recall the specific reason.
15
       included within the packet that was clipped
                                                             15
                                                                 Q Is the spreadsheet that Mr. Keane attached -- is
16
                                                             16
       together that you have.
                                                                    it among the materials that you produced here
17
                                                             17
   A Uh-huh.
                                                                    today?
18
                                                             18
    Q Correct? All right. What is that collection of
                                                                 A Yes, it is.
19
                                                             19
                                                                 Q Is that in one of the electronic documents or
       documents?
                                                             20
20
    A Various E-mails regarding redistricting.
                                                                    documents on a CD or DVD?
21
    Q And are these E-mails that were in your
                                                             21
                                                                 A Yes.
22
                                                             22
       possession?
                                                                 Q The next page is also an E-mail from Mr. Keane,
                                                             23
23
                                                                    and the subject is 2002 Redistricting Plan. Why
    Q Do you have an E-mail account through your work at
                                                             24
                                                                    did you ask Mr. Keane for that?
25
                                                             25
       the state assembly?
                                                                A I'm sorry. Which E-mail is this?
```

- 1 Q It's the second E-mail.
- 2 A I don't recall why I specifically asked for that.
- 3 Q Were you working at the Michael Best & Friedrich
- 4 offices when you received these E-mails from
- 5 Mr. Keane?
- 6 A I don't recall.
- 7 Q Do you know whether these E-mails were printed
- 8 from your E-mail account at the state capitol
- 9 building or at Michael Best & Friedrich?
- 10 A Say that again.
- (Question read)
- 12 A The clipped packet is all of the same E-mail
- 13 account. It's the state legislative E-mail
- 14 account.
- 15 Q When you accessed E-mail when you were at Michael
- 16 Best & Friedrich, was that through Web Mail
- 17 basically accessing your state assembly E-mail
- 18 account?
- 19 A Uh-huh. Either Web Mail, OWA, as we call it, or a
- 20 VPN connection.
- 21 Q The third page is an E-mail from
- 22 Tony Van Der Wielen to you dated July 11th. Do
- 23 you see that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And who is Tony Van Der Wielen?
  - 49
- 1 A He is the GIS division, I believe it's referred to
- 2 as a division, lead for LTSB. He deals with all
- 3 of the geographic information systems.
- 4 Q And that forwards an E-mail from Tony
- 5 Van Der Wielen to a number of other people dated
- 6 Wednesday, April 14, 2010. Do you see that?
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q Who are the other people who are identified either
- ${f 9}$  in the To or the CC lines of the April 2010
- 10 E-mail?
- 11 A Okay. Tad Ottman and Michael Keane we have
- 12 already discussed. Jeff Ylvisaker is the director
- of LTSB. Gratz@speedymail.org I believe is
- Joel Gratz, a democratic expert on redistricting.
- MWhite@theshopconsulting is Mike White, a democrat
- 16 expert who works at The Shop Consulting which is a
- 17 lobbying firm. Adam Foltz is obviously me. CC to
- 18 legislative GIS staff. That would be the
- 19 employees that work under Tony Van Der Wielen. I
- 20 am not sure who Lori is, and I'm not sure who the
- 21 Wisconsin.edu E-mail address is.
- 22 Q Do you know why Mr. Van Der Wielen was forwarding
- 23 this to you?
- 24 A No, I don't.
- 25 Q Was it something that you requested?

- 1 A If he -- somebody requested it from him.
- 2 Q Do you know who requested it from him?
- 3 A No. It was either Tad or I since we were both
- 4 included in the To field with the forwarding
- 5 E-mail attached.
- 6 Q The next page is an E-mail from Mr. Van Der Wielen
- 7 May 25, 2011 to you and to Mr. Ottman, correct?
- 8 A Uh-huh.
- 9 Q It says Slow Assignments.
- 10 A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q What is meant by slow assignments?
- 12 A I would assume it was an issue we were having with
- 13 the software.
- 14 Q What software?
- 15 A AutoBound 9.
- 16 Q What is autoBound 9?
- 17 A It is the redistricting software.
- 18 Q When you say 9, is that a version number?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Where did you get that software from?
- 21 A LTSB.
- 22 Q When was it provided to you?
- 23 A I don't recall.
- 24 Q Were you trained on it?
- 25 A Yes.

51

- 1 Q Who gave you the training?
- 2 A LTSB.
- 3 Q When were you trained?
- 4 A Over last summer and last fall I believe.
- f Q When you say last, do you mean 2010 or 2011?
- 6 A When was that? I believe there was training in
- 7 2010 and some training in 2011.
- 8 Q Had you used autoBound before the 2011
- 9 redistricting?
- 10 A No.
- ${\bf 11}$   ${\bf Q}$  Did you contact Mr. Van Der Wielen very often with
- 12 technical questions about the software?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Did you contact anyone else other than
- Mr. Van Der Wielen with technical questions about
- 16 using the software?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Who else did you talk to with technical questions
- 19 about the software?
- 20 A Ryan Squires, an employee of Tony Van Der Wielen.
- 21 Q So Mr. Squires is also with the LTSB?
- 22 A The GIS team at LTSB. Correct.
- 23 Q Did anyone other than Mr. Van Der Wielen or
- Mr. Squires give you advice on the technical
- 25 aspects of autoBound 9 software?

- 1 A Possibly Jeff Ylvisaker I believe is his name,
- 2 another employee of Tony Van Der Wielen at LTSB.
- 3 Potentially Dana Wolff as well who is another
- 4 member of the GIS team.
- ${f 5}$   ${f Q}$  Did anyone outside of LTSB ever give you technical
- 6 advice or consult with you on the use of autoBound
- 7 software?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q It looks like there are a number of additional
- 10 E-mails here from Mr. Van Der Wielen to you and to
- 11 Mr. Ottman, correct?
- 12 A Yes.

17

- 13 Q And do those all have to do with your use and
- Mr. Ottman's use of the autoBound 9 software?
- 15 A A good number of them. I don't want to say all.
- 16 Q Let's take a look. There is an E-mail, and it has
  - at the bottom of the printed Page 29. I should
- 18 ask -- by the way, I see that there are page
- 19 numbers at the bottom of some of these. Do you
- 20 know why there are these page numbers?
- 21 A No, I don't.
- 22 Q And I note that the first E-mail that we talked
- 23 about has a page number at the bottom of 14.
- 24 A lib-hub.
- 25 Q And then it skips to 17?
  - 53
- 1 A Uh-huh.
- **Q** And then 15 and 16 and 27 and 28?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q Do you know how that pagination got onto these
- 5 pages?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Did you put that pagination there?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q When you typically print E-mails, is their
- 10 pagination at the bottom?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q I'll refer to those page numbers because that
- 13 probably makes it easier for the purposes of the
- 14 record.
- 15 A Okay.
- 16 Q Did you assemble these pages, by the way, in this
- 17 particular order?
- 18 A I don't recall.
- 19 Q If you look at page -- it has Page 29 at the
- 20 bottom.
- 21 A Uh-huh.
- 22 Q Do you see that's an E-mail from Mr. Van Der
- Wielen dated May 24th.
- 24 A Yes.
- ${f 25}$   ${f Q}$  Do you see that? All right. It starts out and

- 1 says, "Tad and Adam, here is the new data base and
- 2 report for disenfranchisement." Do you see that?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q Is that something that you had requested?
- 5 A I believe so.
- 6 Q Why did you request that?
- 7 A It was a more streamlined way of reporting
- 8 disenfranchisement.
- 9 Q More streamlined than what?
- 10 A Than the core constituency report.
- 11 Q And the core constituency report, is that
- 12 something that we have already looked at?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q All right. Who produces a core constituency
- 15 report?
- 16 A AutoBound 9 produces the core constituency report.
- 17 Q And so had you printed core constituency reports
- 18 for the purposes of legislative redistricting?
- 19 A I don't recall.
- 20 Q This is a different type of a report that
- 21 autoBound would print; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes. This is a custom report that was put
- 23 together by LTSB that is outside of the standard
- 24 autoBound 9 package.
- 25 Q Something that you and Mr. Ottman couldn't do

55

- 1 through autoBound 9?
- 2 A Could, but this is a more streamlined way of doing
- 3 it.
- 4 Q And did you in fact produce reports based on the
- 5 instructions here from Mr. Van Der Wielen?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Do you still have copies of those reports?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Those are not reports that are being withheld from
- 10 production today?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q You simply don't have them anymore?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q How many times did you get these more streamlined
- 15 types of reports from Mr. Van Der Wielen?
- 16 A This is the only one.
- 17 Q Did you ever produce any other of these more
- 18 streamlined reports yourself?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Did you ever produce any core constituency reports
- 21 from the autoBound software?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Did you produce any of those here today?
- 24 A No.
- ${\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q}$  Did you retain any of those core constituency

- 1 reports that you printed?
- 2 A No.
- ${f 3}$   ${f Q}$  Do you recall approximately how many you would
- 4 have created?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q All right. The next page, which is numbered 30,
- 7 is an E-mail from Mr. Van Der Wielen to you and
- 8 Mr. Ottman, correct?
- 9 A Uh-huh.
- 10 Q And what's the purpose of this E-mail from
- Mr. Van Der Wielen?
- 12 A This ties back to the previous E-mail regarding
- 13 the disenfranchisement report, seeing if the -- it
- 14 appears that he's asking us if the format of the
- 15 plan -- the format of the new report is agreeable
- 16 to us.
- ${f 17}$   ${f Q}$  So this also involves the same custom report that
- 18 is identified on Page 29?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q All right. The next page is Page 32.
- 21 A Uh-huh.

A Uh-huh.

- 22 Q Does that also concern the same streamline report?
- 23 A Yes.

1

- 24 Q The next page, Page 34, another E-mail from
- 25 Mr. Van Der Wielen dated May 13th, correct?
  - 57
- 2 Q What's the purpose of this E-mail from
- 3 Mr. Van Der Wielen?
- 4 A It seems to just be walking me through some
- 5 questions I had regarding autoBound.
- ${f 6}$   ${f Q}$  And there's a reference to split geography. What
- 7 is that in reference to?
- 8 A Something about the split? I'm sorry. Could you
- 9 say your question again?
- 10 Q I'm just asking you the purpose of this E-mail
- 11 that Mr. Van Der Wielen sent to you.
- 12 A I don't exactly recall what we were discussing
- 13 here.
- 14 Q It references political subdivisions split between
- 15 districts. Do you see that?
- 16 A Yes.
- ${f 17}$   ${f Q}$  And what is meant by political subdivisions split
- 18 between districts?
- 19 A A political subdivision being an MCD, a county.
- 20 Something along those lines. A split is obviously
- 21 when that political subdivision is not wholly
- 22 contained within one assembly or senate district.
- ${f Q}$  When you refer to MCD, what are you referring to
- 24 there?
- ${\bf 25}$  A I believe the acronym stands for minor civil

- 1 division.
- 2 Q And what would constitute a minor city division?
- 3 A City of Madison.
- 4 Q Are you talking with municipalities generally?
- 5 A In regards to what? MCD?
- 6 Q Yes.
- 7 A Yes. Generally, yes.
- 8 Q Did this one have specifically to do with Madison?
- Q A NO
- 10 Q Now, it says that there is a report. It's a PDF
- 11 file. Do you see that? That's what's referred to
- 12 in the attachments?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q So that was a report that was produced by
- 15 Mr. Van Der Wielen?
- 16 A Yes
- 17 **Q** Is that a document that you brought with you
- 18 today?
- 19 A I believe so.
- 20 Q Did you and Mr. Ottman -- strike the question.
- 21 Did you or Mr. Ottman ever produce your own report
- 22 identifying political subdivisions that are split
- 23 between districts?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q How many of those did you produce?

59

- 1 A I don't know.
- 2 Q Did you bring any of those with you today?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Do they still exist?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Did anyone ever tell you not to retain any of the
- 7 reports that you created?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Is there a reason that you didn't retain them?
- 10 A There's no reason to.
- 11 Q Meaning that reports had been changed or updated
- 12 or revised or why is there no reason to retain
- 13 them?
- 14 A We had the information from them. That was it.
- 15 Q And what did you do with the information that you
- 16 took from the reports generally speaking?
- 17 A Generally speaking?
- 18 Q Yes.
- 19 A I would say preparation of the memos for the
- 20 committee testimony.
- 21 Q At what point would you have discarded the reports
- 22 that you had printed?
- 23 A I don't recall.
- 24 Q The next page, which is 35, is an E-mail from
- 25 Mr. Van Der Wielen to you dated May 10th. Do you

		VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	. AL	JAI	VIN. 1 OLIZ 12/2 1/2011
1		see that?	1	Q	It identifies on there a subject matter that says
2	Α	Yes, I do.	2		All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 to 2010. Do
3	Q	There's a link in there?	3		you see that?
4	Α	Uh-huh.	4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	What is that link to?	5	Q	And then it says there's an Excel spreadsheet
6	Α	I don't recall.	6		that's attached?
7	Q	Do you know whether that still is a live link?	7	Α	Yes.
8	Α	I don't know.	8	Q	Is that Excel spreadsheet among the materials
9	Q	The subject just says Data, correct?	9		you're producing today?
10	Α	Yes.	10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Is there a way from telling from the link what	11	Q	And the subject line indicates All Election Data
12		that data pertained to?	12		Spreadsheet. What is contained within that
13	Α	No.	13		spreadsheet generally speaking, what data?
14	Q	The next page, which is Page 44	14	Α	Judging by the file name it appears to be a ward
15	Α	Uh-huh.	15		breakdown of all of the data that LTSB provides to
16	Q	That's an E-mail with an attachment, is that	16		us. The VTDS is indicative of ward level data.
17		correct, the next page?	17	Q	So would that have been all election results from
18	Α	Yes.	18		2000 to 2010 by ward?
19	Q	And this is simply you're asking Mr. Van	19	Α	I believe so, yes.
20		Der Wielen for technical support in using	20	Q	Is that material or information that you
21		autoBound?	21		considered during the redistricting process?
22	Α	Mr. Squires in this case.	22		MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert
23	_	You're asking Mr. Squires?	23		the privilege, the legislative privilege, for
24		And Mr. Squires and Mr. Van Der Wielen is included	24		the reasons set forth in the privilege log
25		in the reply from the initial E-mail between	25		that we have submitted in connection with the
		61			63
1		Tad Ottman and Ryan Squires.	1		documents today. That goes to information
2	Q	On the page that begins 46 and we're working	2		concerning motives, objectives, plans,
3		backwards here chronologically in time it appears.	3		reports or procedures used by lawmakers to
4		This is an E-mail from Mr. Van Der Wielen to you	4		prepare the redistricting plans here. And
5		and to Mr. Ottman on May 4th, correct?	5		that's based on the Committee for a Fair and
6	Α	Uh-huh.	6		Balanced Map which is the central decision
7	Q		7		for Judge Stadtmueller's decision concerning
8		Do you see that?	8		the motions to quash. The legislative
9	Α	Yes, I do.	9		privilege applies to considerations made. It
10	_	What's the purpose of this E-mail from	10		does not apply to objective facts that may
11		Mr. Van Der Wielen?	11		have been used which is ultimately the
12	Α	It appears as though there was an addition to the	12		subject of what's being presented here in
13		database, and this is the steps to prepare the	13		terms of the information contained in this
14		database for the updated data from LTSB.	14		exhibit.
15	Q	It looks like Page 49 is a continuation of that	15		MR. POLAND: Is there instruction
16		same E-mail; is that correct? No. That's not	16		not to answer?
17		correct, is it? Let's actually turn to the next	17		MR. McLEOD: I would instruct
18		page which is Page 48.	18		Mr. Foltz not to answer as it relates to
19	Α	Uh-huh.	19		matters within the scope of legislative
20	Q		20		privilege.
21	-	sorry. It's to Mr. Ottman and Mr. Van Der Wielen	21	Q	Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
22		and a copy to you?	22	_	to answer the question?
23	Δ	Correct.	23	Δ	Yes.
24	_	And that's dated April 14th?	24	_	If you turn
ı •	_		l	_	<u> </u>
25	Α	Uh-huh.	25		MR. McLEOD: Can I have the last
25	Α	Uh-huh. 62	25		MR. McLEOD: Can I have the last 64

_		VIDEOTALE DEL COLLICITOR OF	1		
1		question that you asked read back so that I	1		be used as legislative privilege; why it
2		can hear it again, please.	2		would be used to draw Act 43 or Act 44. I
3		MR. POLAND: Yes.	3		think that falls within the scope of the
4		(The following was read by the reporter:	4		legislative privilege, and I would instruct
5		"Is that material or information that you	5		the witness not to answer. If there's a more
6		considered during the redistricting process?")	6		general question about why this information
7		MR. McLEOD: Let me make sure my	7		would be used in the redistricting process as
8		objection is clear which is to the extent	8		sort of underlying data, objective facts
9		that election data generally formed the basis	9		used, I think that would fall outside of the
10		or objective facts used in the drawing of	10		scope of the privilege. I think there's a
11		districts in the redistricting process, I	11		division here between what I think you asked
12		don't think the legislative privilege	12		and what I think is appropriate for him to
13		applies. To the extent you're asking about	13		answer.
14		what amounts to the manner in which it was	14	Q	Let me ask you first generally. Why would this
15		used and for what purpose, it does fall	15	_	type of data be used?
16		within the scope of legislative privilege.	16	Δ	I couldn't answer that.
17		To the extent that the question merely asked	17	_	You mentioned that it was included as part of the
18		was this information used, I don't think the	18	_	package that the LTSB sent out?
19		privilege would apply, and I wouldn't	19	Λ	Correct.
20		instruct the witness not to answer that	20		Did you have a specific purpose in mind in using
21			21	Q	
22	Q	specific question.	22		this data to draw the assembly districts in
	Q				Act 43?
23		Was this information used during the redistricting	23		MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert
24		process?	24		the legislative privilege and instruct the
25	А	Yes.	25		witness not to answer.
		65			67
	_			_	
1	_	What did you use it for?	1	_	And you're going to follow counsel's instruction?
2	_	What did you use it for?  It's the underlying data at the ward level.	2	Α	Correct.
2	A		2	Α	
2 3 4	A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.	2 3 4	Α	Correct.
2 3 4 5	A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the	2 3 4 5	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone
2 3 4 5 6	A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?	2 3 4	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in
2 3 4 5	A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more	2 3 4 5	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?
2 3 4 5 6	A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data,	2 3 4 5 6	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election	2 3 4 5 6 7	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.  Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.  Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.  Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data?  No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A Q	It's the underlying data at the ward level. And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process? To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level. And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43? It is part of the underlying data. Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts? Yes. Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data? No. Why did you decide to use that data?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are conversations outside of the scope of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.  Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data?  No.  Why did you decide to use that data?  It was a standard included database from LTSB	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are conversations outside of the scope of those two privileges, he's free to answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.  Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data?  No.  Why did you decide to use that data?  It was a standard included database from LTSB provided to all four caucuses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are conversations outside of the scope of those two privileges, he's free to answer.  Did you have any conversations with anyone other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level. And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process? To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level. And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43? It is part of the underlying data. Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts? Yes. Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data? No. Why did you decide to use that data? It was a standard included database from LTSB provided to all four caucuses. Why is the election data from 2000 to 2010 being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are conversations outside of the scope of those two privileges, he's free to answer.  Did you have any conversations with anyone other than counsel or legislators about the use of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level.  And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level.  And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data.  Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.  Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data?  No.  Why did you decide to use that data?  It was a standard included database from LTSB provided to all four caucuses.  Why is the election data from 2000 to 2010 being used to draw the 2011 Wisconsin Act 43 legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are conversations outside of the scope of those two privileges, he's free to answer.  Did you have any conversations with anyone other than counsel or legislators about the use of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level. And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process? To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level. And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43? It is part of the underlying data. Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts? Yes. Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data? No. Why did you decide to use that data? It was a standard included database from LTSB provided to all four caucuses. Why is the election data from 2000 to 2010 being used to draw the 2011 Wisconsin Act 43 legislative districts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q Q	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are conversations outside of the scope of those two privileges, he's free to answer.  Did you have any conversations with anyone other than counsel or legislators about the use of the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing 2011 Wisconsin Act 43?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	It's the underlying data at the ward level. And what was it used for specifically in the redistricting process?  To draw the districts. Well, I should say more accurately given that this is ward level data, it reflects the given demographics and election results broken down at the ward level. And that was used during the redistricting process to draw the districts that resulted in Act 43?  It is part of the underlying data. Part of the underlying data that was used to draw Act 43, the assembly districts?  Yes.  Did anybody in particular instruct you to use that data?  No.  Why did you decide to use that data?  It was a standard included database from LTSB provided to all four caucuses.  Why is the election data from 2000 to 2010 being used to draw the 2011 Wisconsin Act 43 legislative districts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q Q	Correct.  Did you ever have any conversations with anyone about using the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing Wisconsin Act 43?  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert two privileges. One is the legislative privilege to the extent that it involves conversations with members of the legislature or legislative aides or it falls within the legislative privilege.  To the extent that it calls for a response that involves communications with counsel, it would fall within the scope of attorney-client privilege.  On those two grounds I would instruct the witness not to answer. If there are conversations outside of the scope of those two privileges, he's free to answer.  Did you have any conversations with anyone other than counsel or legislators about the use of the 2000 to 2010 election data in drawing 2011 Wisconsin Act 43?

A Tony Van Der Wielen and Brian Squires. A Right. 2 Q Anyone other than Mr. Van Der Wielen and 2 Q -- also relate to the all election data 3 Mr. Squires? spreadsheet, correct? A Uh-huh. Q Did you discuss it at all with Mr. Gaddie? Q There's another E-mail that appears on Pages 54 A The underlying data? through 56? 7 7 A Uh-huh.  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$  Yes. Or using the underlying election result 8 Q That also relates to the all election data A Yes. spreadsheet? 10 10 Q What were the discussions that you had with A Correct. 11 11 Q Right? And then if we turn to the next page, Mr. Gaddie about that subject? 12 A I don't recall. I don't recall the specific 12 Pages 57 through 59. 13 13 conversations. 14 14 Q That also relates to the all election data Q Do you recall generally what you discussed about 15 15 the election results using that data with spreadsheet, correct? 16 16 Mr. Gaddie? 17 A With respect to this specific file or --17 Q And then on Pages 60 to 61 there's another E-mail 18 Q Just generally with respect to the legislative 18 from Mr. Squires to you and Mr. Ottman also 19 19 redistricting process. relating to the all election data spreadsheet? 20 A I'm sorry. State the question again. 20 A Uh-huh. 21 21 Q Sure. What did you and Mr. Gaddie discuss about Q And then the same thing on Page 62. That's 22 22 using the 2000 to 2010 election data in creating another E-mail from Mr. Van Der Wielen relating to 23 23 the assembly districts that were included in the all election data spreadsheet, correct? 24 24 A Yes. Wisconsin Act 43? 25 25 MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert an Q In each of those E-mails that we have just talked 69 71 1 1 about there is an indication that there are -objection to the form of the question. I 2 think it mischaracterizes what he previously 2 strike that question. In the E-mails that are on 3 stated. It was vague and ambiguous. 3 Pages 60 and 62 --4 To the extent that you can answer the A Uh-huh. question, please do so. Q -- it indicates there is a zip file that's 6 A Dr. Gaddie was made aware of the existence of this 6 attached; is that correct? 7 data. A Yes. Q And did you discuss this data with Dr. Gaddie? Q Are those zip files that you're producing today? q A If not the zip file the Excel spreadsheet 10 10 Q What did you and Dr. Gaddie discuss about this contained within that zip folder. 11 data? 11 Q So those were Excel spreadsheets then that were 12 12 A That it is available. within the zip files? 13 13 Q All right. Did you discuss at all how it would be A Correct. It's the same file we have been seeing 14 14 used in preparing what became the assembly time and time again going back through this. For 15 15 districts included in Wisconsin Act 43? some reason they decided to attach it as a zip 16 16 A I don't recall. file for the remainder of the time as we worked 17 17 Q Did Dr. Gaddie suggest that you take this data through some of the errors we were catching. As 18 18 into account in drawing the assembly districts? you see in the E-mail chain, the revisions were 19 A I don't recall. 19 reflected in the updated spreadsheet going  ${f Q}$  Did you discuss this data with anyone other than 20 20 forward. 21 21 Q The remaining documents that we have here on the people we have already discussed? 22 22 A Not that I can recall. Page 63 through the end of this particular 23 23 Q If you turn then to Page 51. document -- the pages are not all numbered in any A Uh-huh. particular order --25 25 Q It looks like Pages 51 through 53 --A Uh-huh.

- 1 Q -- it would appear. It looks like it goes from
- 2 Page 63 up to 76, and then there are a number of
- 3 pages that are numbered either 1, 2 or 3 in the
- 4 remainder of that document; is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And these are all E-mails between you and
- 7 Mr. Ottman and Mr. Van Der Wielen and Mr. Squires
- 8 it would appear?
- 9 A It would appear. There may be a Dana Wolff E-mail
- 10 that is in there potentially. Maybe not. They
- 11 appear to be between Ryan Squires, Tony
- 12 Van Der Wielen, Tad and I.
- 13 Q There is --
- 14 A There is one Dana Wolff here, and that attachment
- 15 is included on the disk.
- ${f 16}$   ${f Q}$  And there is an E-mail that is I think second --
- 17 it appears it's the last E-mail.
- 18 A Uh-huh.
- 19 Q There's one additional name on there and that's --
- 20 I'm go to ask you to pronounce the name.
- 21 A Joel Ylvisaker.
- 22 Q And he's with LTSB as well?
- 23 A Yes.

1

24 Q There is a reference to Fred in that E-mail. Who

73

- 25 is that that Mr. Squires is referring to?
  - A He would be referring to Fred Hejazi. I believe
- 2 he works at or is potentially the CEO of City Gate
- 3 GIS which is the company that produces autoBound.
- 4 Q Again, a technical question? Does that appear
- 5 what you're asking about here?
- 6 A Yes. There's an air capture after that which
- 7 would be some snafu with the software.
- 8 Q I would like to look at the next document in the
- 9 stack or set of documents. It appears that there
- 10 are several printouts of E-mails from a Gmail
- 11 account?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And that is your own personal Gmail account?
- 14 A That is.
- ${f 15}$   ${f Q}$  Did you use your Gmail account for communicating
- 16 with other people in the redistricting process?
- 17 A Sometimes.
- 18 Q And so this first E-mail that's printed out is
- from Sunday, July 17th?
- 20 A Uh-huh.
- ${f 21}$   ${f Q}$  It's from Dr. Gaddie to Mr. Ottman, and then a
- number of people are CC'D on this E-mail, correct?
- 23 A Yes
- 24 Q Now, what's the purpose of sending this E-mail?
- 25 A It appears that this is Tad Ottman showing

- 1 Dr. Gaddie the amendment for the Hispanic
- 2 districts, Assembly Districts 8 and 9, that was
- 3 adopted by the committee.
- 4 Q And Mr. Ottman's E-mail is on Sunday, July 17th,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And that is after the hearing, correct?
- A Vec
- 9 Q Do you know, was Mr. Ottman responding to a
- 10 request that Mr. Gaddie had?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q Do you know -- in his E-mail Mr. Ottman says to
- 13 Dr. Gaddie that Jim Troupis asked that Dr. Gaddie
- 14 look at the amendment that was adopted in
- 15 committee on the Hispanic districts. Do you see
- 16 that
- 17 A I do.
- 18 Q Do you know why Mr. Troupis made that request?
- 19 A I do not.
- 20 Q Did you have a discussion at all with Mr. Troupis
- 21 about that?
- 22 A I don't recall.
- 23 Q Did you have a discussion with Mr. Ottman about
- 24 that?
- 25 A I don't recall.
- 75
- 1 Q Did you participate in any discussions with
- 2 Mr. Gaddie on the issue of the Wisconsin Hispanic
- 3 districts?
- 4 A Yes.
- ${f 5}$   ${f Q}$  When did you have those discussions with
- 6 Mr. Gaddie?
- 7 A I don't recall.
- 8 Q Was it before the July 13th hearing?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Generally speaking what did you and Dr. Gaddie
- 11 discuss?
- 12 A The Hispanic districts.
- 13 Q Anything in particular about them?
- 14 A Basically how to draw them correctly.
- 15 Q Did you have those discussions before the
- 16 districts were actually drawn?
- 17 A I don't recall.
- 18 Q And when we're talking about the Hispanic
- districts, we mean Districts 8 and 9, Assembly
- 20 Districts 8 and 9, correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q And those are in Milwaukee?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Now, you will see in Mr. Ottman's E-mail it says,
- 25 "Amendment Two is the configuration that was

```
adopted. The HVAP in AD 8 65 percent and AD 9 is
                                                                     just generally?
 2
       54 percent." Do you see that?
                                                              2
                                                                 A Generally this is an E-mail chain forwarded to me
 3
   A Yes.
                                                              3
                                                                     by a legal counsel reflecting the conversations
    Q All right. And what is HVAP?
                                                                     between MALDEF, the Mexico American Legal Defense
    A Hispanic voting age population.
                                                                     Education Fund, and legal counsel regarding the
    Q It says that's it's 60.5 percent in District 8,
                                                                     configurations of Assembly Districts 8 and 9.
 7
                                                              7
       right, correct?
                                                                 Q And it was sent to you on Monday, July 11th,
 8
    A Yes.
                                                              8
                                                                     correct --
    Q Do you know who made the decision to go with the
                                                                 A It appears that way.
10
                                                             10
       60.5 number there?
                                                                 Q -- of 2011? And that was two days before the
11
                                                             11
    A That was the result of conversations between legal
                                                                    hearing, the July public hearing?
12
                                                             12
       counsel and the Mexican American Legal Defense
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                             13
13
       Education Fund or MALDEF.
                                                                 Q When you refer to legal counsel, that's
14
    Q And what about the 54 percent number in AD 9? Was
                                                             14
                                                                    Mr. Troupis, correct?
15
       that also a decision made by legal counsel and
                                                             15
                                                                 A It appears so.
16
                                                             16
       MALDEF?
                                                                 Q Do you know why Mr. Troupis was sending this to
17
    A Yes.
                                                             17
                                                                    vou?
18
    Q When you say legal counsel, are you referring to
                                                             18
                                                                                 MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert
       any attorney in particular?
                                                             19
19
                                                                         the attorney-client privilege. To the extent
20
                                                             20
                                                                         that the answer requires disclosure of any
21
                                                             21
    Q Were you a participant in any conversations or
                                                                         communication between Mr. Troupis as counsel
22
                                                             22
                                                                         and Mr. Foltz I will instruct Mr. Foltz not
       communications between MALDEF and legal counsel
23
       having to do with the HVAP in either Assembly
                                                             23
                                                                         to answer.
24
       District 8 or 9?
                                                             24
                                                                 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
25 A No.
                                                             25
                                                                     to answer the question?
                           77
    Q Do you know whether Mr. Ottman participated in
 1
                                                              1
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                              2
 2
       those?
                                                                                 MR. EARLE: Excuse me, Doug?
                                                              3
 3
   A I do not know.
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: Yes, Peter?
    Q The next document is an E-mail. It looks like
                                                                                  MR. EARLE: During the next break
       it's two pages or at least in my copy it's two
                                                                         could you have somebody fax me a copy of that
 6
                                                              6
       pages stapled together.
                                                                         exhibit?
 7
    A Uh-huh.
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: It's a number of
    Q Again, E-mails from Sunday, July 17th. It looks
                                                                         pages. I can see if we can have it scanned
 q
       like that first page is again the same E-mail that
                                                              9
                                                                         to you, Peter.
10
                                                             10
       we just looked at from Mr. Ottman to Mr. Gaddie,
                                                                                 MR. EARLE: That would be helpful.
11
       correct?
                                                             11
                                                                         Thank you.
                                                             12
12
    A It appears that way.
                                                                                  MR. SHRINER: Doug, you're marking
13
                                                             13
    Q And the page that's attached is an E-mail from
                                                                         this 27?
14
                                                             14
       Dr. Gaddie to Mr. Ottman, correct?
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: No. This is actually
                                                             15
15
    A Yes.
                                                                         all one exhibit. It is Exhibit 25.
16
    Q It just says, "I will look at them and can talk
                                                             16
                                                                                  MR. SHRINER: I thought we had a
17
                                                             17
       after 5:00 p.m.," correct?
                                                                         26
18
    A Yes.
                                                             18
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: We do have a 26.
19
    Q Did you participate in any follow-up conversation
                                                             19
                                                                         There are two different disks.
20
                                                             20
       with Dr. Gaddie?
                                                                 Q Mr. Foltz, there is an E-mail from Elisa Alfonso
21
    A No.
                                                             21
                                                                     to Mr. Troupis dated Monday, July 11th, and that
22
                                                             22
   Q The next document -- my copy is stapled together.
                                                                     is something that Mr. Troupis is forwarding to
23
                                                             23
       I'm not sure if your copy is as well.
                                                                     Mr. Ottman and to you, correct?
                                                                 A Yes.
24
    A Yes.
                                                             24
25
                                                             25 Q Did you ever speak with Elisa Alfonso?
    Q All right. What is this collection of pages here
```

- 2 Q There's a CC to Alonzo Rivas as well?
- A Vac
- Q Did you ever speak with Alonzo Rivas?
- 5 A No.
- ${f Q}$  Did you ever personally participate in any
- 7 conversations with MALDEF?
- 8 A No.
- Q Or I should say any representative of MALDEF.
- 10
- 11 Q If you turn to the fourth page into the stapled
- 12 group of E-mails, you will see an E-mail from
- 13 Jim Troupis to Elisa Alfonso and Alonzo Rivas
- 14 dated July 11th at 6:41 p.m.?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Do you see Mr. Troupis says, "Lisa and Alonzo, I
- 17 liked your proposal. We have taken it a bit
- 18 further. Here is a comparison of MALDEF's
- 19 proposal to a suggestion we think might work a bit
- 20 better."
- 21 A Uh-huh.

A Yes.

1

- Q "MALDEF's option is shown in color and our 22
- 23 suggestion to do the same thing on the same
- 24 template is shown in outline forms as an overlay."
- 25 Do you see that?
- 81
- 2 Q Is that attached to this E-mail chain?
- A Yes, it is. The very last page is the overlay
- that Mr. Troupis is referring to.
- Q The very last page is?
- 6 A Let me just double-check that. Shown in color and
- 7 shown in outline form -- yes.
- Q And then there are HVAP numbers under two plans.
- q Do you see that?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q There are numbers listed for MALDEF?
- 12
- 13 Q And then Mr. Troupis says, "Our alternative"?
- 14 A Uh-huh.
- 15 Q Do you know what he means by our alternative?
- 16 A Our alternative is reflected in the outline of the
- 17 map on the back of this packet.
- 18 Q And when he says our, whose alternative is that?
- 19 A Ours. The redistricting team, for lack of a
- 20 better term.
- 21 Q Do you know who came up with those numbers, the
- 22 60.52 and 54.03 numbers?
- 23 A Either Tad Ottman or I.
- Q How did you arrive at those numbers?
- 25 A The software.

- Q And when you say the software, you mean autoBound?
- A AutoBound, yes.
- Q Is there a way to cause autoBound to generate that
- kind of number? In other words, a calculation to
- generate that kind of a number?
- A It's part of the software, yes.
- 7 Q How would you go about -- strike that question.
- How does autoBound calculate that number?
- A Well, I don't know the nuts and bolts of it.
- 10 Q But generally speaking how is it generated?
- 11 A Using census data.
- Q Do you know whether autoBound when it's generating
- 13 that takes citizenship into account?
- 14 A No. It does not. It is not a census -- it is not
- 15 a census category of data.
- 16 Q So autoBound only uses census categories of data?
- 17 A And the other -- for example, the election data
- 18 that we were talking about was part of the
- 19 autoBound data. That is outside of the scope of
- 20 the census but included in the autoBound
- 21 underlying database.
- 22 Q So what all data was included within the autoBound
- 23 database that you used in the redistricting
- 24 process?
- 25 A Say that again.

- 1 Q What data was included in the autoBound database
- 2 that you used to produce the assembly district
- 3 maps as part of Act 43?
- A The PL 94 171 data provided to the U.S. Census
- Bureau and the redistricting data office and
- 6 merged with the election data provided to us by
- 7 LTSB and all four caucuses.
- 8 Q Any other data that was part of the autoBound
- database that you used?
- 10 A Not to my knowledge.
- 11 Q Do you know, does autoBound have the capability to
- 12 add data other than that?
- 13 A I don't know.
- 14 Q The autoBound database that you used to create
- 15 Wisconsin Act 43, is that included within the
- 16 materials that you have produced today?
- 17 A Yes, it is.
- 18 Q If you turn to -- the pages aren't numbered here,
- 19 so I'm trying to count from the back end.
- 20 A Uh-huh.
- 21 Q If you turn to the 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6th page from
- 22 the back end of the document, there's an E-mail
- 23 from Mr. Troupis to Elisa Alfonso and Alonzo Rivas
- 24 dated July 12th. It's on the bottom half of that
- 25 page.

- 1 A The 11:41 or --
- 2 Q It is 10:35. It's just down below that one.
- 3 A Yes. T see that.
- 4 Q All right. Do you see that Mr. Troupis says, "I'm
- 5 meeting with legislative leaders this afternoon."
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Were you a part of the meeting between Mr. Troupis
- 9 and the legislative leaders?
- 10 A I don't recall.
- 11 Q Do you recall meeting with Mr. Troupis and the
- 12 legislative leaders with respect to the Hispanic
- 13 districts in Milwaukee generally?
- 14 A I don't recall.
- 15 Q Mr. Troupis also states in that E-mail, "This
- 16 morning I asked staff to consult with our
- 17 Legislative Research Bureau on these alternatives
- 18 as they must ultimately draft any amendment." Do
- 19 you see that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Do you recall consulting with the LRB on these
- 22 alternatives?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q All right. Who did you talk to at the LRB about
- 25 these alternatives?
  - .
- 1 A I don't recall. Probably Mike Keane, but I don't
- 2 recall.
- ${f 3}$   ${f Q}$  What was your conversation with someone at the LRB
- 4 whether it was Mike Keane or someone else?
- 5 A Instructions on drafting the amendment that MALDEF
- 6 had signed off on.
- ${f 7}$   ${f Q}$  What types of instructions did you need to receive
- 8 from Mr. Keane.
- 9 A What did he need to receive from me or Tad Ottman?
- 10 Q I'm sorry. Yes. What did he need to receive from
- 11 you?
- 12 A The block assignment file that would be used to
- 13 draft the Hispanic district configuration
- 14 amendment that MALDEF agreed to.
- 15 Q Did you ultimately transmit a block assignment
- 16 file to the LRB?
- 17 A I don't know if I did. Either Tad Ottman or I.
- 18 Q One of the two of you would have done that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Is that block assignment file that you sent to
- 21 them part of the materials you're producing today?
- 22 A I don't know off the top of my head.
- ${\bf 23}\quad {\bf Q}$  Were block assignment files maintained through the
- 24 course of the redistricting process?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Why would they not be retained through the course
- 2 of the redistricting process?
- 3 A A block assignment file is not the proprietary
- 4 format that autoBound uses to store information.
- 5 It's a deliberate export of data that allows GIS
- 6 software to be able to speak to each other across
- 7 proprietary formats.
- 8 Q All right. So you had to export data from
- 9 autoBound into a block assignment file; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Is that something that you did with any frequency?
- 13 A No.
- ${f 14}$   ${f Q}$  Did you do it other than to send block assignment
- 15 files to the LRB?
- 16 A Not that I can recall.
- 17 Q So the last two pages of this stapled group of
- 18 documents -- there are two maps, correct?
- 19 A Yes
- 20 Q And the second to the last page, what does that
- 21 map portray?
- 22 A I believe that is Assembly Districts 8 and 9 as
- 23 reflected in Act 43.
- 24 Q So as actually adopted by the legislature?
- 25 A I believe so.

87

- 1 Q And there are three triangles on there with names
- 2 on them, correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q There's one, Josh Zepnick, correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And then JoCasta Zamarripa?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And then Christine Sinicki, correct?
- 9 A Yes. And also Mark Homadel and Jon Richards
- 10 towards the top of the page.
- 11 Q All right. What do those triangles denote?
- 12 A The residence of incumbents.
- 13 Q Why is it important to have the residence of
- 14 incumbents reflected on the map?
- 15 A It is part of the standard data set that was
- 16 provided to us by LTSB.
- 17 Q The next page is -- this is the last page of the
- 18 stapled set. What does this map reflect?
- 19 A This is the alternative that MALDEF proposed to us
- 20 that was between the 57/57 HVAC configuration of
- 21 ADs 8 and 9 and the 64/50 between 8 and 9. This
- 22 was MALDEF's attempt to draw a 60/53 I believe it
- 23 was on HVAP and the outline -- as Jim Troupis
- 24 mentioned, the outline is our counterproposal that
- 25 was a 60/54 configuration.

- 1 **Q** Now, within 8 and 9 there is also -- the top half
- 2 of it is light blue, and the bottom half -- well,
- 3 the bottom portion is a darker green. Do you see
- 4 that?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- ${f 6}$   ${f Q}$  What did light blue and the darker portion of the
- 7 green represent?
- 8 A That was MALDEF's proposal to us.
- 9 Q And then the solid blue line that appears on that
- 10 last page --
- 11 A Uh-huh. Okay. I see it.
- 12 Q The solid blue line. What does that denote?
- 13 A The solid blue line would be the outline of AD 8
- 14 as reflected in Act 43.
- ${f 15}$   ${f Q}$  And then the solid black line, is that AD 9 as
- 16 reflected in Act 43?
- 17 A Wholly contained, but you can also see the
- 18 boundaries of other districts sprawling out there.
- 19 Q Why was a decision made not to go with MALDEF's
- 20 proposal?
- 21 A It would have required redrawing of at least four
- 22 other assembly districts, so we proposed to them
- 23 our version of a 60/54 alternative that they
- 24 signed off on.
- ${f 25}$   ${f Q}$  Was anyone other than MALDEF consulted on the
  - 89
- 1 eventual configuration of these two districts, 8
- 2 and 9?
- 3 A Not that I know of.
- 4 Q Did you personally speak with anyone about the
- 5 configuration of Districts 8 and 9?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q You can set that document to the side. The last
- 8 document that was in the paper copies at least
- 9 that you provided to us today --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q -- is an 11-by-17 it appears.
- 12 A Wh-huh.
- 13 Q It's a chart. What is this chart?
- 14 A This is something that I put together at the
- 15 instruction of Dr. Gaddie.
- 16 Q When did you put this together?
- 17 A I don't recall.
- 18 Q Was it before or after your testimony on
- 19 July 13th?
- 20 A Before.
- 21 Q What was the purpose of this?
- 22 A Relevant information that Dr. Gaddie needed.
- 23 Q Do you know what he needed it for?
- 24 A Well, the column headings reflect the different
- 25 categories of information reflected in the

- 1 following information.
- 2 Q Did he tell you why he needed it?
- 3 A To perform some analysis.
- 4 Q Do you know the analysis that he was performing?
- 5 A T do not
  - $oldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$  Did Dr. Gaddie make the request of you to compile
- 7 this chart?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q So he spoke to you directly about it?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Was he at Michael Best & Friedrich's offices
- 12 working with you when he asked you to prepare this
- 13 chart?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Was anyone else present?
- 16 A I don't know.
- 17 Q Was he present in the office that you and
- 18 Mr. Ottman typically worked in?
- 19 A Yes
- 20 Q How many times did Mr. Gaddie travel to Madison
- 21 and work in that office at Michael
- 22 Best & Friedrich with you?
- 23 A I don't recall.
- 24 Q Was anyone else present at times when you and
- 25 Mr. Gaddie were together in Michael Best's
  - 91
- 1 offices?
- 2 A Legal counsel and Joe Handrick.
- 3 Q What about Mr. Ottman? Was he ever present as
- 4 well?
- 5 A And Mr. Ottman. I apologize.
- ${f 6}$   ${f Q}$  When you say legal counsel, that would be one of
- 7 the attorneys that you have mentioned before?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Was anyone else ever present when you Mr. Gaddie
- 10 were there working together?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q You can set that document to the side. There were
- 13 also two disks that you brought with you today,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q I'm going to hand you a copy of a disk that has an
- 17 exhibit sticker on it that says Exhibit No. 26.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q Do you have that in front of you?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q You see there's a label on that CD case?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What does the label say?
- 24 A Documents Responsive to 12/13/11 Subpoena.
- ${f 25}$   ${f Q}$  Do you know what documents roughly are on that

```
Peter, I will see if I can get a scanned
 2
                                                              2
    A Various documents that are responsive to the
                                                                         copy of those documents to you.
 3
       subpoena.
                                                                                 MR. EARLE: That would be great.
    Q Are they all electronic files?
                                                                                     (Recess)
 5
                                                                 Q Mr. Foltz, we just came back from a lunch break.
    Q Not scanned documents I mean?
                                                                    During the lunch break did you meet with anyone
 7
    A No.
                                                                    other than Mr. McLeod?
 8
    Q Generally speaking what kinds of electronic files
                                                                 A I ate lunch with Marie and Dan and Tom.
       are on there if you can answer the question?
                                                                 Q And did you discuss anything about your testimony
10
                                                             10
    A Generally objective facts used to craft the map.
                                                                     this morning?
11
                                                             11
    Q Are there any data analysis files in there do you
                                                                 A Nope.
12
                                                             12
       know?
                                                                 Q This morning I asked you a number of questions
                                                             13
13
    A No.
                                                                    about the documents that you brought with you
14
    Q Where were these electronic documents located?
                                                             14
                                                                    today, correct?
    A On my computer and in E-mail attachments.
                                                             15
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                             16
                                                                 Q All right. Have you seen a copy of discovery
    Q Where is that computer located?
                                                             17
                                                                    orders that were entered by the Court in this
17
    A Michael Best.
18
    Q Is that a desktop computer or a laptop?
                                                             18
                                                                    case?
19
                                                             19
                                                                 A Could I see them?
20
    Q So not one that you could take with you other
                                                             20
                                                                 Q Yes. Let's mark them as an exhibit.
21
                                                             21
                                                                             (Exhibit Nos. 28 and 29 marked for
       places?
22
                                                             22
    A Correct.
                                                                              identification)
23
    Q Was there any kind of a data repository created
                                                             23
                                                                 Q Mr. Foltz, I've handed you two documents. The
24
                                                             24
       like an FTP site or anything that data was put in
                                                                    first has been marked as Exhibit No. 28. You see
25
                                                             25
       to that you used?
                                                                    it's an order. Down at the bottom it's dated --
                           93
                                                                                        95
    A No.
                                                              1
                                                                    there's a stamp and it says Filed 12/8/11. Do you
 1
 2
    Q All of the data that you used for the
                                                              2
                                                                    see that?
 3
       redistricting process was on your computer?
                                                              3
                                                                 A Yes, I do.
    A Yes.
                                                                 Q All right. Did you ever receive a copy of this
    Q Exhibit No. 27 then. I'm going to hand you a copy
                                                                    order?
 6
       of that. Can you identify on the label what that
                                                                 A I believe so, yes.
 7
                                                                 Q Who did you receive it from?
       savs.
    A Statewide Data Base.
                                                                 A Eric McLeod.
    Q And what is that?
                                                              9
                                                                 Q Did you ever have a discussion -- I'm just asking
                                                             10
10
    A This is underlying data provided by LTSB to all
                                                                    now whether you had a discussion. I'm not asking
11
       four caucuses that autoBound looks to for
                                                             11
                                                                    what was said. Did you ever have a discussion
12
                                                             12
       Wisconsin geography. It also contains the
                                                                    with Mr. McLeod about how this would affect the
13
                                                             13
       incumbent shaped file, those plots we were talking
                                                                    subpoena and your production of materials pursuant
14
                                                             14
       about earlier with the triangles and the dots.
                                                                    to it?
15
       Those are contained in here as well. And the 2002
                                                             15
                                                                 A Yes.
16
       plans for the assembly senate and court maps.
                                                             16
                                                                 Q Exhibit No. 29.
17
                                                             17
    Q Is the autoBound database that you referred to
                                                                 A Uh-huh.
18
                                                             18
       before on one of these two disks?
                                                                 Q If you look at the bottom, you will see that it's
19
    A Yes.
                                                             19
                                                                    dated December 20, 2011. That's yesterday?
20
    Q Is that in the disk that's Exhibit 26?
                                                             20
21
    A 27.
                                                             21
                                                                 Q Did you ever see a copy of this order?
                                                             22 A Yes.
22
    Q It's in 27?
23
                                                             23
                                                                 Q And who gave you this order?
24
                    MR. POLAND: Why don't we take
                                                                 A Joe Olson with Michael Best.
25
                                                             25
            about a five-minute break.
                                                                 Q And did you have a discussion with Mr. Olson or
```

- 1 Mr. McLeod about how this order would affect your
- 2 production of materials in this case?
- 3 A Production of my materials? No.
- 4 Q What about the production of materials pursuant to
- 5 the subpoena served on you?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q You didn't discuss how this order in Exhibit 29
- 8 would affect that?
- 9 A Not that I can recall.
- 10 Q Okay. You can set those to the side. Mr. Foltz,
- 11 where do you currently reside?
- 12 A Sun Prairie.
- 13 Q How long have you lived there?
- 14 A Year and a half.
- 15 Q Do you have a résumé or a curriculum vitae, a CV?
- 16 A No, I don't. Not an updated one I should say.
- 17 Q How old is the last one that you have?
- 18 A Pretty old at this point.
- 19 Q Does it predate the time that you started working
- 20 for the assembly?
- 21 A Does not predate the time working in the assembly.
- 22 Does predate my time working for Representative
- 23 Fitzgerald.
- 24 Q Rough date?
- 25 A 2007, 2008.
- 97
- Q All right. You graduated from the University of
- Wisconsin-Whitewater, correct?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q And you have a bachelor of arts in finance?
- 5 A And economics.
- 6 Q And economics too? You graduated in 2005?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Did you ever attend law school?
- 9 A No.

1

- 10 Q Do you have a law degree?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Other than your undergraduate studies, have you
- 13 had any formal education beyond high school?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q You have held your current position since what
- 16 year?
- 17 A My current position with Representative Fitzgerald
- 18 was January of 2011. No. Wait. What year is it
- 19 now? 2010.
- 20 Q So since January of 2010 --
- 21 A I'm sorry. Let me think. 2009. January of 2009
- 22 would have been my starting time with
- 23 Representative Fitzgerald.
- 24 Q How did you come to work with Representative
- 25 Fitzgerald?

- 1 A What do you mean?
- 2 Q In January 2009 how did you come to have that job?
- 3 A He hired me.
- 4 Q Before January of 2009 what did you do?
- 5 A I worked for Representative Brett Davis.
- f 6 f Q When were you hired to work with Brett Davis?
- 7 A Approximately November of '07.
- 8 Q And then that was up until January of 2009?
- A Yes.
- ${f 10}$   ${f Q}$  When you started with Representative Fitzgerald in
- January of 2009, what were your tasks?
- 12 A Miscellaneous, jack of all trades type of work.
- 13 Policy, working with the caucus on things like
- 14 member outreach, technology issues where I could
- 15 be helpful. Things along that sort.
- 16 Q And were you tasked immediately to work on
- 17 legislative redistricting in January of 2009?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q When did that come about?
- 20 A Later.
- 21 Q You might have testified to that earlier. I don't
- 22 have that date in front of me.
- 23 A I don't recall the exact date of when Jeff
- 24 assigned me that task.
- 25 Q Do you currently have an office over in the state
  - 99
- 1 capitol?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Do you have your own office or do you share an
- 4 office with someone else?
- 5 A The speaker's office.
- 6 Q All right.
- 7 A There is a desk in the speaker's office.
- 8 Q And do you have a computer at that desk?
- 9 A Yes. There are workstations. There are
- 10 workstations at all of the desks.
- 11 Q That workstation is yours alone at your desk?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Other people work on it as well?
- 14 A Yes.
- ${f 15}$   ${f Q}$  Do you have a cell phone that was issued by your
- 16 employer?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Do you have your own personal cell phone that you
- 19 use?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Is your current cell phone number (715) 360-2779?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q Do you use that cell phone for business as well?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Is it a BlackBerry or does it have E-mail

- VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITIO

  1 capability?
  2 A Yes.
  3 Q Does it have texting capability?
  - 4 A Yes.5 Q What kinds of things did you do for Brett Davis
  - 6 when you worked for him between November 2007 and
  - 7 January 2009?
  - 8 A I worked on policy issues focusing largely on
  - 9 education policy. He was the education committee
- 10 chair at the time although I did not clerk that
- 11 committee. Also just various tasks that a
- 12 legislative aide would provide. Constituent
- 13 relations, constituent outreach. Then I left
- 14 State service to run his assembly race in 2008.
- ${f 15}$   ${f Q}$  So you were working directly for Representative
- 16 Davis in the reelection?
- 17 A No. It's the Republican Party of Wisconsin and
- 18 Republican Assembly Campaign Committee who
- 19 assigned me to run Brett Davis's race.
- 20 Q Got it. And how long did you do that for?
- 21 A I believe I left State service in September and
- 22 returned after the election. I believe it was
- 23 September roughly.
- 24 Q So about one year?
- 25 A September through November.

101

- ${f 1}$   ${f Q}$  September through November. And then before you
- 2 began working for Brett Davis in November 2007
- 3 what did you do?
- 4 A Worked for representative Karl Van Roy.
- 5 Q How long did you do that?
- ${f 6}$   ${f A}$  From January of that year until I began working
- 7 for Brett Davis.
- 8 Q So from January of 2007 through November 2007?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What was your position with Karl Van Roy?
- 11 A Legislative aide.
- 12 Q Before you began working for Karl Van Roy in
- 13 January of 2007 what did you do?
- 14 A I worked for the Republican Party of Wisconsin.
- 15 Q What was your position with the Republican Party
- 16 of Wisconsin?
- 17 A I worked for the Republican Assembly Campaign
- 18 Committee.
- 19 Q When were you hired by the Republican Party of
- 20 Wisconsin?
- 21 A January of '06 maybe. That's a rough estimate.
- 22 Q So for about a year then, January of '06 to
- 23 January of '07?
- 24 A Approximately. Yes.
- ${\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q}$  Was that job in January 2006 your first job out of

- 1 college?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q What did you do before that?
- 4 A Special election for Scott Newcomer for assembly,
- 5 33rd assembly district.
- 6 Q When were you hired by Scott Newcomer?
- 7 A You're really testing my memory here. Whenever
- 8 Dan Vrakas was elected to Waukesha County exec. I
- 9 want to say it was September. Maybe October.
- 10 Q of 2005?
- 11 A Yes. Yes.
- 12 Q And you did that up until about January 2006?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What did you do before you worked for
- 15 Mr. Newcomer?
- 16 A Went to college.
- 17 Q So that was your first job out of college then?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Did you have any part-time positions or
- 20 internships or externships during college working
- 21 with any political subdivision or any campaign?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q So working for Mr. Newcomer was your first
- 24 experience working in politics?
- 25 A In a paid capacity.

103

- 1 Q You had done volunteer work before?
- 2 A Exactly.
- 3 Q Nothing on redistricting, though, before you
- 4 started working on the 2011 redistricting?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q All right. Mr. Foltz, did you meet with anyone to
- 7 prepare for your deposition before you came today?
- 8 A Spoke to legal counsel.
- 9 Q And that would be Mr. McLeod?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Did you meet with anybody else?
- 12 A Other members of the legal team.
- ${f 13}$   ${f Q}$  And when you say the legal team, what attorneys
- 14 specifically?
- 15 A Joe Olson.
- 16 Q Anyone else?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Have you ever given a deposition before?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q This is your first time?
- 21 A Yes.
- ${\bf 22} \quad {\bf Q}$  Were you ever told that you would not have to be
- 23 deposed in this lawsuit?
- 24 A Not that I can recall.
- ${\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q}$  Do you understand you have been identified by the

defendants in this lawsuit as a potential trial A Uh-huh. 2 2 witness? Q Is it true that you were involved in reviewing 3 MR. KELLY: Objection, form. 3 population and other data so as to preserve to the Q You can answer the question. extent possible and practicable the core 5 A I'm sorry. Say the question again. population of prior districts as well as communities of interest? Q Sure. Have you ever been told that you could be a 7 7 A Yes. potential trial witness in this case? 8 A No. 8 Q Yes? Paragraph 13. Is it true that you assisted Q Did you ever discuss with anyone whether you would 9 the legislature in insuring that the new 10 or would not be a witness at trial in this case? 10 redistricting maps to the extent possible kept 11 11 wards and municipalities whole within legislative 12 12 Q I'm going to ask you if you can pull out -- you district boundaries and to the extent possible 13 13 have got a number of different stacks. I'm going recognized local government boundaries? 14 14 A Yes. to ask if you could find Exhibit No. 10. Maybe I 15 can find it for you right here. I would ask you 15 Q And Paragraph Number 14. Is it a true statement 16 16 to take a look at that. If you turn to the very that you assisted the legislature to insure that 17 17 first page, and let's make that the second page, if the voters were shifted from odd to even senate 18 18 you will see that it says Defendants Amended districts they were not unnecessarily 19 Initial Rule 26(A) Disclosures. Do you see that? 19 disenfranchised by being deprived of the 20 20 opportunity to vote? 21 21 Q This is a document that Mr. Hassett, who is not A Yes. 22 22 Q And then Paragraph 15. Did you in fact review the here this afternoon -- he had asked you about it 23 23 2010 decennial census data and the previous this morning. Do you recall when Mr. Hassett 24 24 asked you about this document? districting maps to insure that the new districts 25 25 A I don't remember being asked about this document. were as geographically compact as possible? 105 107 Q Turn to Page 5 of the document if you would. A Yes. 1 1 Q I'm sorry. Actually, I misstated that. The new 2 A Okay. There. Now I do remember being asked about 2 3 3 this document. districts were geographically compact as Q All right. Mr. Hassett had asked you a question practicable. about Paragraph Number Ten. Do you see that A Yes. 6 6 Q If you turn the page to Paragraph 16. Did you in paragraph? 7 A Yes. 7 fact assist the legislature to prevent unnecessary Q And you see that your name is identified there? 8 and unconstitutional voter dilution of minority q voters? 10 10 Q All right. I'm going to ask you whether you were A Yes. 11 involved in reviewing the 2010 decennial census 11 Q And then Paragraph 17. Did you assist the 12 12 and whether you assisted in determining legislature to insure that the new districts 13 13 reflected communities of interest? appropriate constitutional boundaries for the 14 14 A Yes. state districts as memorialized into Act 43. 15 That's a correct statement? 15 Q In carrying out these tasks you will see in each 16 16 A I would -- yes. of the paragraphs we just went over the names 17 17 Q And if you turn the page then to Page 6, you see Tad Ottman and Joe Handrick also are identified. 18 18 A Uh-huh. there's a Paragraph Number 11? 19 19 A Uh-huh. Q In carrying out these tasks did you work both with 20 20 Q Is it a true statement that you reviewed census Mr. Ottman and Mr. Handrick? 21 21 A Yes. and population data from the 2010 decennial census 22 to ensure minimal population deviation for the new

23

25

districts?

Q Paragraph Number 12.

A Yes.

22 Q Did you ever see a copy of this Amended Rule 26(A) 23 Disclosures that's in Exhibit No. 10 before today? A No. Today was the first time. 25 Q Have you discussed with anyone giving testimony on 108

any of those topics that we just went over? Q Did you mark it up or anything? 2 A I've testified on those topics at the legislative A Yes. 3 committee hearing. 3 Q Do you still have that copy of the complaint? Q Have you discussed with anyone testifying at the 4 A No. trial in this case on any of those topics? Q Was it significant to you as a staffer to Speaker 6 A No. Fitzgerald that that complaint was filed? 7 Q Have you seen a copy of the complaint in this 7 A Yes. Q Why was it significant? A Yes. A Because my job was redistricting and I'm tasked to 10  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$  When did you first see a copy of the complaint in 10 be apprised of what is going on with it. 11 11 Q Did you do anything as a result of the complaint this case? 12 A I don't recall. Well, before the map was even 12 being filed? 13 A No. 13 introduced. The initial complaint was before 14 14 anything even public was introduced. There was no Q With respect to redistricting did you do anything 15 act, bill, draft. 15 as a result of the complaint being filed? 16 A What do you mean? Q Let me just give you a copy of the complaint so we 16 17 17 don't have to guess. Q Did it cause you to take any actions, the fact 18 MS. LAZAR: It's Exhibit 11. 18 that the complaint was filed? 19 Q That's right here. This is actually an amended 19 A I read it. 20 complaint. If you see on Exhibit 11 it says 20 Q Have you seen a copy of the answer that was filed 21 21 Second Amended Complaint for Declaratory and to the complaint in this case? 22 A Yes. 22 Injunctive Relief? 23 A Uh-huh. 23 Q When did you first see a copy of the answer? Q Have you seen a copy of this amended complaint? 24 A I don't know. 25 A Yes. 25 Q Do you know whether it was before or after it was 109 111 Q If you flip to the back page, you will see the filed? 1 2 date is November 18, 2011. 2 A Before. 3 A Uh-huh. Q So were you asked to comment on the answer before Q Did you see the original complaint filed in this it was filed? case? A Yes.  ${f 6}$   ${f Q}$  Who asked you to comment on a draft answer before 6 A Yes. Q And that was filed back in June? 7 it was filed? A I believe so. A Legal counsel. Q Who gave you a copy of the complaint? Q Was that Mr. McLeod? 10 A Yes. 10 A I don't recall. 11 Q Do you know why you were given a copy of the 11 Q Anyone else? 12 complaint? 12 A That asked me to comment on it? 13 13 Q Correct. A No. 14 14 A No. Q Were you asked to do anything with respect to the 15 complaint? 15 Q Did you provide Mr. McLeod with comments on the 16 16 A No. draft answer to the complaint? 17 **Q** Did anybody ever ask you to read through it and 17 A Yes. 18 18 give them your impressions of the complaint, the Q Do you understand what discovery is in a lawsuit, 19 allegations and the claims that were made in it? 19 the setting of the lawsuit? A Not that I can recall. 20 A In broad sense. Obviously I'm not an attorney. 21 Q Did you do anything with the complaint when it was 21 Q Have you heard the term interrogatory before? 22 22 A Yes. I've heard of it. given to you? 23 Q This is Exhibit No. 12. Actually, let's do it 23 A Read it. Q Did you keep a copy of it? this way. This is Exhibit No. 13.

25 A Okay.

25 A No.

- 1 Q I'll take that back from you.
- 2 A All right.
- ${f 3}$   ${f Q}$  Do you see that Exhibit No. 12 is -- I'm sorry.
- 4 Exhibit No. 13 says it's Plaintiffs' First Set of
- 5 Interrogatories and First Request for Production
- 6 of Documents. Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes.
- f Q If you turn to the very back page, you will see
- 9 there's a date of November 22, 2011.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q Have you ever seen this document before?
- 12 A I have not.
- 13 Q Did anybody ever ask you to -- actually, strike
- 14 that question. If you turn to Page 3, you will
- see it begins there with an Interrogatory No. 1.
- 16 A I'm sorry. Interrogatory No. 1?
- 17 Q Page 3.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q Bold face that says Interrogatory No. 1?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q If you flip through the pages up through Page 5,
- you will see there are nine interrogatories that
- 23 appear in there?
- 24 A Uh-huh.
- ${f Q}$  Did anybody ever give you these interrogatories
  - 113
- 1 and ask you to provide information to respond to
- 2 them?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q And then beginning on Page 5 it says Request for
- 5 Production of Documents?
- 6 A Uh-huh.
- 7 Q And then if you turn to Pages 6, 7 and 8, you will
- 8 see there are a number of document requests that
- 9 are there?
- 10 A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q A total of 13?
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- ${f 13}$   ${f Q}$  Did anyone ask you to produce those documents in
- 14 response to these particular requests?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Are you aware whether there was ever any document
- 17 that responded to Exhibit No. 13?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did anybody ever show you a draft of such a
- 20 document?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q You testified before that you couldn't recall when
- 23 you first began working on the 2011 redistricting;
- 24 is that correct?
- 25 A Right.

- 1 Q Can you give me a rough approximation?
- 2 A Well, it was when I began working for
- 3 Jeff Fitzgerald in 2009, but it wasn't right at
- 4 January. It was sometime later in the year.
- 5 Q Who was it who told you that you were going to
- 6 work on redistricting?
- 7 A Speaker Fitzgerald.
- 8 Q Do you know how Speaker Fitzgerald made the
- 9 determination he would ask you to do that?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Were you told at the outset of that what your
- 12 involvement would be in the redistricting process?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What were you told?
- 15 A That I would be drawing the map.
- 16 Q Did Speaker Fitzgerald tell you why you would be
- 17 drawing the map?
- 18 A Because it's constitutionally required to draw a
- 19 map every ten years.
- 20 Q Did he tell you why in particular he was going to
- 21 ask you to do that?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Do you know other than from what he told you why
- 24 he asked you in particular to do that?
- 25 A No.

115

- 1 Q You didn't have any experience before then in the
- 2 drawing of legislative district maps, correct?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q So you don't know how it was decided what role you
- 5 were going to play in the redistricting?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q Above and beyond your salary that you draw serving
- 8 on Speaker Fitzgerald's staff, do you receive any
- 9 additional salary or any additional compensation
- 10 for your work on redistricting?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q All right. Now, we mentioned before you did
- 13 testify at the July 13th joint public hearing,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A That is correct.
- 16 Q If you would take a copy of that. It's Exhibit 19
- 17 right in front of you there.
- 18 A Uh-huh.
- 19 Q Have you seen Exhibit 19 before?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And this is a transcript of that hearing, correct?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q Was the testimony that you gave at this hearing
- 24 true and correct?
- 25 A To the best of my knowledge, yes.

Q Have you reviewed your testimony? about your testimony with anyone other than 2 A Yes. 2 Mr. Ottman? Q Have you submitted any corrections or revisions to A Vac Q Who was that with? your testimony? 5 A Legal counsel. Q Is there a process for doing that? Q Which particular lawyers? 7 A Eric McLeod and possibly -- I would say Eric and Q And there is a videotape of this testimony as Ray Taffora and Jim Troupis. well, correct? Q Did you have discussions with anyone other than 10 10 A WisconsinEye was there. Yes. Mr. Ottman and legal counsel about your testimony? 11 11 Q And have you watched that videotape? A Yes. 12 A No. Q Who else did you speak with? Q Sitting here now, is there anything that you know 13 A Joe Handrick, Speaker Fitzgerald, members of 14 14 of in this transcript of your testimony that you speaker staff. 15 would correct or change? 15 Q Were they all together when you had this 16 16 conversation? 17 17 Q Did you consult with any of the other witnesses A I don't recall. 18 18 before the hearing? Q What was the nature of the conversations you had 19 19 A No. Well, Tad Ottman. with Mr. Handrick after the hearing? Q Mr. Ottman did testify too, correct? 20 A He just congratulated me and said Good job. 21 21 A Yes. Q Where did that discussion occur? 22 22 A I don't recall. Q Did you consult with anyone other than the 23 witnesses who testified before the hearing? 23 Q Was it over in the state capitol building? A I'm sorry. Say that again. A I don't recall. 25 Q Sure. Before the hearing started did you consult Q What about Speaker Fitzgerald? What was the 117 119 1 nature of those conversations? with anyone other than Mr. Ottman about your 1 2 testimony? A Same. Good job. A Legal counsel. Q And what about the staff? 3 Q Which legal counsel? A Same. A I don't know off the top of my head. Q Were the staff and Speaker Fitzgerald together Q Did you consult with Mr. Handrick before the when you had these conversations with them? 7 hearing? A I don't recall. A Not that I can recall. Q Did you continue to work out of the Michael Q What about Dr. Gaddie? q Best & Friedrich office after the date of this 10 10 A No. hearing on July 13th? 11 Q After the hearing did you speak with Mr. Ottman 11 A Sometimes. 12 about your testimony? 12 Q Did you do any legislative redistricting work 13 13 A Yes. outside of Michael Best's office after July 13th? 14 14 A No. Q And did you speak with Mr. Ottman about his own 15 testimony after the hearing? 15 Q In your view is there a difference between 16 A Yes. 16 reapportionment and redistricting? 17 17 Q What did you and Mr. Ottman discuss about each A Are you asking my understanding of the 18 18 other's testimony after the hearing? definitions? 19 A Just how it went. 19 Q Correct. 20 Q What did Mr. Ottman tell you about his testimony? A My understanding of the definition is the 21 21 A I don't recall. difference between reapportionment and 22 Q Do you recall what you told Mr. Ottman about your 22 redistricting is that reapportionment is the 23 23 testimony? formal use to enumerate the number of A I don't recall. 24 congressional seats, house seats, given to each 25 Q After the hearing did you have any discussions state following the census. Redistricting is the

process by which you redraw legislative districts Mr. Handrick? 2 2 A No. to balance populations to account for the changes 3 in the demographics resulting from the census. Q Have you worked with Mr. Handrick outside of the 4 Q When you did work on the redistricting plan, in redistricting process? 5 addition to Mr. Ottman who did you work with? A The people I listed before. Legal counsel,  ${f Q}$  And what did you previously do working with 7 7 Dr. Gaddie, Joe Handrick. Mr. Handrick? Q So the list that you gave me before? A He was involved in Representative Meyer's 8 A Yes. reelection campaign. 10 10 Q Which year was that? Q Is there anybody in addition to those people that 11 11 A 2010. That I'm aware of I should say. I don't you listed before? A Not that I can think of. 12 12 know if he was involved in prior cycles. That is 13 Q Outside of the work that you did and other than the extent of my knowledge. 14 14 the people you have already identified, is there Q And you worked with him on that reelection 15 15 anyone with whom you have discussed the campaign? 16 redistricting process? 16 A I know of his involvement in that reelection 17 17 A No. campaign. 18 Q I want to direct your attention specifically to 18 Q Did you do any work with him in that reelection 19 19 Joe Handrick and the work that you did with campaign? 20 Mr. Handrick on the redistricting plan. 20 A Not that I can recall. 21 21 A Uh-huh. Q Did you know Mr. Handrick before Representative 22 Q First of all, let me ask you. Do you have any 22 Meyer's reelection campaign? 23 23 relatives who live in Minocqua? A I believe -- yes. 24 24 Q Does that help to refresh your memory at all as to 25 Q Did you know Mr. Handrick before the 2011 when you met Mr. Handrick? 121 1 redistricting process? 1 A No. I just know it was before the 2010 election 2 A Yes. 2 cvcle. 3 Q When did you meet Mr. Handrick? Q In terms of the redistricting work that you A I don't recall. performed for 2011 redistricting, when did you Q How long have you known him? begin doing that work with Mr. Handrick? 6 A I don't know. 6 A I don't recall. 7 Q Did you meet him before you started working for 7 Q Do you recall whether it was before February of Speaker Fitzgerald? 9 A That's a good question. I don't know. 9 A I don't recall exactly when it was. 10 10 Q Did you meet with Mr. Handrick to perform any work Q How did you first meet Mr. Handrick? A I don't remember. 11 on the redistricting plan? Q Do you remember who it was through? 12 A Say that again. 13 13 A No. Q Sure. Did you and Mr. Handrick -- were you ever 14 Q Did Mr. Handrick introduce you to Speaker 14 together at the same time in the same place 15 Fitzgerald? 15 working on the redistricting? 16 A No. 16 A Yes. 17 17 Q When did you first start working with Q And was that at the Michael Best & Friedrich 18 18 Mr. Handrick? office you described earlier? 19 19 A Yes. MR. McLEOD: I'm going to insert an 20 20 objection as to form. I think it's vague and Q And that work, your work on the redistricting 21 21 plan, occurred only at the Michael Best offices; ambiquous. 22 To the extent you can answer, please do 22 is that correct? 23 23 A Outside of committee testimony. A I don't know. 24 Q Outside of committee testimony. That was the 25 Q You don't recall when you started working with July 13th testimony?

A Actually, let me clarify that. The committee objectives, plans, reports and/or procedures 2 testimony and then there was a round of meetings 2 used by lawmakers to prepare the 3 with individual members that took place in the 3 redistricting plan and would instruct the speaker's office. witness not to answer pursuant to the 5 5 Q When you say individual members, you mean members legislative privilege. of the assembly? Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not 7 7 A Yes. to answer the question? Q When did those meetings occur? 8 A I believe the latter part of June, early July. Q Other than those meetings that you had, those 10 10 Q Were those meetings with individual members or rounds of meetings with members of the assembly, 11 11 were there groups of members that you met with? and then your testimony at the July 13th hearing, 12 A Individual members with Representative Vos in the was all of the work that you performed on 13 13 redistricting done at Michael Best & Friedrich's 14 Q And so at each of those meetings you were present? 14 offices? 15 A Yes. A Yes. 16 Q And a member of the assembly was present? Q Do you know why you only worked at Michael 17 17 A Correct. Best & Friedrich's offices on redistricting? 18 Q And Representative Vos was present? 18 A I can't say. 19 19 Q Did anyone ever tell you why all of that work 20 Q And Mr. Handrick was present? 20 needed to be done at Michael Best & Friedrich's 21 21 A No. He was not. offices? 22 22 A No. Q Who else was present at those meetings? 23 A No one else. 23 Q When you were performing the work at Michael 24 Q So it was just those people? Best & Friedrich's offices, did you save the work 25 25 A Yes. that you performed in any way? 125 Q Were the members of both parties in attendance at 1 1 A I'm sorry. Say that again. 2 those meetings? Q Sure. Did you save the work that you performed 3 3 A No. there in any way? Q They were just with the republican members of the A Some, yes. assembly? Q Did you save paper copies of things that were 6 6 A Correct. printed out such as reports? 7 Q Did you meet with every republican member of the A Sometimes. assembly? Q Did you save copies of electronic files that you q A Yes. q created? 10 10 Q And what was the purpose of those meetings? A Sometimes. 11 MR. McLEOD: First of all I'm going 11 Q Are those documents still in existence? 12 12 to object to the form. I assume you're 13 referring to the meeting he just described 13 Q And there are others that are not? 14 14 with members of the legislature in the A That were produced during the redistricting 15 15 capitol. process that are no longer there? 16 MR. POLAND: That's correct. 16 Q Yes. Correct. 17 17 MR. McLEOD: If the question is A Yes. 18 18 what was the purpose of that meeting, those Q Were those documents moved anywhere that you know 19 meetings, I'm going to assert on the grounds 19 of? 20 A No. of legislative privilege for the reasons set 20 21 21 Q Were those documents destroyed in some way? forth in our privilege log and specifically 22 22 the Committee for a Fair and Balanced Map Thrown out? Discarded? 23 which articulates and defines the scope of 23 24 the legislative privilege as it would relate 24 Q Did anyone ever tell you to preserve the materials 25 25 to information concerning motives, that you were creating during the redistricting

A I would defer to legal counsel on that one. process? 2 A No. Q Was that a determination that you made?  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$  Did anyone ever tell you to discard the materials 3 A NO Q Did you print copies of all of the E-mails and that you were creating? 5 A No. give them to legal counsel? Q You just decided not to keep them? A Yes. 7  ${f 7}$   ${f Q}$  And legal counsel made a determination then about A Uh-huh. Q Do you know whether there are files that were whether they were privileged or not? saved on a computer that are still in existence at A Yes. Yes. 10 10 Q Did you ever text message with Mr. Handrick? the Michael Best offices? 11 A Yes. 11 A I don't recall. Q And that's regarding redistricting. Q Are you still doing any work out of that office? A I don't recall. 14 14 Q Same answer? What about instant messaging? Do Q What's the purpose of the work that you're doing 15 over there now? 15 you ever instant message with Mr. Handrick A Redistricting. 16 16 regarding redistricting? 17 17 Q Is there anything to be left with the A No. 18 redistricting process? 18 Q When you and Mr. Handrick were together at Michael 19 19 A Litigation. Best's offices, what did you observe Mr. Handrick 20 Q Is there anything other than litigation to be done 20 doing? 21 with it? 21 A Drawing maps. 22 22 Q What map specifically was Mr. Handrick drawing? A Not to my knowledge. 23 Q How often did you work with Mr. Handrick at the 23 A Act 43. Well, maps involved in the process of 24 24 Michael Best offices? leading towards Act 43. 25 A That's hard to say. 25 Q Any specific maps that you can identify? 131 Q Was it a daily basis? A The assembly and senate plans. I should say 1 1 2 A No. 2 versions of alternatives that eventually became Q Weekly basis? 3 Act. 43. A Sometimes. Some weeks, yes; some weeks, no. Q Was Mr. Handrick drawing those on a computer or Q Did you communicate with Mr. Handrick about was he drawing those by hand? A Computer. redistricting outside of Michael Best's offices? 7 A No. Q Was that also using the autoBound software? Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Handrick by E-mail about redistricting? Q Did he have his own computer over at Michael Best? 10 10 A Yes. A Yes. 11 Q All right. Did you use the E-mail account from 11  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$  So that was not the same as the computer that you 12 12 which the E-mails we went over this morning were used? 13 13 printed? A Correct. 14 14 Q Do you know, is Mr. Handrick still working out of A Yes. 15 15 Q Do you still have copies of the E-mails that you the Michael Best office? 16 exchanged with Mr. Handrick regarding 16 A No. 17 redistricting? 17 Q When was the last time that you saw him at the 18 18 A Some. Michael Best office? 19 Q Have you produced any of those today? 19 A I don't recall. 20 Q Do you know whether it was after Act 43 was A I don't know. 21  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$  Were there any that were withheld from production 21 passed? 22 22 A I don't recall. It was after. Yes. today? 23 23 Q But you don't recall how recently it was? Q Do you know, was that on the privilege grounds 24 A Yeah. Right.

33 of 87 sheets 2:11-cw/00f0:7-dref PEWEROOR DINADIS/GM16 ORage 36088 835-0392ent 138 0f 132 of 248

25

Q Was anyone ever in the room with you and

25

that has been asserted?

Mr. Handrick while he was drawing various Q And then disenfranchisement? 2 A It's a function of core retention. I don't alternatives for the maps that eventually became 3 Act 432 recall 4 A Legal counsel. Q You just don't recall whether Mr. Handrick had Q That would be Mr. McLeod or one of the other given you any specific advice on drawing maps with lawvers you have mentioned before? respect to core retention or disenfranchisement? 7 7 A Yes. A Right. Q Was Mr. Ottman ever in the room? 8 Q Do you know whether the alternatives that A Yes. Mr. Handrick drew in autoBound were retained in 10  ${f Q}$  Did you and Mr. Handrick ever look at maps that he 10 anv wav? 11 11 A I don't know. was drawing together? 12 A Yes. Q You don't know if they would still be in existence 13 13 Q And did you provide any commentary to him on the on the computer at Michael Best's offices? 14 14 maps that he was drawing? A He had electronic files that were produced. 15 A I'm sure I did. Q And when you say the electronic files that were 16 16 Q What about maps -- strike that question. Were produced, do you mean produced today --17 17 there times when you were drawing maps in A No. 18 autoBound that Mr. Handrick commented on them? 18 Q -- in the disks? 19 19 A In autoBound? A No. 20 Q Correct. 20 Q Okay. Produced in what way? 21 21 A Not that I can recall. A My understanding is yesterday. 22 22 Q Okay. You also worked with Mr. Ottman on the Q What about paper maps? Were there paper maps that 23 you were drawing that Mr. Handrick commented on? 23 redistricting plan, correct? 24 A Yes. 24 A Yes. 25 25 Q Were those also maps, various versions of what  ${f Q}$  Did you and Mr. Ottman divide up the work in some 133 135 1 eventually became Act 43? 1 way that you performed? 2 2 A Yes. A Not so much with a map. I would say that the 3 3 Q Were there any specific aspects of the drafting was more Tad's responsibility than mine. redistricting plan that Mr. Handrick was focusing Q And when you say drafting, you mean drafting of the maps themselves? 6 A The fundamental criteria. The splits, deviation, 6 A The correspondence with LRB and things like that 7 core retention and disenfranchisement which is a 7 to turn the block assignment file into a function of core retention and things like that. legislative draft. q Q Did Mr. Handrick give you any guidance on any of 9 Q Did Mr. Ottman take the lead in any particular 10 10 those criteria? areas of the drafting process? 11 A Yes. 11 12 12 Q Generally what kind of guidance did he give on Q So, for example, with respect to any specific 13 13 those criteria? districts or areas of the state, did Mr. Ottman 14 14 A I can't recall. take a lead role? A No. 15 Q Did you ever look at any versions of the maps with 15 16 Mr. Handrick where he specifically advised you on 16 Q What about on the congressional districts? Did 17 17 splits? Mr. Ottman have any involvement with those? 18 18 A I'm sure he did, yes. A Not that I know of. 19 Q And what about population deviation? Did he ever 19 Q Do you know who was involved in drawing the 20 20 give you specific guidance on population congressional districts? 21 deviation? 21 A No. 22 22 A I'm sure at some point, yes. Q Was there any work done in the Michael Best office 23 23 Q What about core retention? Did he ever give you at the time that you were present where there was specific advice on core retention? 24 work done on the congressional districts?

1'

A Not that I can recall.

25 A No.

Q Do you know whether Mr. Ottman began working on A LTSB. 2 2 redistricting before you did? Q And that was the autoBound Version 9 you were A I don't know. 3 talking about? Q Was one of you working in the Michael Best offices A Correct. first? Before the other in other words? Q So you did some training on the software before A I don't remember. the census data was released? 7 7 Q Do you know whether you started working there A Uh-huh. roughly around the same time? Q And then after the census data was released, is A I don't remember. 9 that the point at which the process of drawing the 10 10 Q What was Mr. Ottman's role generally speaking in maps began? 11 11 the redistricting process? A Say that again. 12 12 A Drawing the map. (Question read) 13 13 Q When you say drawing the map, this is using A Yes. I would say that's safe to say. I think 14 14 there was a lag between when we actually received autoBound software, correct? 15 15 A Yes. the PL data from the census bureau, the 16 16 Q I want to ask you just about you personally and redistricting data office of the census bureau, 17 17 what you did in terms of drawing the map, but I and when it is put into a form autoBound can use. 18 want to talk specifically about using the 18 So there was a delay in there between when LTSB 19 19 computer. When you use autoBound, are you received it and when they were able to put it in 20 actually using a mouse to draw a map? 20 proper form. 21 21 A Yes. Q So it's LTSB that puts it in the format that 22 22 Q Are you doing it by position a cursor on a screen autoBound could use and manipulate? 23 and drawing lines or how does that process work? 23 A Yes. 24 24 A Assigning -- I think the more accurate way to put Q All right. It was some time after you received 25 it would be assigning existing census geography. 25 that data from LTSB that you then began drawing 137 139 1 I can't just freeform a line, but you can select a 1 the maps? 2 2 census block, a ward, a county, a CCD or an MCD A Yes. 3 3 and assign that to District X. Q Is there a reason that you didn't wait until after Q And is that done in a graphical way? You have got the ward process had been completed in the state a map up on the screen and you click on something to draw the maps? 6 6 A No. and you make an assignment? A That's correct. 7 Q Do you recall in the testimony that was given at Q In this case it was census blocks that were being the hearing there was a reference to this used, correct? q litigation having been filed --10 10 A Yes. A Uh-huh. 11 Q As opposed to wards? 11  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$  -- and the need to draw the maps before the 12 12 litigation proceeded? 13 13 Q Do you know census blocks were being used instead A I'm sorry. Say that again. 14 14 of wards? (The two previous questions were read) 15 A The wards didn't exist at that point. The new 15 A I don't remember that specific reference or that 16 wards did not exist at that point. 16 specific line of testimony. 17 17  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$  When was the first time that you started drawing a Q All right. Do you know any reason other than the 18 18 map for the purpose of the 2011 redistricting? fact that the wards had not yet been created that 19 A I don't recall. 19 census blocks were used to draw the maps? 20 20 Q Do you recall whether it was -- it must have been A It was what's available to us. 21 21 Q Using census blocks as opposed to wards created after the census data was released, correct? 22 22 A Well, we had the software available to us before difficulties for municipalities; is that correct? 23 23 then. It was largely a training exercise to get MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert an familiar with the software and its functions. 24 objection to the form of the question.

25

To extent you can answer, please do so.

140

25

**Q** And who provided the software?

A I don't agree with that. census blocks, and he was advising you about that 2 2 Q Why do you not agree with that? process? A The change in the process did not force them to A I don't remember. redraw aldermanic or supervisory districts. The Q What about Mr. Handrick? Was there ever a time 5 5 legislation allowed for them to maintain those that you were assigning certain census blocks and 6 boundaries. 6 Mr. Handrick was advising you whether to assign 7 7  ${f Q}$  Did anybody ever tell you otherwise or express an them to a certain district or a different opinion otherwise? district? A To me directly? A I don't remember. 10 10 Q I want to split up a couple of different Q Correct. 11 11 A No. categories of people here. First I'm going to 12 12 Q Did you ever hear about anybody expressing the talk about legislators; Speaker Fitzgerald, 13 13 opinion otherwise? Senator Fitzgerald, Robin Vis and Senator 14 A Yes. 14 Zipperer. 15 15 A Uh-huh. Q All right. When was that that you heard that? 16 Q They were present at various times at the Michael A At the hearing. 17 17 Best offices during the redistricting process? Q All right. Any time other than the hearing that 18 anyone -- that you heard about that? 18 A Yes. 19 19 Q Did any of them ever advise you where to draw any 20 Q So when you were engaged in the process of drawing 20 of the district boundaries? 21 21 the maps at the Michael Best office, you would --A I'm sure they did. 22 22 using the mouse you would click on a census block Q Do you recall any of those conversations and what 23 and you would assign it to a particular district; 23 24 is that correct? 24 A No. 25 25 A Any level of census geography. So it could be Q Do you recall whether any of the -- strike that. 141 143 1 block at the smallest, multiple counties at the 1 Do you recall which districts they would have been 2 largest. discussing with you in the redistricting process? 3 3 Q And in the maps that you created did you use A I don't recall. 4 different levels of geographic areas? Did you use Q Do you recall discussing any boundaries with any census blocks? Did you uses counties? Did you of the legislators in, for example, Milwaukee 6 use larger areas? County? 7 A Uh-huh. 7 A Say that again. Q Who else other than you and Mr. Ottman and Q Let me strike that question. Let me rephrase it. q Mr. Handrick engaged in that process at the q Did you discuss with any of the legislators any of 10 10 Michael Best offices? assembly district boundaries in Milwaukee County? 11 A No one. 11 A In Milwaukee County? Yes. Q So just the three of you? 12 Q What was the nature of that conversation? 13 13 A Correct. A I don't remember. 14 14 Q Was there ever a time when you were at the Michael Q Did you talk at all with any of the legislators 15 Best office where anyone instructed you how to 15 about the Latino districts in Milwaukee County? 16 create certain districts using the mouse and the 16 A Yes. 17 17 Q What was the nature of those conversations? autoBound software? 18 18 A Not that I can recall. A Explaining to them the amendments and the 19 Q Did anyone ever tell you as you were engaged in 19 alternative that we introduced and the 20 20 the process of selecting census blocks and conversations with MALDEF. 21 21 assigning them that you should put a particular Q Who made the decision ultimately about where the 22 22 census block in a certain district? boundaries of Assembly Districts 8 and 9 would be 23 23 A I don't remember. in Milwaukee County? Q Was there ever a time that Dr. Gaddie was present 24 A The legislature. 25 25 there where you were creating maps, clicking on Q And that was when they adopted Act 43 as amended?

```
Q Was it a handful of times?
 2
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} With respect to the map that was submitted to the
                                                                 A I don't know.
 3
       legislature for its consideration, who was it who
                                                                 Q No estimate at all?
 4
       made the final decision about where the district
                                                                 A No.
 5
       boundaries for Assembly Districts 8 and 9 would
                                                                 Q What about Senator Fitzgerald? How many times was
 6
                                                                     he present over at Michael Best's offices when you
       be?
 7
                                                              7
                    MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                                     were there?
 8
            the legislative privilege on the same grounds
                                                                 A I don't know.
 9
            that I stated previously and as set forth in
                                                                  Q Can you give me a ballpark? Was it more than 15?
10
                                                             10
            our privilege log that we have provided
                                                                     20?
11
                                                             11
                                                                 A I don't know.
            earlier today. So to the extent that the
12
            question calls for information concerning the
                                                                  Q What about Robin Vos? How many times was
13
                                                             13
            identities of persons who participated in
                                                                     Robin Vos present at Michael Best's offices?
14
                                                             14
            those types of specific decisions, then I
                                                                 A I don't know.
15
            think it's subject to the legislative
                                                                 Q Again, can you give me any kind of a ballpark?
16
                                                             16
           privilege as set forth in the Committee for
                                                                     Was it just a handful? Was it more than a dozen?
17
                                                             17
            the Fair and Balanced map.
                                                                 A I don't know.
18
                                                             18
                                                                 Q And then what about Senator Zipperer? How many
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction --
                                                             19
19
                                                                     times was Senator Zipperer present at Michael
20
    Q -- and not answer the question?
                                                             20
                                                                     Best's office during the redistricting process?
21
                                                             21
    A Yes.
                                                                 A I don't know.
22
    Q We just have to be careful not to talk over each
                                                             22
                                                                 Q Again, ballpark? Can you say a few? 15? 20?
23
                                                             23
       other here.
                                                                  A I don't know.
24
                                                             24
    A Yes.
                                                                  Q Did you ever communicate with any of those four
25
                                                             25
    Q Sometimes my questions are painfully long, but you
                                                                     legislators by E-mail about the redistricting
                           145
                                                                                        147
 1
       have to wait until I finish them.
                                                              1
                                                                     process?
 2
                                                              2 A Not that I can recall.
            What about with respect to the assembly
 3
       districts in Kenosha and Racine Counties? Did you
                                                              3
                                                                 Q Did you ever communicate with any of those four
       have any conversations with the legislators, and
                                                                     legislators by text message or instant messaging
       that would be Speaker Fitzgerald, Senator
                                                                     about the legislative process?
 6
       Fitzgerald, Robin Vos or Senator Zipperer, about
                                                              6
                                                                 A Not that I can recall.
 7
       then Kenosha and Racine assembly districts?
                                                                  Q I'm sorry. And I said the legislative process. I
                                                                     meant the redistricting process.
    Q What was the nature of those conversations?
                                                              9
                                                                  A Not that I can recall.
                                                             10
10
    A I don't recall.
                                                                                  MR. SHRINER: He knew what you
11
    Q Do you know who made the final decision on the
                                                             11
12
       assembly district boundaries in Racine and Kenosha
                                                             12
                                                                 Q Now I want to take a different group of people,
13
                                                             13
       Counties that ultimately were reflected in Act 43?
                                                                     and that's the legal counsel. That's Mr. McLeod,
14
                    MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                             14
                                                                     Mr. Taffora, Jim Troupis and Sarah Troupis.
15
            the same legislative privilege objection.
                                                             15
                                                                 A Uh-huh.
16
           I'm not going restate it at length other than
                                                             16
                                                                 Q Were there any other legal counsel who were
17
                                                             17
            to note my prior objection and instruct the
                                                                     involved in providing advice regarding
18
                                                             18
           witness not to answer accordingly.
                                                                     redistricting?
19
    Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction
                                                             19
                                                                 A I mentioned Michael Screnock earlier.
20
                                                             20
                                                                  Q That's right. You said he is an attorney at
       not to answer the question?
21
                                                             21
    A Yes, Tam.
                                                                     Michael Best & Friedrich?
22
    Q How many times was Speaker Fitzgerald present over
                                                             22 A Right. Joe Olson has come up in conversation
23
                                                             23
       at Michael Best's offices that you saw during the
       redistricting process?
                                                             24
                                                                  Q Did Mr. Olson's involvement -- that postdated the
25 A I don't know.
                                                             25
                                                                     passage of Act 43?
```

2  ${f Q}$  So Mr. Olson was not involved in the redistricting 2 Q What about text messaging? Did you ever text 3 process itself before the time that the act was 3 message with any of the legal counsel regarding passed, is that correct, to your knowledge? redistricting? 5 A To my knowledge, yes. A Not that I can recall. Q At least you had no involvement with him before Q What about instant messaging? Did you ever 7 7 that time? instant message with any legal counsel? 8 A Yes. 8 Q So in terms of the legal counsel that you Q So either E-mail communications or face-to-face 10 10 mentioned and you identified before -communications with legal counsel? 11 11 A Uh-huh. A Or phone. 12 12 Q Or phone conversations. Did you have a phone in Q They were present at various times in the office 13 13 at Michael Best & Friedrich where you were the Michael Best & Friedrich office that you were 14 14 working? working out of? 15 15 A They work there too. A Yes. 16 16 Q But in terms of that specific office that you were Q And did you use that for the purpose of 17 17 in when you were over there where you and redistricting? 18 18 A Yes. Mr. Ottman had computers and worked, they were 19 19 present in that office from time to time? Q What about cell phone? Did you have 20 20 communications with anyone on your cell phone 21 21 Q And generally speaking did they provide you with about the redistricting process? 22 A Yes. 22 any advice on the redistricting process itself? 23 23 Q You also mentioned that you had conversations with 24 24 Q Generally speaking what kind of advice did they Scott Suder about redistricting; is that correct? 25 25 provide to you on that redistricting process? A Yes. 149 151 1 Q And who is Mr. Suder? MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert 1 2 the attorney-client privilege. I think the A The majority leader. 3 substance of any communications regarding Q What were your conversations with Mr. Suder? advice provided to the client is squarely A Regarding redistricting. within the scope of that privilege, and I Q Regarding redistricting. You are just saying 6 6 would instruct the witness not to answer. regarding redistricting? 7 Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction and not answer the question? Q What was the specific nature of the conversations q A Yes. 9 you had with Mr. Suder about redistricting? 10 10 Q Did you ever communicate with any of the legal MR. McLEOD: I'm going to have to 11 counsel by E-mail regarding the redistricting 11 assert the legislative privilege on the same 12 12 process? grounds that I had stated at length 13 13 A Yes. previously and instruct the witness not to 14 14 Q And we saw some examples of some of those E-mails answer as to those specific conversations 15 15 this morning, correct? that are within the scope of the legislative 16 16 A Yes. privilege. 17 17 Q We saw some E-mails. Mr. Troupis was involved in Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction 18 18 some of those, correct? not to answer that question? 19 A Yes. 19 A Yes. 20 20 Q All right. There were additional E-mails that you Q Were there ever any times that you had any 21 21 have with legal counsel that you have not produced conversations with Mr. Suder where anyone else was 22 22 today, correct? present? 23 23 A That's correct. Q Were those E-mails that you printed out and gave 24 Q And regarding redistricting? 25 25 to Mr. McLeod or to legal counsel to look at? A Yes.

```
Q All right.
                   Who else was present during those
                                                                     computer at any of those meetings?
 2
                                                              2
                                                                 A No.
       conversations?
 3
    A Legal counsel, Representative Vos, Representative
                                                                 Q Were you looking at any paper copies of maps at
       Fitzgerald, Senator Zipperer, Senator Fitzgerald,
                                                                     those meetings?
 5
                                                              5
                                                                A Yes.
    Q And what was said at those meetings where those
                                                                 Q Do you recall what the maps were that you were
 7
                                                              7
       people were present regarding redistricting?
                                                                     looking at?
 8
    A I don't remember.
                                                                 A I'm sorry?
    Q Do you know generally the nature of the subject
                                                                 Q Do you recall what the maps were that you were
10
                                                             10
       matter of those conversations?
                                                                     looking at?
11
                                                             11
                    MR. McLEOD: I'm going to object to
                                                                 A Redistricting maps.
12
            the form because if the question specifically
                                                                 Q And those would have been for the purpose of
13
                                                             13
            involves meetings in which Joe Handrick was
                                                                     Act 43, correct?
14
                                                             14
            present, then it's different than if we're
                                                                 A Yes.
15
            talking about other meetings in which he
                                                             15
                                                                 Q Do you recall whether there was any specific
16
                                                             16
            wasn't present. I think there's sort of
                                                                     aspect of those maps that you were discussing?
17
                                                             17
            this -- I would have a general form objection
                                                                 A I don't recall.
18
            because you're talking about meetings
                                                             18
                                                                 Q Did you ever discuss redistricting with any
19
                                                             19
            generally. Maybe a different cast of
                                                                     democratic member of the legislature?
20
            characters and different individuals may
                                                             20
21
                                                             21
            implicate different responses and also
                                                                 Q Did you have any particular goal in developing the
22
                                                             22
            different objections.
                                                                     maps that became Act 43?
23
                                                             23
                If you understand my point --
                                                                                  MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert an
24
                                                             24
                    MR. POLAND: Yes.
                                                                         objection as to form as it relates to my
25
                                                             25
    Q Any meetings where you were discussing with
                                                                         prior comment before in terms of are we
                           153
                                                                                        155
 1
       Scott Suder the redistricting process where
                                                              1
                                                                         talking about specific meetings with
 2
                                                              2
       Mr. Handrick was also present.
                                                                         legislators? Are we talking about specific
    A I'm sorry. Say the question again.
                                                              3
 3
                                                                         meetings with Joe Handrick present? Because
    Q Were there any meetings that you had or -- strike
                                                                         my objection is different depending on what
       that. Were there any conversations that you had
                                                                         you're asking. So form of the question
 6
                                                              6
       with Mr. Suder regarding the redistricting process
                                                                         subject to that objection.
 7
       where Mr. Handrick was also present?
                                                              7
                                                                 Q All right. This is going to be a standalone
                                                                     question not referring specifically to any
    Q And what did you discuss at those meetings?
                                                              9
                                                                    meetings. Was there a goal that you had in
                                                             10
10
    A Redistricting.
                                                                     developing the map that became Act 43?
11
    Q Anything specific about redistricting?
                                                             11
                                                                 A Yes.
12
    A I don't recall.
                                                             12
                                                                 Q And what was that goal?
13
                                                             13
    Q When did those meetings occur?
                                                                 A To draw something that would pass the state
14
                                                             14
   A I don't recall.
                                                                     assembly and state senate and be signed by the
15
    Q Were those over at Michael Best & Friedrich's
                                                             15
                                                                     governor and survive a court challenge.
16
       offices?
                                                             16
                                                                 Q Was it a part of the goal to increase the
17
                                                             17
    A Yes.
                                                                     republican membership in the legislature?
18
                                                             18
    Q Was there more than one such meeting?
                                                                 A No.
19
                                                             19
                                                                 {f Q} Have you ever discussed with anyone the question
20
                                                             20
    Q Do you know approximately how many meetings there
                                                                     of district boundaries for senate --
21
                                                             21
       were?
                                                                                 MR. EARLE: I didn't hear the
22
                                                             22
   A No.
                                                                         answer to that.
                                                             23
23
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: We can have the court
    Q Were maps being drawn at any of those meetings?
                                                             24
                                                                         reporter read it back.
25
                                                             25
    Q Was there any kind of work being done on a
                                                                                   (Answer read)
```

```
MR. EARLE: Is there any way to get
                                                               Q So you saw it sometime after it was a final
 2
                                                            2
           the mike closer?
                                                                  document?
 3
                   MR. POLAND: Let us know if the
                                                               A Correct.
           sound fades out again and we need to speak
                                                              Q Did you speak with Dr. Gaddie about his report
 5
                                                                  before he submitted it?
 6
                   MR. EARLE: Thank you.
                                                               A No.
7
                                                            7 Q Did you see a draft of it before it was submitted?
    Q Let me repeat the last question. Have you ever
       discussed with anyone the question of district
                                                               A No, I did not.
 9
       boundaries for senate recall elections?
                                                               Q Did Dr. Gaddie ask you to provide any information
10
                                                           10
   A Not that I can recall.
                                                                  that was going to be used to prepare this report?
11
                                                           11
                                                               A No, he did not.
    Q Were you involved in drafting the provision that
12
       established the effective date for Act 43?
                                                               Q Did you engage in any kind of E-mail
                                                           13
   A Not that I can recall.
                                                                  communications or other electronic communications
                                                           14
14
    Q Do you know who was involved in that?
                                                                  with Dr. Gaddie about his report?
                                                           15 A No.
    A Not that I can recall.
16
                                                           16
    Q Do you have any opinion on the appropriate
                                                              Q Have you been asked to look at Dr. Gaddie's report
17
                                                           17
       boundaries for the pending or potential recall
                                                                  since he produced it and make any comments about
18
       elections?
                                                           18
                                                                  it?
                                                           19 A No.
19
    A My opinion is irrelevant.
    Q Okay. You can answer the question.
                                                           20
                                                               Q When did you first meet Dr. Gaddie?
21
                                                           21
    A What was the question? What my opinion is of --
                                                               A I don't recall.
                                                           22 Q Were you involved in retaining Dr. Gaddie at all
22
    Q The appropriate boundaries for the pending or
23
       potential recall elections.
                                                           23
                                                                   to work as an expert witness in this case?
                                                           24 A No.
    A That's a matter that's going to be decided by a
25
                                                           25 Q Have you spoken with him about his work in the
       Court and not by me.
                                                                                     159
    Q So you do have an opinion but you don't want to
                                                                  litigation?
1
                                                            1
 2
       state it?
                                                            2 A I don't believe so.
 3
                                                               Q How many times did you meet with Dr. Gaddie in the
   A Yes.
    Q You understand that there are expert witnesses who
                                                                  course of the redistricting process itself?
       have been identified by the defendants in this
                                                               A I don't recall.
 6
                                                            6
                                                               Q Did you work with Dr. Gaddie at all before the
       case?
 7
    A Yes.
                                                            7
                                                                   2011 redistricting process?
    Q Have you seen any of their expert reports?
 q
    A Yes.
                                                            9
                                                               Q You can set the document to the side.
                                                           10
10
                (Exhibit No. 30 marked for
                                                                           (Exhibit No. 31 marked for
11
                identification)
                                                           11
                                                                            identification)
   Q Mr. Foltz, I've handed you a copy of a document
                                                           12 Q Mr. Foltz, I have handed you a document that the
13
                                                           13
                                                                  court reporter has marked -- I'm handing you a
       that the court reporter has marked as Exhibit
14
                                                           14
       No. 30. Do you have that in front of you?
                                                                  document the court reporter has marked as
                                                           15
15
   A Yes, I do.
                                                                  Exhibit 31.
16
    Q Do you see it says it's the expert report of
                                                           16
                                                               A Uh-huh.
17
                                                           17 Q Do you have that in front of you?
       Ronald Keith Gaddie, Ph.D.?
18
                                                           18
                                                               A I do.
   A Yes, I do.
19
    Q Have you ever seen a copy of this document before?
                                                           19
                                                               Q Do you see that it's dated December 14, 2011?
                                                           20
    A Yes, I have.
                                                           21
    Q When have you seen this document before?
                                                               Q Do you see it's to Daniel Kelly, Reinhart
22
                                                           22
   A I'm not sure exactly when.
                                                                  Attorneys at Law?
23
    Q Did you see this document before December 13,
                                                           23 A Uh-huh.
       2011?
                                                               Q From John Diez/Magellan Strategies BR?
25
   A No, I did not.
                                                           25 A Uh-huh.
```

- VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF ADAM R. FOLTZ 12/21/2011 Q I'm going to represent to you that is a copy of an 1 A I believe he's a demographer. 2 expert report submitted by Mr. Diez in the Q Did you work with any demographers at all in the 3 redistricting litigation. Is that a document that redistricting process? you have seen before? 5 A No. Q Was there ever any discussion of working with any Q Have you ever spoken with John Diez? demographers in the redistricting process? 7 7 A Not that I recall. 8 Q Have you ever spoken with anyone at Magellan Q Were you asked to provide any information or any Strategies? data that was given to Dr. Morrison for the 10 10 A No. purpose of his report as far as you know? 11 Q Were you ever asked to provide any data or other 11 12 12 information that was to be used by John Diez or Q Were you ever asked to compile any demographic 13 13 Magellan Strategies to your knowledge? information and provide it to counsel? 14 A No. 14 A Not that I can recall. 15 Q To your knowledge was Mr. Diez involved at all in 15 Q Have you been asked -- after you looked at 16 16 Dr. Morrison's report, have you been asked by the redistricting process that resulted in the 17 17 passage of Act 43? anyone to provide any comments on it? A No. 18 18 A No. 19 19 Q You can set that document to the side. Q Do you still have copies of Dr. Morrison's expert 20 (Exhibit No. 32 marked for 20 report and Dr. Gaddie's report? 21 21 A I don't know. identification) 22 22 Q You can set that aside. I'm going to ask you Q Mr. Foltz, I've handed you a copy of a document 23 the court reporter has marked as Exhibit 32. Do 23 questions about two exhibits that we marked 24 24 you have that in front of you? yesterday, Exhibits 14 and 15. Do you have those 25 25 A Yes, I do. in front of you? 161 163 Q It's titled Declaration and Expert Report of 1 A I do. 2 Q I would like to draw your attention first on 2 Peter Morrison, Ph.D. 3 3 A Uh-huh. Exhibit 14 to Statute Section 801.50(4m). Do you Q And it's dated December 14, 2011. see that? A Uh-huh. A 50(4m). Oh, there we go. Yes. Q Have you ever seen a copy this document before? Q Were you involved in any way in the development of 7 this statute? Q When did you see a copy of this document? A I don't remember exactly when. q Q What was your involvement in the development of 10 10 **Q** Did you see any drafts of this document before it 801.50(4m)? 11 was final? 11 A Conversations with legal counsel, legislative 12 12 A No, I did not. leaders. 13 13 Q So you have seen it at some time between Q And which legal counsel was that? 14 14 A Michael Best. December 14th and today? 15 A Yes. 15 Q Anyone in particular at Michael Best? 16 Q And that was the first time? 16 A I don't recall. 17 A Yes.
  - 17 Q Do you know when those conversations occurred?
  - A I don't recall. 18
  - 19 Q Do you know when that statute was passed?
  - A Roughly the same time line as Act 43 I believe.
  - 21 Q Did those discussions occur over at Michael
  - 22 Best & Friedrich's office?
  - 23 A Yes.
  - Q Do you know who was present for those discussions?
  - 25 A I don't recall.

18

19

21

22

23

24

Q Have you ever meet Peter Morrison?

Q Have you ever spoken with Peter Morrison?

Q Corresponded with him in any fashion, E-mail, text

**Q** Do you know what Dr. Morrison's discipline is?

A No, I have not.

messaging or anything?

Q Was Mr. Handrick involved at all in the drafting regarding the redistricting that are currently in 2 2 of that statute? front of the Wisconsin Supreme Court? 3 A No. A I'm aware of them, yes. Q Was he involved in any of your conversations with Q Have you seen a copy of the original petition that Michael Best & Friedrich? was filed in Wisconsin Supreme Court? A No. A I don't remember. 7 7 Q And who were the legislators that were involved in Q I would like you to take a look at Exhibit No. 16. those discussions? Exhibit No. 16 is titled Petition for Appointment A I don't remember. 9 of Three Judge Panel and it goes on from there. 10 10 Q Do you know what the goal of that statutory Do you see that? 11 11 A Uh-huh. provision was? 12 12 A I think the statute speaks for itself. Q If you flip through the document, the very last 13 Q Were there any other goals that were discussed page -- you will see it's dated November 21st? 14 14 other than what's on the face of the statute? A Okav. 15 A No. 15 Q All right. Now, this is a copy that does not have 16 16 the exhibits attached to the back. There are a Q I would like you to take a look then at Exhibit 17 17 No. 15 and specifically at Section 751.035. thick number of exhibits. I just wanted to ask 18 A I'm sorry. Say the section again. 18 you about the document itself. 19 19 Q Sure. 751.035. A Uh-huh. 20 A Okay. 20 Q Have you seen a copy of this petition before? 21 21 Q Same question. Were you involved in any way with A I don't remember if I have or not. 22 22  ${f Q}$  Have you discussed the supreme court action with the development of this statute? 23 23 anyone? 24 Q Was that at the same time you were involved in the 24 A Yes. 25 25 Q Who have you discussed it with? development of 801.50(4m)? 165 167 A I believe both provisions were included in the 1 1 A Representative Fitzgerald. 2 same bill, so yes. Q And what have you and Representative Fitzgerald 3 Q Again, you had discussions about that with legal discussed about this lawsuit? counsel at Michael Best & Friedrich? A Just that it exists. A Uh-huh. Q Have you discussed at all any of the statements or 6 6 Q And also with legislators as well? the allegations that are raised in this particular 7 A tih-huh. petition? 8 MR. KELLY: Mr. Poland, so that the A Not that I can remember, no. 9 record gets taken down correctly, when you're 9 Q Are you aware that there is also litigation 10 10 referring to the 801 statute, it's actually pending in the Waukesha County Circuit Court? 11 801.50(4m). 11 A Yes. 12 12 MR. POLAND: Correct. Q All right. And have you seen a copy of the 13 13 complaint filed in that action? MR. KELLY: 801.50(4m). 14 14 MR. POLAND: That's correct. A I don't remember. 15 Q So these two statutes that we have discussed that 15 Q If you look at -- there are two exhibits actually 16 are reflected in Exhibits 14 and 15 were drafted 16 here, 17 and 18. I'll hand them both to you. 17 17 at the same time, correct? A Okav. 18 18 A They were part of the same bill, yes. To the best Q You will notice that one of them, Exhibit 17, is a 19 19 complaint and then Exhibit No. 18 is an amended of my knowledge they were. 20 20 Q And was the goal of Section 751.035 the same as complaint. 21 21 the goal for Section 801.50(4m)? A Okav. 22 22 A I think the statutes speak for themselves. Q And, again, I don't have the exhibits attached to 23 23 Exhibit 17. Exhibit 18 is a full copy of what was Q Mr. Foltz, are you aware of any pending lawsuits regarding the redistricting litigation -- strike 24 filed. Have you ever seen copies of those 25 25 that. Are you aware of any pending lawsuits complaints before?

A I don't remember if I have seen these before or **Q** That this was not actually a reapportionment? 2 not. was a redistricting? 3 Q Have you discussed with anyone the Waukesha County A Vec Q Are there any other core principles that are part lawsuits? 5 A Yes. of redistricting? Q Who did you discuss those with? A Yes. 7 Q What are those? A Representative Fitzgerald. Q What was the nature of those conversations? A Preservation of political subdivisions. A Made him aware that they existed. Q Anything else? 10 10 Q Did he discuss them at all with you? A No. 11 11 Q Mr. Ottman's testimony refers to equal population A He was part of that conversation that I had with 12 12 him, yes. there. Do you see that? 13 Q Once you made him aware that these existed, what 13 14 14 Q And what is the standard for equal population? was the conversation that you had about the 15 lawsuits? A The standard? 16 16 Q Yes. Is there a standard for equal population? A I don't recall. 17 17 Q Were you aware of any of these complaints before MR. McLEOD: Object to the form of 18 they were filed? 18 the question. 19 19 To the extent you can answer, please do 20 Q Were you involved in drafting any of the legal 20 SO. 21 documents that were filed in these complaints? 21 A I'm not a lawyer. I really can't comment on 22 22 standards. I can comment on what the map's 23 Q I would like you to take out again the transcript 23 deviation is and I can comment on where it stacks 24 24 of the testimony from last summer. That's up versus the core plan ten years ago. 25 25 Exhibit 19. Q Was there a standard that you were attempting to 169 171 A Okay. 1 follow for equal pop to achieve equal population 1 2 in Act 43? 2 Q Were you present for Mr. Ottman's testimony on A Act 43's overall range is .78 I believe. July 13th as well? A We testified at the same time. Q Was there a specific standard or target that you Q So you were both -- you can see that on the video; were aiming for? 6 A Not that I can recall. that you're both there at the same time, correct? 7 A Uh-huh. Q How did you decide that the actual population Q I would like to draw your attention to testimony deviation that was achieved was an appropriate q on Page 4 at Lines 9 through 12. Specifically q one? 10 10 Mr. Ottman testifies there are three core A Looked at previous court decisions on the maps. 11 principles to any reapportionment plan. 11 Q And which court decisions were those? 12 12 A Uh-huh. A 2002. 13 13 Q Equal population, sensitivity to minority concerns Q So you were trying to follow the population 14 14 and compact and contiguous districts. Do you see deviation that was acceptable to the Court in 15 that? 15 2002? 16 16 A Yes, I do. A We were roughly half of where the Court was in 17 17 Q Do you agree with that statement? 18 18 A Yes. Although I don't necessarily agree with Q Why were you only going for roughly half of what 19 reapportionment versus redistricting. 19 the Court --20 20 Q So you would substitute the word redistricting for A I'm not saying we were going for half. That was 21 21 reapportionment? what the map turned out to be. I believe it was a 22 22 A Yes. .78 overall range, as we call it, versus the court 23 Q Is that because of the distinction that you made 23 map ten years ago which I believe was 1.58. But I

43 of 87 sheets e 2:11-cwwww.fp.7-drefperecord divided by 160 rage 4608 839 9392 ent 138 69 to 172 of 248

25

could be wrong on that.

Q So zero deviation, in other words a zero percent

earlier between redistricting and reapportionment?

A Based on my understanding of the definitions.

```
population deviation, is not an absolute
                                                                    assembly district boundaries?
 2
                                                              2
                                                                 A I don't recall.
       requirement for redistricting, correct?
 3
    A That is my understanding. Not for legislative
                                                                 Q Would you look at Page 27 of the transcript,
 4
       redistricting versus congressional.
                                                                    please.
 5
                                                              5
    Q Correct. And that's a fair distinction. I am
                                                                A Wh-huh.
       referring specifically to legislative
                                                                 Q I should actually -- let me ask you one question.
 7
                                                             7
       redistricting. You were not involved in the
                                                                    If you turn to Page 26, just the preceding page,
 8
       congressional redistricting, correct?
                                                              8
                                                                    you will see there's a reference there on Line 5
    A Correct. In the drawing of the map but the
                                                                    to Mr. Holtz. That's, I think, a reference that
10
                                                             10
                                                                    appears throughout. That should be Foltz,
       facilitation of the drafting.
11
    Q As you testified to earlier today?
                                                             11
                                                                    correct?
12
                                                             12
    A Correct.
                                                                 A That is correct. I have not changed my name in
                                                             13
13
    Q Mr. Foltz, what are the appropriate conditions for
                                                                    the interim period.
14
                                                             14
       taking race into account when drawing legislative
                                                                 Q If you look at Page 27, I would like to draw your
15
                                                             15
       district boundaries?
                                                                    attention to Lines 2 through 5. This is
16
                    MR. McLEOD: I would assert an
                                                             16
                                                                    Mr. Ottman testifying?
17
                                                             17
           objection as to the form of the question.
                                                                 A Uh-huh.
18
                If you can answer it, please do so.
                                                             18
                                                                 Q His statement is, "So over the course of the next
19
                                                             19
    A Could you state the question again?
                                                                    decade you could see that senate district, that
20
                    MR. POLAND: Could you read it
                                                             20
                                                                    that senate district, grow in Hispanic voting age
21
                                                             21
                                                                    population to the point where it may tip over to a
           back.
22
                                                             22
                                                                    majority minority district." Do you see that?
                     (Ouestion read)
23
                                                             23
    A What do you mean by appropriate?
                                                             24
    Q Are there any conditions under which race can be
                                                                 Q Do you know who made the assessment that the
25
                                                             25
       taken into account when drawing legislative
                                                                    Hispanic voting age population could grow to the
                          173
                                                                                       175
1
       district boundaries?
                                                              1
                                                                    point where it may tip over to a majority minority
 2
   A Yes.
                                                                    district?
                                                              3
    Q Under what conditions can that be done?
                                                                A I do not.
    A I don't understand the question.
                                                                 Q Did you have any discussions about that with
    Q When can that be done? When can race be taken
                                                                    Mr. Ottman?
 6
                                                              6
                                                                 A I don't recall.
       into account in drawing legislative district
 7
       boundaries?
                                                              7
                                                                 Q Did you have any discussions with anyone else
 8
    A When there is a dense enough population of a given
                                                                    about that?
 q
       minority.
                                                              9
                                                                 A I don't recall.
10
                                                             10
    Q Is there any specific legislation that covers
                                                                 Q I would like you to look at Page 28, please, of
11
                                                             11
                                                                    the transcript.
12
    A Not to my knowledge. I guess I'm not following
                                                             12
                                                                 A Uh-huh.
13
                                                             13
       the question.
                                                                                 MR. SHRINER: Doug, if you were
14
                                                             14
    Q Did you have any involvement in taking race into
                                                                        going to take a break -- we have been at it
15
                                                             15
       account in drawing any of the assembly district
                                                                        for about an hour and a half since lunch. I
16
                                                             16
       boundaries that are reflected in Act 43?
                                                                        could use about ten minutes.
17
                                                             17
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: That's fine. We can
   A Race was part of the census data.
18
                                                             18
    Q So was race considered outside of the bounds of
                                                                        take a break. This is an appropriate place
19
                                                             19
       the census data?
                                                                        to take a break.
    A What do you mean?
                                                             20
20
                                                                                     (Recess)
21
                                                             21
                                                                 Q Mr. Foltz, just before we broke we were taking a
    Q In drawing the assembly district boundaries.
22
                                                             22
    A No. What was part of the census data is what we
                                                                    look at the transcript of the July 13th hearing.
23
                                                             23
                                                                    Do you still have that transcript in front of you?
    Q So there was no data beyond that relating to race
                                                             24
                                                                 A Yes. Page 28.
25
                                                             25
       that was taken into account in drawing the
                                                                 Q Yes. Page 28. I would like to draw your
```

attention to Lines 13 through 15. Do you see attributed to you. Again, with a correction that 2 2 there's a reference there that Mr. Ottman is it's Foltz not Holtz. 3 making that says, "Pairings are sometimes an A 11h−huh inevitable consequence, and that is why you see Q There you're talking about the districts --5 those pairings here." Do you see that testimony? Assembly Districts 8 and 9 and the Hispanic 6 A Yes. community, correct? 7 Q And there he's referring to incumbent pairings 7 A Yes. that came about as a result of Act 43, correct? 8 Q Which members of the Hispanic community did you A Yes. talk with about those legislative districts, 10 10 Q Do you agree that pairings are sometimes an Assembly Districts 8 and 9? 11 11 inevitable consequence of redistricting? A As I testified earlier, I did not speak to any 12 A I would agree with that. member of the Hispanic community directly. Q Do you know how many incumbent pairings there were 13 Q Did anyone who was part of the redistricting 14 14 in Act 43? effort speak with members of the Hispanic A There's a memo attached to the committee testimony 15 community? 16 16 that we had produced that accurately reflects the 17 17 pairings. Q We had the communications from Mr. Troupis before. 18  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$  Do you know whether there were 11 pairings at 18 correct? 19 19 least in assembly districts? Does that sound 20 familiar? 20 Q And those are reflected in -- it was the documents 21 21 A It sounds familiar. I don't know off the top of that you had produced earlier today. 22 22 my head. A Vac 23 23 Q And that's Exhibit 24? Q Did you have any involvement in determining which 24 24 incumbents were paired in legislative districts? MS. LAZAR: Exhibit 25. 25 25 Q Correct. Exhibit 25. A I drew the map. 177 1 1 Q Was the pairings a concern that you took into MR. POLAND: Thank you, Maria. A Uh-huh. 2 account when you were drawing the map? 2 3 A Yes. It's definitely something we know of. Q So those are the E-mails that we saw previously Q Okay. Did you speak with any of the incumbents including E-mails from Mr. Troupis, correct? who were paired in the process of developing the A Yes. 6 6 Q All right. And his communications were with map? 7 A Yes. 7 MALDEF, correct, or representatives of MALDEF? Q Which incumbents did you speak with? A All of them. 9 Q And specifically Mr. Troupis, from the E-mails at 10 10 Q All of them that were paired? least it appears, was communicating with A All of -- yes. 11 Elisa Alfonso and Alonzo Rivas? Q All of the republicans that were paired? 12 13 13 A All of the republicans that were paired, yes. Q Did you ever have any direct discussions or 14 14 Q And that's all republicans who were paired against communications with Elisa Alfonso or Alonzo Rivas? 15 any other incumbent whether they were a democrat 15 A No. 16 16 or a republican? Q Did you ever have any communications with 17 17 A Correct. Manny Perez? 18 18 Q Did you speak with any of the democratic A No. 19 incumbents who were paired? 19 Q Did you ever have any communications with 20 20 Zeus Rodriguez? 21 21 A No. Q So you did speak with republicans who were paired 22 22 against other republicans as a result of Act 43? Q Do you know whether Mr. Troupis or anyone else on 23 23 the legal team had any communications with Q I would like to draw your attention to the Manny Perez or Zeus Rodriguez about redistricting?

25

A I don't remember right now.

25

testimony at the bottom of Page 28 that is

Q What about Mr. Ottman? Do you know if Mr. Ottman displacement? 2 2 spoke with Manny Perez or Zeus Rodriguez about A I don't remember. 3 redistricting? Q Do you know how many people were displaced under A I don't remember. Act 43? Q Have you ever seen any communications involving A Not off the top of my --6 Manny Perez or Zeus Rodriguez in connection with MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert an 7 7 the redistricting process? objection to the form of the question. I A The written testimony. 8 think it's vague and ambiguous. Q And that was part of Exhibit 25 that we looked at? 9 To the extent you can answer the 10 10 A That's correct. question, please do so. 11 11 A Could you restate the question? Q But other than that written testimony, you have 12 12 not seen any communications from or involving MR. POLAND: Could you read it 13 13 Manny Perez or Zeus Rodriguez with respect to back. 14 redistricting? 14 (Ouestion read) 15 A That's correct. 15 A Not off the top of my head. 16 16 Q I would like you to take a look at Page 29 of the Q Do you know how many voters were disenfranchised 17 17 transcript, Lines 22 to 23. This is Mr. Ottman's as a result of Act 43? 18 18 A I don't know the exact number by heart. testimony. 19 19 A Could you give page and line again? Q I would like you to take a look at the transcript. 20 Q Sure. Page 29. 20 A Okav. 21 21 A Lines? Q Look at Page 31. 22 A 31. Okay. 22  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$  Lines 22 and 23. Do you see Mr. Ottman is 23 testifying there, "Under any reapportionment plan 23 Q And take a look at Lines 3 through 11. 24 a certain amount of disenfranchisement is 24 A Okay. 25 inevitable and unavoidable." 25 Q Do you see there's a reference in Line 8 -- do you 183 A Yes. 1 see a reference to disenfranchisement of 299,704? 1 2 A Yes. 2 Q And then if you look at Page 30, and I would like 3 3 you to look at Lines 16 through 18, you see that Q And does that refresh your recollection about how 4 he states there, "What we have done here is tried many voters were disenfranchised by Act 43? to the best of our ability to minimize that A Yes. 6 Q How does the disenfranchisement of 299,704 displacement." 7 A Uh-huh. 7 people -- how does that -- strike that question. Q Were you involved in any analysis about a voter How does the statute by disenfranchising 299,704 q displacement? q people minimize disenfranchisement? 10 10 A I would argue the number is significantly lower A Yes. Q What was your role in that process? 11 12 A It's a report that is run by autoBound. Q But at the time of passage of the act that's what 13 13 Q Is that just the number of voters who are it was, correct? 14 14 displaced? A At the time, yes, but it's -- it's 160,000 less or 15 15 A Yes. 16 Q Did you do anything other than run a report on 16 Q Why do you say that? 17 17 A There were recall elections after the time of autoBound regarding the number of voters 18 18 displaced? testimony. 19 A Not that I can recall. 19 Q So before those recall elections occurred and the 20 20 Q Did you have any discussions with anyone about time that Act 43 was passed that was the number of 21 21 voters disenfranchised by Act 43, correct? voter displacement with respect to Act 43? 22 A I'm sure I did. 22 A Yes. 23 Q Do you recall who you would have spoken with? 23 MR. McLEOD: Form objection. I A Legal counsel, Tad Ottman. 24 think the question is vaque and ambiguous. 25 25 Q Did you speak at all with Mr. Handrick about voter To the extent you can answer, please do

hiding? 2 A At the time of Act 43 -- at the time of this 2 Q I believe it comes after the packet. 3 testimony I believe that number to be correct. A After the --Q All right. And if you turn back to Page 30 and Q After the packet that was in the clerk's 5 you look at your testimony at the bottom of possession that you testified about. 6 Page 30, and this is Lines 23 to 25, you say, "If A That's going to be back here more. 7 Q It's before those E-mails. you look at the 1992 court decision there were 8 257,000 voters temporarily disenfranchised as a A There we go. result of that map." Q So you have that sheet. This had a table 2002 10 10 Court Submissions, correct? A Uh-huh. 11 11 Q And it continues over to 31, "Which at the time A Yes. 12 12 worked out to five and a quarter percent of the Q If you look at the court plan in 2002, it was 13 13 State's population." Do you see that testimony? 3.14 percent of the total population that was 14 14 disenfranchised, correct? A Uh-huh. 15 15 Q And then do you see continuing in the next A Yes. 16 paragraph you say, "We used that as a benchmark 16 Q And then if you look at the same table at the 1992 17 17 and then what we did is we took that five and a court submissions, you see the court plan was five 18 18 quarter percent and applied it to the new and a quarter percent, right? 19 19 population of Wisconsin of 5,600,000 and change, 20 almost 5.7 million, and came up with a number in 20 Q In determining the number of people to be 21 21 disenfranchised why did you not use the our disenfranchisement of 299,704." Do you see 22 22 that? 3.14 percent under the court plan in 2002 as the 23 A Yes. 23 standard that you were shooting for? 24 Q So you used the disenfranchisement percentage from A A federal court established that this was not only 25 25 the 1992 court decision, correct? an acceptable level of delay in voting but they 185 187 1 1 A In the -- yes. In the testimony, yes. drew that map. 2 Q Now, in 2002 there was a court imposed plan, 2 Q But you want to minimize disenfranchisement to the 3 3 correct? extent possible, correct? A Yes. A Ideally. Q And in 2002 the percentage of the state's Q Why would you not use 3.14 percent pursuant to a 6 6 population that was disenfranchised was lower than federal court plan rather than the 5 and a quarter 7 that, correct? 7 percent pursuant to the 1992 federal court plan? A Correct. 8 A Again, the disenfranchisement number is 160,000 q Q Do you recall what it was? q lower than the number you're referencing, so the 10 10 A No, but there is a memo attached to the documents percentages don't quite hold. 11 produced that I believe -- I'm sorry. 11 Q Now you're saying? 12 12 Q If you take out Exhibit No. 25 --A Yes. 13 13 Q But I'm talking at the time that Act 43 was A There may be a disenfranchisement. I honestly 14 14 don't remember if there is or not. passed.  ${f Q}$  So those are the documents that you produced the 15 15 A Right. 16 morning. 16 Q And at the time of your testimony. 17 17 A There is not -- I'm sorry. I misspoke. There is A Right. 18 18 not a disenfranchisement memo as part of the Q You testified to the joint committee that you used 19 committee packet that is Exhibit 25. 19 as a benchmark the 1992 percentage --20 Q But there was a table that you produced, correct, 20 A Uh-huh. 21 21 Q -- which was five and a quarter percent. on disenfranchisement? 22 22 A Oh, yes. Yes. A Yes. 23 23 Q Why did you not use as a benchmark the Q Let's take that out. A I got lost in all of the papers that we have been 24 3.14 percent that was adopted by the court in 2002 25 25

as a benchmark?

throwing back and forth today. Where is that one

A This was a criteria established by the '92 court had deemed 3.14 percent to be an acceptable 2 2 that they deemed to be acceptable. disenfranchisement percentage, correct? Q That's right. And in 2000 and 2002 the federal A If that is what is reflected in the testimony. court decided that 3.14 percent was acceptable, Q Okay. I would like you to take a look at Page 36 5 of the transcript. A Yes. A Uh-huh. 7 7 Q And the goal is to minimize disenfranchisement, Q If you look on Page 36, it's Mr. Ottman who is 8 testifying there. A Ideally. A Uh-huh. 10 10 Q He's asked a question about why the statutes are Q And so ideally a 3.14 percent disenfranchisement 11 11 is preferable to five and a quarter percent, not built on ward lines. 12 12 A Uh-huh. correct? 13 13 A It's lower. Q And are instead built on the census blocks. 14 14 Q So why did you not use the 3.14 percent as your A Uh-huh. 15 standard? 15 Q His testimony is -- if you look at Lines 10 16 16 A Again, this was determined by a federal court to through 14, he says, "Why act now, and that's 17 17 be an acceptable amount of delayed voting. because the federal lawsuit is challenging the 18 Q As was 3.14 percent in 2002, correct? 18 State that these districts are unconstitutionally 19 19 A Correct. mal-apportioned and that the State needs to act." 20 Q Who made the decision to use five and a quarter 20 Do you see that testimony? 21 21 percent instead of 3.14 percent? A Yes, I do. 22 22 Q Do you recall that one of the reasons that the A I don't recall who made the decision. 23 Q Were you involved in that decision? 23 legislature did not wait to redistrict based on 24 A I don't remember. wards was the pendency of this particular lawsuit? 25 A I'm sorry. Ask that again.  ${f Q}$  Did somebody tell you to use five and a quarter 189 1 percent instead of 3.14 percent? 1 MR. POLAND: Could you read the 2 A I don't remember. 2 question back. Q Was Mr. Ottman involved in that decision? 3 (Question read) A Most likely. A Based on reading this testimony, yes. It Q Was Mr. Handrick involved in that decision? refreshed my memory. A No. 6 Q Okay. If you look at Lines 20 through 22 of that Q Was legal counsel involved in that decision? 7 same page, do you see Mr. Ottman refers to a A I don't remember. second point and he says, "Technology has moved to q Q Can you articulate for me now as you sit here q the point where it is much easier to draw these 10 10 today a reason that the five and a quarter percent maps in advance of the locals completing their 11 should have been used instead of 3.14 percent? 11 process." 12 A I've already explained those reasons. A I do see that, yes. 13 13 Q So you don't have anything in addition to what you Q Do you agree with that statement? 14 14 already testified? A I'm not sure what exactly he's referring to there. 15 A The only addition that I would make is that the 15 Q Do you know who made a decision to proceed with 16 disenfranchisement as a result of the recall 16 redistricting based on census blocks instead of 17 17 elections that occurred in August is roughly wards? 18 18 160,000 people lower than the number I testified A The legislature. 19 to at the time of the public hearing. 19 Q Do you know who specifically at the legislature? 20 Q And that occurred after the time of the public 20 21 21 Q Do you know when that decision was made? hearing? 22 22 A No. A Whenever the senate recall elections occurred. I 23 23 Q Did you ever have any discussions with anyone believe sometime in early August, mid August. Q But in your testimony on July 13th you did not 24 about proceeding based on census blocks as opposed 25 25

to wards?

inform the joint committee that in 2002 the court

substantially similar in population and sensitive 2 Q And who did you discuss that issue with? 2 to minority concerns. A I don't remember. Q What about maximizing republican representation in Q I would like you to take a look at Pages 45 and 46 the assembly? 5 A No. of the transcript. 6 Q Not at all? A Okav. 7 7  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$  Beginning down at the bottom of Page 45 you will A No. I stated the goals that I was given earlier. 8 see Senator Erpenbach asked a question of Q On Page 48, and this is in Mr. Ottman's testimony, 9 Mr. Ottman. He says, "Did you look at the on Line 7 he refers to reapportionment plan again. 10 10 partisan makeup of the districts?" Do you see Again, you would say this is not a reapportionment 11 11 that? plan. It's a redistricting plan; is that correct? 12 12 A Yes, I do. A Sure. 13 13 Q And Mr. Ottman says that information was made Q Is he simply misspeaking there? Is it your 14 14 available to all four caucuses. And then the understanding --15 testimony continues on the bottom of Page 46, and A I think it's just the word he chose to use there. 16 Mr. Ottman says, "The principles by which the map 16 Q And then in Lines 13 through 15 he says, "We 17 17 were drawn were those that I enumerated earlier, prepared the plan. This is the plan that we 18 18 equal population, sensitivity to minority helped prepare with directional leadership." 19 19 concerns, and compact and contiguous districts." 20 That continues on to Page 47. Do you see that 20 Q Do you know who he's referring to there when he 21 21 testimony? savs we? 22 22 A Yes. A I can only assume in this context since I was 23 23 Q Do you agree with that testimony? sitting right next to him probably me. A To what part of it? There's a lot going on there. 24 Q And there were in fact others who were involved in 25 25 Q Do you agree that the principles by which the map preparing the plan, the redistricting plan that 193 1 were drawn were those that were equal population, 1 ended up being Act 43, correct? 2 sensitivity to minority concerns and compact and 2 A Yes. 3 contiguous districts? 3 Q And the directional leadership that Mr. Ottman is A Yes. referring to there, do you know who he is Q Was the map that was reflected in Act 43 -- did it referring to? 6 6 reflect concerns about the partisan makeup of the A The people I've listed earlier in the day. 7 districts? Q That you have identified. Is there anybody else A Based on Mr. Ottman's testimony? in addition to those people who provided direction q Q In your opinion. 9 in the preparation of the plan? 10 10 A State the question again. 11 11 MR. POLAND: Could you read it Q Mr. Foltz, all told how many hours would you 12 12 back. estimate you spent working on the redistricting? 13 13 (The following was read by the reporter: A No idea. 14 14 Q "Was the map that was reflected in Act 43 --Q Was it essentially a full-time endeavor for you 15 did it reflect concerns about the partisan 15 for some period of time? 16 16 makeup of the districts?") A For some period of time. 17 17 Q Strike that question. Were partisan Q Between let's say January of 2011 and the time 18 18 considerations a factor in the configuration of that Act 43 was passed by the legislature was it 19 19 the assembly districts in Act 43? essentially the only thing that you were working 20 20 A The election results were part of the database 21 21 A I would say that's accurate. that was provided to us by LTSB. 22 22 Q What about Mr. Ottman? Do you know whether it was Q In deciding where to draw the district boundaries 23 23 did partisan concerns come into play? essentially a full-time endeavor for him? 24 A The concerns that came into play were drawing 24 A I don't know what Tad does with his time. 25 25 Q Do you generally work about 40-hour weeks? compact, contiguous districts that were

A Sometimes. A I don't recall. 2 Q Sometimes more? Sometimes less? Q Did you ask Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Wild for their A Yes. Well, I should -- my time sheets always have 3 comments on that at all? been 40 hours a week because I'm a salaried State 4 A No. 5 employee. If it is requiring comp time or Q Did you speak with them about the block assignment file after you had sent it? vacation time to get to 40 hours a week, the time 7 7 A vas sheet always says at least 40. Just to be clear 8 **Q** What was the nature of that conversation? Q Okay. Do you know how many different maps you A An explanation of Wisconsin standards for 10 10 personally were involved in drawing before municipal contiguity versus literal or geographic 11 11 settling on a final version of what was introduced contiquity. 12 12 at the legislature as Act 43? Q And you were explaining the Wisconsin standards to 13 13 them? 14 14 Q Can you give me an estimate? A Correct. A No. Q Did they give you any feedback on those standards? 16 Q Is it in the range of 10? 15? 50? 60? 16 A They just pointed out that there were literal 17 17 geographic contiguities, and, as we know, 18  ${f Q}\,$  Did anyone outside the state of Wisconsin ever 18 Wisconsin municipalities have a tendency to annex 19 19 show you any proposed or existing legislative non-contiguous areas within their city boundaries, 20 redistricting plans for the state? 20 a sewer treatment plant, an airport or things like 21 A No. 21 that. So when you run a contiguity report, they 22 Q Did you ever meet with or talk to any 22 will show up as being discontiguous because they 23 23 don't directly touch. However, they are part of representatives or officials at the Republican 24 24 National Committee about the new Wisconsin the municipality. 25 25 Q And did you run any such reports, contiguity districts? 197 199 A Yes. 1 1 reports, based on autoBound? 2 Q Who did you speak with? 2 A Yes. 3 A Mark Jefferson and Mike Wild are the two people Q Did you send any of those to Mr. Jefferson or that come to mind. Mr. Wild? Q When did you speak with Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Wild? 6 Q Are any of the contiguity reports that you ran A I don't recall. produced in the files here today? Q Do you know whether it was before the passage of Q Do you know whether those were saved? the acts themselves? 10 10 A I believe before. A No. They were not. 11 Q What was the nature of the conversations that you 11 Q Anything else that you sent to Mr. Jefferson or 12 12 had with them? Mr. Wild other than the block assignment file? 13 13 A I sent along a block assignment file. A Not that I can recall. There was -- I sent the 14 Q What block assignment file did you send? block assignment file for Act 44 as well to them. 15 A Act 43. The block assignment file that eventually 15 Q And did legal counsel ask that you send that as 16 16 became Act 43. well? 17 17 A Yes. Q And so that was the final one that was introduced 18 18 to the legislature? Q Did you personally participate at all in the 19 19 A I believe so, yes. creation of that block assignment file for Act 44? 20 Q Do you know -- why did you send that to 21 Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Wild? 21 Q Do you know who did? 22 A No. 22 A It was requested of me. 23 23 Q Who asked you to do that? Q Did you have any discussions with Mr. Jefferson or

25

A No.

Mr. Wild about Act 44?

A Legal counsel.

**Q** Which legal counsel in particular?

Q After you sent -- strike that question. Other 2 2 Q As far as you know, you were the only one from than the conversations that you have mentioned 3 here that you had with Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Wild, 3 Wisconsin who worked on the 2011 redistricting who did you have any communications with them with attended that training? 5 respect to the redistricting in 2011? A That's correct. 6 A No. (Recess) 7  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$  Do you know whether anyone at the Republican 7 Q Mr. Foltz, I'm going to hand you a copy of a National Committee has been tasked with tracking 8 document that's been marked as Exhibit No. 2. the redistricting process in Wisconsin? 9 That's a document that Mr. Handrick brought with 10 10 A Not to my knowledge. him yesterday. 11 11 A Uh-huh. Q Did you ever speak with anyone at the RNC other 12 12 Q It's a collection, actually, of documents that he than Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Wild regarding 13 13 redistricting? brought with him yesterday. I'm going to ask you 14 14 to turn to two pages in particular that, I'll just A Not that I can remember. 15 Q Did you ever communicate in any other way, E-mail, show it to you, look like this. 16 16 text, instant messaging with anyone at the RNC 17 17 about redistricting in Wisconsin? Q It's maybe about halfway or so back in that 18 A No. 18 document. 19 19 Q Did you talk with them at all by phone? A Halfway or so. 20 20 Q It might be a little further. There you go. 21 Q Not other than the conversations that you have 21 A Uh-huh. 22 mentioned? 22 Q If you look, there are two pages. Do you see 23 A Right. Well, I did go to a training out there. 23 those two pages? Q When did you do a training out there? 24 A T do. 25 A I don't remember. Q Is this a document that you have seen before? 201 203 Q By out there you mean Washington, D.C.? A I believe so, yes. 2 2 Q Do you know what this document is? 3 Q Was that during the time that the redistricting A Not really. process was going on? Q Do you see there are references --MR. SHRINER: I'm not sure this is 6  ${f Q}$  When did you attend a training in Washington, 6 going to be identified on the record from 7 D.C.? 7 what you just said that. A I believe it was spring of 2010 ballpark. MR. KELLY: You might want to show Q What did that training pertain to? 9 it to the camera. 10 10 A Redistricting. MR. POLAND: I'm going to describe 11 Q Just generally? 11 12 12 A Yes. MR. SHRINER: Go ahead. 13 13 Q Was that a training or a seminar put on by the Q Do you see it has numbers 1 through 99 on it on 14 14 BNGS both pages? 15 A That's correct. 15 A Yes. 16 Q Did Mr. Ottman attend that as well? 16 Q Do you know whether those pertain to 99 different 17 17 A No. he did not. assembly districts? 18 18 Q Did anyone else who worked on redistricting in A I would assume so. 19 Wisconsin attend that training program? 19 Q Some of the numbers are in red and some are in 20 20 black. 21  ${f Q}$  Did any of the legal counsel who participated in 21 A Uh-huh. 22  ${f Q}$  Do you see that? If we look at the first page of the redistricting in 2011 attend that training 23 23 program? these two pages up at the top it says, "Districts A No. 24 that have been cleaned up through Thursday night 25 are red." Do you see that? Q Any of the legislators?

They have been marked as Exhibits 20, 21 and 22. 2 Q Do you know what is meant by districts that have 2 A Okav. 3 been cleaned up through Thursday night? Q They are a large printout of a map? A I do not. A Yes. 5 Q Have you seen a printout that looks like this Q I'm going to refer mostly to Exhibit No. 20 which before? is the top page. 7 7 A No, I haven't. Actually, I did. Yes. I did see A Say that again. Q I'm going to refer mostly to Exhibit 20 which is this at one point. Q Do you know what it is? 9 the very first page. 10 10 A I don't know what the reference to cleaned up is. A Okav. 11 11 Q I'll represent that this is a copy of Act 43 as it Q Do you know generally what the printout 12 12 was passed. It was produced to us by the represents? 13 13 A No. defendants in the case. 14 14 A Uh-huh. Q Do you know the difference between why some 15 districts are red and why some districts are 15 Q I'm going to draw your attention to a few 16 16 different areas of the map, and I'm going to have 17 A Only based on the description at the top of the 17 some questions about them. 18 18 A Uh-huh. page. 19 19 Q And that's the fact that some are in red? Q First I would like to draw your attention to the 20 A Right. Right and the description that goes with 20 Beloit area. All right? 21 21 it. A Yes. 22 Q Did you ever talk with Mr. Handrick about cleaning 22 Q Do you see Beloit is split between two different 23 23 legislative districts, 31 and 45? up districts? 24 A I don't recall. A Yes. 25 Q You can set that to the side. Q Do you know why it was split in that way? 205 207 A Okay. A I don't recall. 1 1  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace$  Did you participate at all in the decision about 2 Q Through the redistricting process did you solicit 2 3 3 comments from any legislators representing areas splitting Beloit between Assembly Districts 31 and most significantly changed by the new districting 45? plan? A I'm sorry. Say that again. 6 6 A I don't recall. Q Did you participate at all in any discussions that 7 Q We had talked before about -- you can actually put 7 resulted in the splitting of Beloit into Assembly Exhibit 2 aside. We're done with that. Districts 31 and 45? q You recall before we were talking about q A I don't recall. 10 10 Q Did you ever see a version of a redistricting map pairings of incumbents? 11 A Uh-huh. 11 that included Beloit where it was all within one 12 12 Q In any earlier versions of the map; that is, assembly district? 13 13 earlier than the final version that was presented A I don't recall. 14 14 to the legislature as Act 43, were any of the Q Do you know what the justification was for 15 republican pairings different than in Act 43 as it 15 splitting Beloit between two different assembly 16 was passed? 16 districts? 17 17 A I don't recall. A I don't recall. 18 18 Q For this part I'm going to get the maps out, Q I would like you to take a look at Appleton. Do 19 Mr. Foltz. 19 you know why Appleton was split among multiple 20 20 MR. SHRINER: That's Wisconsin, districts? 21 21 A I don't recall. right? 22 22 MR. POLAND: It's Wisconsin. Q Did you participate in any discussions about 23 MR. SHRINER: I know what it looks 23 splitting Appleton into multiple assembly like. 24 districts? 25 A I don't recall. 25 Q I'm going to hand you, Mr. Foltz, three documents.

- ${f 1}$   ${f Q}$  Do you know what the justification was for
- 2 splitting Appleton into multiple districts?
- 3 A I don't recall.
- 4 Q Did either you or Mr. Ottman have any specific
- 5 responsibility for particular areas of the state?
- 6 A No.
- ${f 7}$   ${f Q}$  So both of you would have worked on redistricting
- 8 in and around the Beloit area?
- 9 A Say that again.
- 10 Q Both of you would have worked on drawing the
- 11 districts in and around the Beloit area?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And same with respect to Appleton?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Do you know whether Mr. Handrick worked on those
- 16 districts as well?
- 17 A I don't know.
- 18 Q Did you ever speak with Mr. Handrick about
- 19 assembly districts in and around Beloit?
- 20 A I don't recall.
- 21 Q Do you recall ever speaking with Mr. Handrick
- 22 about the districts that were included in
- 23 -- strike that question. Did you ever speak with
- 24 Mr. Handrick about the assembly districts that
- 25 encompassed the city of Appleton?
  - 209
- 1 A I don't recall.
- ${f 2}$   ${f Q}$  I would like to draw your attention down to
- 3 Kenosha County.
- 4 A Uh-huh.
- 5 Q Do you know why Kenosha, the city of Kenosha, was
- 6 split between multiple assembly districts?
- 7 A I'm sorry?
- 8 Q Do you know why Kenosha, the city of Kenosha, was
- 9 split among multiple assembly districts?
- 10 A It's too large to fit in one assembly district.
- ${f 11}$   ${f Q}$  Okay. Do you know why Kenosha was split as is
- 12 shown in Act 43?
- 13 A I don't recall.
- 14 Q Do you know why portions of the city of Racine and
- 15 the city of Kenosha were included together in the
- 16 same assembly district?
- ${\bf 17} \quad {\bf A} \quad {\bf I} \ {\bf don't\ recall.} \quad {\bf I} \ {\bf should\ also\ point\ out\ that}$
- 18 Appleton is too large to fit in one assembly
- 19 district as well.
- 20 Q Do you know whether that was a criteria that was
- 21 considered at the time?
- 22 A The population of the city of Appleton?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A It's too large to fit in one assembly district.
- 25 Q Do you know how many assembly districts Appleton

- 1 is split among?
- 2 A I don't know off the top of my head.
- 3 Q Do you know why it was decided to be put in more
- 4 than two assembly districts?
- 5 A I don't recall.
- f 6 f Q Do you know who made the decision to include
- 7 portions of the city of Racine and the city of
- 8 Kenosha within the same assembly district?
- 9 A I don't recall.
- 10 Q Did anybody ever instruct you to do that?
- 11 A Not that I remember.
- 12 Q Did you ever overhear or see anybody instructing
- Mr. Ottman to include portions of the city of
- 14 Racine and the city of Kenosha in the same
- 15 assembly district?
- 16 A Not that I recall.
- 17 Q Are you aware of any justification for including
- 18 them both within the same assembly district?
- 19 A Could you elaborate on that?
- 20 Q Are you aware of any justification for including
- 21 portions of the city of Racine and the city of
- 22 Kenosha within the same assembly district?
- 23 A Both of those cities are too large to fit entirely
- 24 within one assembly district.
- 25 Q But the district lines could have been drawn so
  - 211
- 1 that the city of Racine and the city of Kenosha
- 2 were not at all included in the same assembly
- 3 district, correct?
- 4 A They could have been?
- 5 Q Yes.
- 6 A Right.
- 7 Q And then why were they not?
- 8 A I don't recall.
- 9 Q Do you know what the justification is for
- 10 including them within the same assembly district?
- 11 A I don't recall.
- 12 Q Turning your attention to the city of Madison.
- 13 Why was the city of Madison combined into two
- 14 senate districts when it historically had been
- 15 three senate districts?
- 16 A I don't recall.
- 17 Q Were there any cities, any municipalities that you
- 18 split to keep districts compact?
- 19 A I don't recall.
- 20 Q Was the consideration of minority interests
- 21 limited to Milwaukee?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Do you know whether Act 43 establishes any single
- 24 Latino majority district?
- 25 A Yes.

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF ADAM R. FOLTZ 12/21/2011 Q Which district or districts are Latino majority? Q Were those changes that we saw reflected in the 2 A 8 has a Hispanic voting age population of 60 and 2 correspondence between Mr. Troupis and MALDEF? 3 change, and 9 has a Hispanic voting age population 3 A Yes. of 54 and change. 4 Q What about with respect to the African-American 5 5 Q And why do you consider those to be Latino majority districts? Did you work with anyone in 6 drawing the African-American majority assembly majority districts? 7 districts? A Because it's greater than 50 percent. Q And that's the voting age population? A Dr. Gaddie. A Yes. Those are the HVAP numbers, the voting age Q And Dr. Gaddie again directed you how to draw 10 10 population numbers. those districts? 11 11 A Uh-huh. Q And that did not consider citizenship, correct? A That's not part of the census. Q Did anyone else assist in directing you how to 13 Q And so it was not considered in creating those draw the African-American majority districts? 14 14 districts, correct? A Not that I recall. 15 15 A I don't know. Q Did Dr. Gaddie ever discuss with you the 16 16 Q Did you ever have any discussion with anyone about possibility of creating more than six 17 17 citizenship as being one of the criteria for the African-American majority districts? 18 18 A I don't recall. redistricting process? 19 19 A I don't recall. Q Did you have any conversations at all with 20 Q How many African-American majority districts are 20 Mr. Handrick about drawing the Latino majority or 21 21 created by Act 43? African-American majority districts? 22 A I don't recall. 22 A Six. 23 Q Did you consider creating more than six? 23 Q Have you ever had any kinds of instructions on 24 A I don't recall. Voting Rights Act? 25 A I'm sorry. What do you mean by instruction? Q Do you know whether you could have created more 213 215 1 than six African-American majority districts? 1 Q Have you ever had any training at all or education 2 A Say that again. in the Voting Rights Act and what it requires? Q Do you know whether you could have created more 3 3 A Various redistricting conferences that you will than six African-American majority districts? attend in training do mention it. A I don't recall. Q Nothing that was sort of a standalone training Q In creating districts in the city of Milwaukee did 6 6 session on Voting Rights Act? 7 you work with anyone with respect to the creation 7 A No. of Latino majority districts? Q Do you know whether the Voting Rights Act applies q A Yes. q to Milwaukee? 10 10 Q Who did you work with on that? A I'm not qualified to answer that. A Dr. Keith Gaddie. 11 Q Do you know whether there are different sections 12 Q What role did Dr. Gaddie play in the establishment 12 of the Voting Rights Act and what they require? 13 13 A I know there are different sections of the Voting of the Latino majority districts? 14 14 A Instructed us on how to draw them in a way that he Rights Act. 15 believed to be correct. 15 Q Do you know if any of those particular sections 16 Q Did the way that Dr. Gaddie instructed you to draw 16 apply to Milwaukee? 17 17 them end up being the final Districts 8 and 9 as A I'm not qualified to answer that. 18 18 incorporated into Act 43? Q Have you ever heard that any of the sections of 19 THE WITNESS: Could you read the 19 the Voting Rights Act apply to Milwaukee? 20 20 A Have I heard? question back. 21 21 Q Correct. (Ouestion read) 22 22 A Not that I can recall. A No. 23 23 Q There were some changes made to the districts that Q Did anyone ever tell you that sections of the

25 A Not that I can recall.

Voting Rights Act apply to Milwaukee?

Dr. Gaddie had directed you to draw?

A In response to MALDEF, yes.

Q Were there any particular steps that you took to Q Did anybody instruct you to split Marshfield into 2 2 minimize splitting of counties and municipalities two different assembly districts? 3 in Act 432 A Not that I recall. A Any steps we took to minimize the --Q Do you know what justification there is for Q The splitting of counties and municipalities in splitting Marshfield between two different drawing the map that ended up as Act 43. assembly districts? 7 7 A Not that I'm aware of. A Not that I can recall. Q Did you do any kind of evaluation of municipal 8 (Discussion off the record) splits in the state? 9 Q Mr. Foltz, speaking statewide, do you know how 10 10 A Yes. many people needed to be moved to new districts 11 11 Q And what was that evaluation that you undertook? from existing districts to comply with equal 12 12 A The autoBound reports that show splits based on population requirements? 13 13 different levels of census geography for the 14 14 assembly and senate plans and also an examination Q Do you know how many were actually moved? 15 of where previous courts had been on those 15 A No. 16 16 questions. Q If I told you that seven times more people were 17 Q When you looked at examination of where previous 17 moved than needed to be moved, can you tell me why 18 courts had been, do you mean that you looked at 18 that was done? 19 19 the opinions that they wrote on the issue of MR. KELLY: Objection, form. 20 municipal splits? 20 You can answer that if you can. 21 21 A I would have to see that analysis. A Yes. 22 Q Was that from 2002 and then 1992, those 22 Q Assuming that analysis, assuming those numbers are 23 redistricting opinions? 23 true, do you know overall why that many more 24 24 A I believe so, yes. people were moved than needed to be moved? 25 Q I would like to draw your attention to the city of MR. McLEOD: Object to the form of 217 1 Marshfield. 1 the question. 2 2 A Uh-huh. A I can't comment without seeing how that number was 3 3 Q Do you see Marshfield is split into two different achieved. assembly districts, the 69th and the 86th? Q Were you involved in determining which voters should be moved or which residents should be moved 6  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$  Do you know why Marshfield was split between two from one assembly district under the 2002 plan to 6 7 different assembly districts? a new district under the 2011 redistricting plan? A I don't recall. A It's kind of part and parcel of drawing a new map. Q Did you ever see any versions of Act 43 or the q q Q And when you did move residents from one district 10 10 maps that eventually became Act 43 where to a new district, were there justifications that 11 Marshfield was not split into two different 11 were developed for doing that? 12 assembly districts? 12 A Not that I can recall. 13 13 A I don't recall. Q So if there was a specific district where there 14 14 Q Do you know whether Act 43 could have been drawn were say 20 people who needed to be moved to 15 such that Marshfield was wholly contained within a 15 comply with equal population requirements and a 16 16 single assembly district? greater number than 20 were moved, was there any 17 17 A Could it have potentially been drawn another way? particular kind of justification that was 18 Q Correct. 18 developed for why that was done? 19 A Yes. 19 A Again, I'm having trouble with that approach to 20 redistricting in how you're phrasing the question. 20 Q Do you know why it was not? 21 A No. 21 If a district is in your scenario overpopulated by 22 22 Q Did you ever participate in any discussions about 20 and it needs to go out and get 20 more people, 23 23 splitting Marshfield between two different that assumes that every district is the first assembly districts? 24 district drawn in the state. It has no accounts

25

for the spacial nature of redistricting. It has

220

25

A Not that I recall.

1 no account for what happened 200 miles away. population retention was memorialized at all? 2 2 There's ripple effects in redistricting. If a A Not that I'm aware of. 3 district is underpopulated or overpopulated by 20,  ${f 3}$   ${f Q}$  Are there any other tools that you know of other if you need to go and grab another 20 -- in a than the autoBound software to evaluate core 5 perfect world where every district was the first population retention? 6 A Yes. and only district drawn, that analysis would 7 7 Q What other tools are there? apply. Here it doesn't. 8 Q Was there any kind of written explanation created A Maptitude redistricting software. for why certain numbers of residents were moved Q I'm sorry. Maptitude? 10 10 A Yes. from one district to another? 11 11 Q Did you use Maptitude at all? A No. 12 12 A No. Q Was there any kind of -- in the memorandums that 13 were created -- there are numbers portrayed in 13 Q Have you used Maptitude in the past? 14 14 those memos, correct? A No. 15 A Yes. 15 Q Did Mr. Ottman also work to generate core 16 16 Q They're not justifications for why things were constituency reports? 17 17 done: is that correct? A I would assume he did. 18 A I believe that's accurate. 18 Q Did you ever see any that he produced? 19 Q Do you know whether during the course of 19 A I'm sure I did at some point. 20 redistricting were there communications between 20 Q Do you know whether Mr. Handrick ever produced any 21 21 the people who were involved in the redistricting core constituency reports? 22 22 A I don't know. process that talked about moving residents from 23 23 Q Did you consult with Mr. Handrick at all on the one assembly district to a different assembly 24 24 district? core constituency reports that you prepared? 25 25 A The core constituency reports are generated by A To me what you're referring to is a core 223 1 1 autoBound. constituency report, how many people moved from 2 District X to District Y. Core constituency 2 Q And a person has to actually hit a print command 3 reports were produced at various times during the 3 or has to do something to generate the printing of process as I testified to earlier. that report, correct? MR. SHRINER: This whole discussion A Yes. 6 6 Q And you did that at times? is somewhat metaphorical, isn't it? The 7 residents don't move. The legislators don't 7 make them move. You're talking about where Q All right. With any of those reports that you q you draw the lines. q created and that you printed, did you ever discuss 10 10 MR. POLAND: Where you draw the any of those with Mr. Handrick? 11 lines with districts. That's correct. Not 11 A On core constituency specifically? 12 physically moving, of course. Moving them 12 Q Correct. 13 13 from one district --A I'm sure I did. I can't recall which specific 14 14 MR. SHRINER: Moving the number of ones or specific versions, but, yes, I'm sure at 15 the district that they're in and the shape? 15 some point he saw a core constituency report. 16 MR. POLAND: That's correct. 16 Q Did you take communities of interest into account 17 17 That's correct. at all in drawing the legislative district 18 18 Q How did you do the evaluation of core population boundaries? 19 retention of the 2002 districts? Was that done 19 A It is a traditional redistricting criteria. 20 through the autoBound software? 20 Q And did you take them into account? 21 A Yes. 21 A Yes. 22 22 Q How did you take them into account? Q And so those analyses are contained in the core 23 23 constituency reports that were produced? A By taking them into account in the drawing of the A That is what a core constituency report is. map. 25 25  ${f Q}$  Is there any other way in which the core Q And how did you gather information about

1 A I'm not qualified to answer that. communities of interest? 2 A I don't understand the question. Q Does Act 43 specifically state that it doesn't go Q What information specifically with respect to into effect until the general elections in 2012? communities of interest did you take into account? A I don't know. If that's what the legislation 5 A It's difficult to say because communities of says. I'm not sure. interest is such a broad term. It really has no Q Do you know why that provision was included? 7 7 Δ NO specific definition. 8 Q Can you identify anything for me that you took 8 MR. POLAND: Give me just a minute. into account in maintaining communities of 9 Peter, I'm just going to check my notes 10 10 interest? here for a second. 11 11 MR. EARLE: Okay. A I'm really not following the question. Again, a 12 12 Q You testified earlier, Mr. Foltz, that as a community of interest can be defined as a school 13 13 district, a tech college district, a county. So staffer you do keep time sheets of your activity; 14 14 all of those could be classified as a community of is that correct? 15 15 A Yes. interest. 16 16 Q Did you take any of those into account in the Q Do those reflect people that you're speaking with 17 17 process of drawing the assembly districts? or working with? 18 A No. 18 A No. I did not draw the map based on school 19 19 districts but, yes, communities of interest were Q Generally what kind of information is reflected in 20 taken into account. 20 those time sheets? 21 21 Q And which specific ones did you take into account? A Number of hours worked. 22 A I don't recall. 22  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace$  Is there anything other more than that, a general 23 23 Q Did you evaluate any specific historical data on description at all? 24 how communities of interest across the state had 24 25 25 been housed in senate and assembly districts in MR. POLAND: Those are all of the 225 227 1 the past when drawing Act 43? 1 questions I have at this time. 2 2 A I had access to printouts of old redistricting Peter? 3 3 MR. EARLE: Thank you. Q Did you consult them specifically with respect to maintaining communities of interest in those old EXAMINATION 6 6 redistricting maps? By Mr. Earle: A I don't recall. 7 Q Mr. Foltz, I have just a few questions to clarify Q Did you receive any input from any communities of my understanding of your testimony today. The q interest or local municipalities when you were 9 E-mail -- I have copies of the E-mails that were 10 10 drawing the maps? brought by you today that were sent to me by 11 A If they testified, they did so at the public 11 E-mail. 12 hearing which should be reflected in the record. 12 A Uh-huh. 13 13 Q Other than the testimony reflected in the public Q The first one is an E-mail from -- it appears to 14 14 record, did you receive any input from communities be an E-mail from Mr. Ottman to Mr. Gaddie. It 15 of interest or local municipalities when drawing 15 has some figures on it for the HVAP for three 16 16 the maps? different options for the 8th and 9th assembly 17 17 A No. districts. Can you grab that? 18 18 Q Did you receive any input at all from democratic A I'm paging through right now. What was the header 19 lawmakers in drawing the maps? 19 of that, the subject? 20 Q Wisconsin Hispanic Districts. It's dated Sunday, 20 A The public hearing. 21 **Q** Other than the public hearing? 21 July 17, 2011 at 11:40 a.m. 22 22 A I have tracked it down. A No. 23 Q Do the 2011 legislative maps reflected in 23 Q Got it? Act 43 -- strike that. Does Act 43 create a 24 A Yes. 25 25 partisan advantage for republicans or democrats? Q Okay. Great. Which of those three maps was the 228

1 MALDEF proposal? There are three HVAP listings in which you participated to draw the boundaries 2 2 there. of the 8th assembly district so as to have within 3 A The MALDEF proposal was a version of a 60/53 that 3 that district an effective voting majority of 4 we modified and they were agreeable to. 4 Latinos? 5 5 Q 60/53? So that would be the modified -- that MR. KELLY: I'll object to form as 6 6 modification was the map that was ultimately well with respect to the continued use of the 7 7 word effective. I'm a lawyer, and I don't adopted; is that correct? 8 A No. There was discussions between the two parties 8 understand what that means and I speak where they offered a 60/53 alternative that would 9 English rather well. 10 10 have required the redrawing of at least four other MR. EARLE: I won't comment on 11 11 assembly districts to which we responded with a that, Eric. 12 12 proposal that held the HVAP numbers or actually MS. LAZAR: That was Dan Kelly. 13 13 slightly improved upon those numbers and prevented MR. SHRINER: That is because Eric 14 14 us from having to redraw additional assembly is not a smart ass. 15 15 districts. Q Will you please answer the question. Was there an 16 16 Q That's Amendment Two? effort made to draw the 8th assembly district so 17 17 A Yes. Yes. Amendment Two is the result of the as to have within that district an effective 18 18 conversations with MALDEF. voting majority of Latinos? 19 19 Q Now, let me ask you, was there an effort to draw A Again, I don't understand the term effective 20 the 8th assembly district so as to have the Latino 20 voting majority of Latinos. What I know is that 21 community constitute an effective voting majority 21 we spoke to MALDEF, the preeminent group for 22 within that district? 22 Hispanic rights with regard to redistricting 23 MR. McLEOD: I'm going to assert an 23 rights within the country, and they encouraged us 24 24 objection to the form of the question, but to move our amendment from a 64/50 or 57/57 split 25 25 to what was ultimately adopted as 60/54. you can answer. 229 231 1 Q We'll explore the question of MALDEF in a moment. MR. EARLE: What's wrong with the 1 2 form of the question? 2 I just want to know whether there was a conscious 3 3 MR. McLEOD: I don't know what effort by those involved in this redistricting effective means. It's vague and ambiguous. plan to create a district in which there was an MR. EARLE: It means effective as effective voting majority of Latinos. 6 6 A Well, since my understanding -defined in the United States dictionary, 7 common usage. An effective voting majority. 7 Q Can you just tell me yes there was or no there 8 Q Do you understand the term, Mr. Foltz? wasn't? That's what I'm trying to figure out, A I'm not a lawyer. q whether there was or there wasn't. And, if there 10 10 Q You're a speaker of the English language, correct? was, who was involved in it. 11 A I dabble. 11 A And I'm still trying to figure out your definition 12 12 Q I'm asking you whether there was an effort made to of effective voting majority. 13 13 Q What do you suppose an effective voting majority draw the 8th assembly district so as to have 14 14 within that district an effective voting majority means, Mr. Foltz? 15 of Latinos. 15 A You can answer that for me. 16 A And I'll refer you to the E-mails with MALDEF. 16 Q What do you suppose it means? 17 17 There was a 65/40 proposal that was given to A I'm not going to engage in speculation on what 18 18 MALDEF, and MALDEF encouraged us to back that you're trying to ask me. 19 number off to a 60/53 which then ended up being a 19 Q How do you interpret the word effective? How 20 20 60/54 based on our conversations going back and would you interpret the words effective voting 21 forth with MALDEF. 21 majority in the context of normal usage? 22 22 A I am not a lawyer, demographer or political Q But I would like you to answer my question. Was 23 23 scientist, so I don't feel I'm qualified to answer there an effort made to draw the 8th assembly 24 district in which you participated -- I'll 24 the specific definition of that. 25  ${\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q}$  Okay. Did you consider at any point or are you rephrase the question. Was there an effort made

```
1
       aware of whether anybody involved in the
                                                                         related to conversations between counsel and
 2
                                                              2
       redistricting plan considered citizenship?
                                                                         Mr. Foltz that are attorney-client
 3
    A I'm sorry. Say that again.
                                                              3
                                                                         privileged.
    Q Did anybody consider citizenship who was involved
                                                               4
                                                                                  MR. EARLE: So you're directing the
                                                               5
       in the redistricting planning process?
                                                                         witness not to answer that question?
 6
    A I don't recall.
                                                               6
                                                                                  MR. McLEOD: If your question is
 7
                                                              7
    Q Are you aware of any factors that were considered
                                                                         what was the substance of a conversation that
 8
       with regards to whether the Latino community has
                                                              R
                                                                         Mr. Troupis had with Mr. Foltz, then, yes,
 9
       less of an opportunity to participate in the
                                                              9
                                                                         I'm instructing the witness not to answer.
10
                                                              10
       political process?
                                                                  Q You're going to abide by that instruction,
11
                                                              11
    A Well, I know that the federal court in 2002 passed
                                                                     Mr. Foltz?
12
                                                              12
                                                                  A Yes.
       the map at a 58 percent Hispanic voting age
13
       population and successfully performed for the
                                                              13
                                                                  Q Yes?
14
                                                              14
       decade electing both Peter Colon and
                                                                 A Yes.
15
       JoCasta Zamarripa.
                                                              15
                                                                 Q Is the source of your information about what
16
                                                              16
    Q What kinds of factors do you think would be
                                                                     MALDEF said anything other than what's the content
17
                                                              17
       appropriate to consider to determine whether or
                                                                     of the E-mail that has been produced today?
18
                                                              18
       not Latinos have less of an opportunity to
                                                                 A Nope.
                                                              19
19
       participate in the political process?
                                                                  Q That's the entire source of your knowledge about
20
    A Well, I would say that the district being more
                                                              20
                                                                     what MALDEF said?
21
                                                             21
                                                                  A Yes.
       Hispanic than the one the federal court drew ten
22
                                                              22
                                                                  Q The last set of questions had to do with the
       years ago is a good place to start.
23
                                                              23
    Q Now, who actually spoke with MALDEF?
                                                                     attachment to the map, the E-mails. I have in
                                                              24
24
    A Jim Troupis.
                                                                     front of me a map that has a blue district and a
25
                                                              25
                                                                     green district with some dark lines drawn around
    Q You never spoke with anybody from MALDEF, correct?
                           233
                                                                                        235
    A Correct.
                                                              1
 1
                                                                     it. Can you grab that?
 2
    Q Do you know whether Mr. Ottman ever spoke with
                                                                 A Yes. Got it.
 3
       anybody from MALDEF?
                                                                 Q Got it?
    A I do not know that.
                                                                  A Yes. I have it.
    Q Do you know whether Mr. Handrick ever spoke with
                                                                  Q Could you indicate to me how we identify that map
 6
                                                              6
                                                                     for the record? What exhibit is that part of?
       anyone from MALDEF?
    A I do not know that.
                                                              7
                                                                                  MR. SHRINER: The last page of
    Q Is it your understanding that the only person who
                                                              8
                                                                         whatever exhibit it is.
 q
                                                              q
                                                                                  MS. LAZAR: The second to the last
       spoke with anybody from MALDEF is Mr. Troupis?
                                                              10
10
    A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
                                                                         page of Exhibit 25.
11
    Q So all the information you have about what MALDEF
                                                              11
                                                                  Q If you could help me understand this. I can see
12
                                                              12
       said about the maps comes from statements made to
                                                                     the 8th and 9th districts with a dark blue line
13
                                                              13
                                                                     around the 8th and a black line around the 9th.
       you by Mr. Troupis? Is that an accurate
14
                                                              14
       statement?
                                                                 A Yes.
15
                    MR. McLEOD: I'm going to object to
                                                              15
                                                                  Q Do you see that there?
16
            the form of the question. The question is
                                                              16
                                                                  A Yes, I do.
17
                                                             17
            now asking for oral communications between
                                                                  Q And it's my understanding -- correct me if I'm
18
                                                              18
            Mr. Troupis and Mr. Foltz which constitutes
                                                                     wrong here, but it's my understanding that the
19
            attorney-client privileged information. I
                                                              19
                                                                     blue, the light blue, is what MALDEF produced as a
20
                                                              20
                                                                     proposed 8th assembly district; is that correct?
           hate to make lengthier objections than that.
21
                                                              21
           but the substance of what's being discussed
                                                                  A The light blue solid colored district, yes.
22
                                                             22
           here relates to an E-mail communication
                                                                 Q And the light green is what MALDEF produced as a
23
                                                              23
                                                                     proposed 9th assembly district, correct?
            that's been produced because it's responsive.
24
            It does not implicate conversations and it
                                                              24
                                                                  A That's correct.
25
                                                             25
            certainly does not allow for questions
                                                                 {f Q} And the reason this proposal was rejected was that
```

1 the 8th and 9th assembly districts as they Q Was any effort made to determine whether or not an 2 2 proposed them went outside the boundaries of the effective voting majority of Latino citizens of 3 combined districts as had been developed by you 3 voting age was possible? 4 and the others working on the redistricting team, 4 A Say that again. 5 correct? Q Was any effort made to determine whether or not it 6 A Yes. was possible to draw a district that contained 7 7 Q So in other words, the redistricting team was within it a majority of Latinos who are citizens 8 willing to consider alternate configurations of 8 9 the 8th and 9th assembly districts as long as the A I'm not qualified to answer that question. Again, 10 outside boundaries of those two districts combined 10 not being a demographer, political scientist or a 11 11 were not altered; is that correct? lawyer. 12 12 A Well, I don't want to say that we would not Q I didn't ask you an opinion, sir. I asked you 13 13 consider it. We did consider it and offered a whether any effort was made to draw a district, an 14 14 counterproposal which MALDEF was agreeable to. assembly district on the near south side of 15 15 Q Is it accurate to say that you and the other Milwaukee, that contained within it a majority of 16 members of the redistricting team did not want to 16 Latinos who are citizens of voting age. 17 17 alter the outside boundaries of the 8th and 9th A Well, again, since citizenship is not part of the 18 18 assembly districts as you had drawn them? census data, it requires extrapolation techniques 19 19 A Well, as the E-mail will indicate, it was that I'm not qualified to answer. Again, I'm not 20 preferable. 20 a demographer, a political scientist, and CVAP is 21 21 **Q** Why was it preferable to avoid altering those not part of the census. 22 22 Q I understand that you have limited qualifications. boundaries? 23 23 A Because it would have required the redrawing of I'm simply asking you whether an effort was made 24 24 several other districts. as part of the redistricting process to draw a map 25 25 Q Did you consider whether -- let me strike that. that contained a majority of Latinos who were 237 239 1 1 Let me rephrase that. In the event it was citizens of voting age. That's a simple query. 2 possible to draw an 8th assembly district with an Was such an effort undertaken, yes or no? 3 effective voting majority of Latinos but as a 3 A Again, I'm not qualified to answer that. result of drawing such a map it would be required Q You participated in the redistricting process, to alter those lines -- strike that. Let me correct? 6 6 rephrase it. What I'm trying to figure out, A Yes. 7 Mr. Foltz, is whether or not the option of 7 Q Are you aware of whether any effort was made by 8 creating a district with an effective voting anyone participating in the redistricting process q 9 over whether or not there was -- whether or not a majority of Latinos was precluded because you did 10 10 not want to alter the outside lines of the 8th and map could be drawn in which there was a majority 11 9th assembly districts as you had already come up 11 of Latinos who were citizens of voting age? 12 12 with them. MR. KELLY: I'll object to the form 13 13 of the question. Just in case you're MR. KELLY: Objection, form. 14 14 A And I will just go back to the lacking a interested in what I think the problem of the 15 15 definition from you of effective voting majority. form is, it's a compound question. It calls 16 16 I can't give you any more than that. for knowledge that Mr. Foltz has already said 17 17 Q When I say effective voting majority, Mr. Foltz, that he did not have; to wit, the citizenship 18 18 I'm talking about a voting majority of Latinos who of the voting age population in the proposed 19 19 District 8. are citizens and of voting age. Obviously you 20 20 Q Mr. Foltz, did you -would agree with me that you have to be of voting 21 21 MR. EARLE: I'll withdraw the age in order to vote, correct? 22 22 A Seems reasonable. question and rephrase it. 23 23 Q And you have to be a citizen in order to vote, Q Are you aware of whether or not any effort was 24 correct? 24 made by anybody participating in the redistricting 25 25 A Also seems reasonable. process to determine whether or not a district

could be drawn in which there was a majority of A Say that again. 2 Latino citizens? Q Did he tell you what factors to consider in 3 A Say that again. drawing the 8th and 9th assembly districts? 4 MR. EARLE: Could you read the A Not that I can recall. 5 question back. Q Did you overhear any conversations between Dr. Gaddie and anybody else? (Question read) 7 7 A Not that I can recall. A I do not have any reports produced by Dr. Gaddie reflecting that information. Q Did you meet with JoCasta Zamarripa regarding the Q Did you speak with Dr. Gaddie about the 8th and 8th assembly district? 10 10 9th assembly districts? A She sits on the committee that heard the bill. 11 A Yes. 11 Q I'm asking you whether you met with her about it. 12 Q When you spoke with him, who was present? A She was at the committee hearing. She was A I don't remember. 13 present. 14 **Q** Where were you when you spoke with him? 14 MR. EARLE: I have no further 15 A Michael Best. questions. 16 16 MR KELLY: Then I think we're Q On how many occasions did you speak with him about 17 17 the 8th and 9th assembly districts? done. 18 A I don't recall. 18 MR. McLEOD: I have one matter I Q Now, I'm talking about in the planning process 19 19 want to follow up on. 20 before Act 43 was adopted. 20 21 21 A I don't recall. EXAMINATION 22 Q Was it more than once? 22 By Mr. McLeod: 23 A I don't recall. 23 Q Mr. Foltz, you were asked earlier by Mr. Poland 24 Q Your answer was yes you did speak with him, so whether you had provided counsel with all 25 25 it's at least once, correct? documents that are responsive to the subpoena. Do 241 243 A Yes. 1 1 you remember that? 2 2 A Yes. Q But you don't know whether it was 5, 10, 15, 20 or 3 3 30 times? Q Did you in fact to the best of your knowledge 4 A No. provide counsel with all documents which are Q Did you take any notes at any time regarding your responsive to the subpoena? 6 conversations with Mr. Gaddie about the 8th and 6 A Yes. 7 9th assembly districts? 7 Q To your knowledge have you withheld any E-mail A Not that I'm aware of. correspondence between you and Joe Handrick on Q Did you send any E-mails about the 8th and 9th q grounds that any such E-mail correspondence was 10 10 assembly districts? subject to any privilege? 11 A You have those. 11 A No. 12 12 Q Excuse me? MR. McLEOD: Thank you. Nothing 13 13 A You have those. else. 14 14 Q Those are the only ones that you generated? 15 15 RE-EXAMINATION Q Did Dr. Gaddie make any recommendations as to how 16 By Mr. Poland: 17 17 to draw the 8th and 9th assembly districts? Q I have one follow-up question to that. There were 18 18 A Yes. documents that you located that were responsive to 19 Q What recommendations did he make? 19 the subpoena that you did not produce today, 20 A I don't recall exactly what he said. correct? Q Did he give you anything in writing? 21 A Correct. 22  ${f Q}$  And those are documents that there is a privilege A No. 23 23 Q Did he draw any maps for you? asserted over, correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Did he tell you what factors to consider? **Q** And that's the reason that you didn't produce them 244

```
today?
                                                                  STATE OF WISCONSIN )
 2
    A Yes.
                                                                                      ) ss.
                                                                 COUNTY OF DANE
                                                                                      )
 3
                    MR. POLAND: No further questions.
 4
                    MR. EARLE: One last question on
                                                               3
                                                                          I. SUSAN C. MILLEVILLE, a Court Reporter
 5
            that.
                                                                  and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in
 6
                                                                  and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify
 7
                      RE-EXAMINATION
                                                                  that pursuant to subpoena, there came before me on
 8
    By Mr. Earle:
                                                                  the 21st day of December 2011, at 10:21 in the
    Q Did any of those documents that were not produced
                                                               8
                                                                  forenoon, at the offices of Godfrey & Kahn, S.C.,
10
       today under privilege grounds -- was Mr. Handrick
                                                               q
                                                                  Attorneys at Law, One East Main Street, the City of
11
       a recipient of the any of those documents or a
                                                              10
                                                                  Madison, County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin, the
12
       sender of any of those documents?
                                                              11
                                                                  following named person, to wit: ADAM R. FOLTZ, who
13
    A No. Not that I recall.
                                                              12
                                                                  was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and
                                                              13
14
                                                                  nothing but the truth of his knowledge touching and
                    MR. EARLE: Are we going to be
                                                              14
                                                                  concerning the matters in controversy in this cause;
15
            provided with a log?
                                                              15
                                                                  that he was thereupon carefully examined upon his
16
                    MR. McLEOD: Yes. Peter,
                                                              16
                                                                  oath and his examination reduced to typewriting with
17
            Eric McLeod here. We did -- since you're not
                                                              17
                                                                  computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is
18
           here, you didn't receive it from us. You
                                                              18
                                                                  a true record of the testimony given by the witness.
19
            will receive a copy of the privilege log
                                                              19
                                                                             I further certify that I am neither
20
            which identifies the documents that have been
                                                              20
                                                                  attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
21
            withheld and the basis for the privilege.
                                                              21
                                                                  by any of the parties to the action in which this
22
                    MR. EARLE: Thank you.
                                                              22
                                                                  deposition is taken and further that I am not a
23
                    MR. POLAND: Just to be clear about
                                                              23
                                                                  relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
24
            that, Eric, is that the written piece that
                                                              24
                                                                  employed by the parties hereto or financially
25
            you provided this morning?
                                                              25
                                                                  interested in the action.
                           245
                                                                              In witness whereof I have hereunto set my
 1
                    MR McLEOD: That's correct
                                                               2
                                                                  hand and affixed my notarial seal this 22nd day of
 2
                    MR. POLAND: Peter, just to be
                                                                  December 2011.
 3
            clear about it, I believe that we scanned and
                                                                                       Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
 4
            sent this to you. This is the document that
                                                               6
            Eric brought this morning that says Documents
                                                                  My commission expires
                                                               7
                                                                  June 23, 2013
 6
            Produced in Response to Subpoena Issued by
                                                               8
 7
            Plaintiffs to Adam Foltz.
 8
                    MR. EARLE: Yes.
                                                               9
 9
                    MR. POLAND: And then dated today.
                                                              10
10
                    MR. EARLE: Okay. Thank you.
                                                              11
11
                    MR. POLAND: That's the privilege
                                                              12
12
           log that you're referring to, Eric.
13
                    MR McLEOD: That is correct.
                                                              13
14
                    MS. LAZAR: It's marked as
                                                              14
15
            Exhibit 24.
                                                              15
16
                 (Adjourning at 4:41 p.m.)
                                                              16
17
18
                                                              17
19
                                                              18
20
                                                              19
21
                                                              20
22
                                                              21
22
23
24
23
24
25
```

#### 127:11, 170:3, 176:22, 190:24 **14** [11] - 4:4, 4:6, **'02** [5] - 41:4, 41:8, 50:6, 53:23, 107:15, 42:10, 44:21, 172:17 160:19, 162:4, '06 [2] - 102:21, 163:24, 164:3, 102:22 166:16, 191:16 '07 [2] - 99:7, 102:23 **148** [6] - 29:3, 29:14, **'90** [1] - 41:8 29:17, 30:1, 31:12, **'92** [2] - 41:4, 189:1 36:6 14th [2] - 62:24, 1 162:14 **15** [12] - 30:2, 54:2, 107:22, 147:9, **1** [7] - 41:22, 73:3, 147:22, 163:24, 84:21, 113:15, 165:17, 166:16, 113:16, 113:19, 177:1, 195:16, 204:13 197:16, 242:2 **1.58** [1] - 172:23 **158** [1] - 4:3 **10** [11] - 10:17, **16** [5] - 54:2, 108:6, 10:19, 11:9, 11:11, 167:7, 167:8, 182:3 15:16, 16:6, 105:14, **160** [1] - 4:5 108:23, 191:15, **160,000** [3] - 184:14, 197:16, 242:2 188:8, 190:18 100 [1] - 42:15 **161** [1] - 4:6 **1000** [1] - 6:10 **17** [7] - 6:7, 53:25, **10:21** [2] - 5:13, 108:11, 168:16, 247:7 168:18, 168:23, **10:35** [1] - 85:2 228:21 10th [1] - 60:25 **17/244** [1] - 3:5 **11** [9] - 15:15, 27:6, **171** [1] - 84:4 27:19, 48:4, 106:18, 17th [3] - 74:19, 109:18, 109:20, 75:4, 78:8 177:18, 183:23 **18** [7] - 3:11, 15:12, 11-by-17 [1] - 90:11 110:2, 168:16, 11-CV-1011 [1] -168:19, 168:23, 182:3 2:11 **19**[4] - 15:11, 11-CV-562 [1] - 1:12 116:16, 116:19, **11:40** [1] - 228:21 169:25 11:41 [1] - 85:1 **1992** [9] - 44:2, 11th [5] - 46:13, 44:19, 44:23, 185:7. 49:22, 79:7, 80:21, 185:25, 187:16, 81:14 188:7, 188:19, 217:22 **12** [7] - 15:16, 27:24, 28:10, 106:25, 2 112:23, 113:3, 170:9 **12/13/11** [2] - 3:16, 92:24 2 [6] - 41:23, 73:3, **12/8/11** [1] - 96:1 84:21, 175:15, 203:8, 206:8 **128** [1] - 29:21 20 [16] - 3:13, 3:21, 12th [1] - 84:24 **13** [13] - 4:3, 15:16, 96:19, 147:10, 147:22, 192:6, 207:1, 16:6, 18:9, 36:6, 207:5, 207:8, 220:14, 107:8, 112:24, 113:4, 220:16, 220:22, 114:11, 114:17, 221:3, 221:4, 242:2 158:23, 177:1, 195:16 **200** [1] - 221:1 13th [12] - 18:11, 2000 [7] - 63:2, 57:25, 76:8, 90:19, 63:18, 66:21, 68:4, 116:13, 120:10,

120:13, 124:25,

68:22, 69:22, 189:3

```
2002 [22] - 30:22,
41:13, 42:22, 43:16,
43:20, 48:23, 94:15,
172:12, 172:15,
186:2, 186:5, 187:9,
187:12, 187:22,
188:24, 189:3,
189:18, 190:25,
217:22, 220:6,
222:19, 233:11
 2005 [2] - 98:6,
103:10
 2006 [2] - 102:25,
103:12
 2007 [8] - 8:12, 19:4,
97:25, 101:6, 102:2,
102:8, 102:13
 2008 [2] - 97:25,
101:14
 2009 [11] - 10:13,
32:15, 98:21, 99:2,
99:4, 99:8, 99:11,
99:17, 101:7, 115:3
 2010 [22] - 10:25,
11:16, 22:24, 50:6,
50:9, 52:5, 52:7, 62:7,
63:2, 63:18, 66:21,
68:4, 68:22, 69:22,
98:19, 98:20, 106:11,
106:21, 107:23,
123:11, 124:1, 202:8
 2011 [50] - 1:20,
3:19, 3:21, 4:3, 4:4,
4:6, 5:13, 10:23,
11:14, 18:9, 21:19,
21:22, 30:2, 32:13,
32:19, 32:23, 33:2,
33:6, 33:14, 36:6,
51:7, 52:5, 52:7, 52:8,
66:22, 68:22, 79:10,
96:19, 98:18, 104:4,
110:2, 113:9, 114:23,
121:25, 124:4, 124:8,
138:18, 158:24,
160:7, 160:19, 162:4,
196:17, 201:5,
202:22, 203:3, 220:7,
226:23, 228:21,
247:7, 248:3
 2011-12 [1] - 29:2
 2012 [1] - 227:3
 2013 [1] - 248:7
 21 [2] - 1:20, 207:1
 2100 [1] - 6:11
 21st [3] - 5:12,
167:13, 247:7
 22 [5] - 113:9,
181:17, 181:22,
192:6, 207:1
```

23 [11] - 3:11, 17:19, 17:20, 17:23, 18:14, 19:8, 22:13, 181:17, 181:22, 185:6, 248:7 **24** [21] - 3:12, 20:3, 20:6, 20:23, 20:25, 21:4, 21:15, 22:15, 22:18, 23:15, 23:25, 24:14, 25:4, 25:18, 26:10, 26:25, 27:21, 27:24, 28:11, 179:23, 246:15 **243** [1] - 3:7 **24th** [1] - 54:23 25 [17] - 3:14, 28:17, 29:1, 36:3, 39:13, 40:8, 40:22, 40:24, 51:7, 80:15, 179:24, 179:25, 181:9, 185:6, 186:12, 186:19, 236:10 **257,000** [1] - 185:8 **26** [6] - 3:15, 80:17, 80:18, 92:17, 94:20, 175:7 26(A[2] - 105:19, 108:22 **262** [1] - 6:23 **27** [9] - 3:17, 20:3, 54:2, 80:13, 94:5, 94:21, 94:22, 175:3, 175:14 28 [9] - 3:14, 3:19, 54:2, 95:21, 95:24, 176:10, 176:24, 176:25, 178:25 **29** [9] - 3:21, 53:17, 54:19, 57:18, 95:21, 96:16, 97:7, 181:16, 181:20 **299,704** [4] - 184:1, 184:6, 184:8, 185:21 3

22nd [1] - 248:2

3 [6] - 41:25, 73:3, 84:21, 113:14, 113:17, 183:23 3.14 [12] - 187:13, 187:22, 188:5, 188:24, 189:4, 189:10, 189:14, 189:18, 189:21, 190:1, 190:11, 191:1 30 [8] - 4:3, 57:6, 158:10, 158:14, 182:2, 185:4, 185:6, 242:3 300 [1] - 5:23 31 [9] - 4:4, 160:10, 160:15, 183:21, 183:22, 185:11, 207:23, 208:3, 208:8 32 [4] - 4:6, 57:20, 161:20, 161:23 33rd [1] - 103:5 34 [1] - 57:24 35 [1] - 60:24 36 [2] - 191:4, 191:7 360-2779 [1] - 100:21

#### 4

4 [2] - 84:21, 170:9 **40** [3] - 197:4, 197:6, 197:7 **40-hour** [1] - 196:25 **400** [1] - 6:4 **417** [1] - 6:22 **43** [72] - 8:23, 11:3, 11:19, 12:1, 66:10, 66:13, 66:22, 67:2, 67:22, 68:5, 68:23, 69:24, 70:15, 84:3, 84:15, 87:23, 89:14, 89:16, 106:14, 131:23, 131:24, 132:3, 132:20, 133:3, 134:1, 144:25, 146:13, 148:25, 155:13, 155:22, 156:10, 157:12, 161:17, 164:20, 172:2, 174:16, 177:8, 177:14, 178:22, 182:21, 183:4, 183:17, 184:4, 184:20, 184:21, 185:2, 188:13, 194:5, 194:14, 194:19, 196:1, 196:18, 197:12, 198:15, 198:16, 206:14, 206:15, 207:11, 210:12, 212:23, 213:21, 214:18, 217:3, 217:6, 218:9, 218:10, 218:14, 226:1, 226:24, 227:2, 241:20 43's [1] - 172:3 **44** [9] - 8:24, 11:4, 11:19, 12:3, 61:14, 67:2, 200:14, 200:19, 200:24 447-2199 [1] - 6:23 **45** [5] - 193:4, 193:7,

228/245 [1] - 3:6

	VIDEOTAL E DEL OC	THON OF ABANA	11. 1 OL12 12/21/2	2011
207:23, 208:4, 2	08:8 <b>65/40</b> [1] - 230:17	170:9, 179:5, 179:10,	achieve [1] - 172:1	add [1] - 84:12
<b>46</b> [3] - 62:2, 19		213:3, 214:17	achieved [2] - 172:8,	addendum [1] -
193:15	<b>6:41</b> [1] - 81:14	<b>92</b> [1] - 3:16	220:3	29:13
<b>463</b> [1] - 42:6	6th [1] - 84:21	<b>94</b> [2] - 3:18, 84:4		addition [7] - 22:4,
<b>47</b> [1] - 193:20	<b>6(11</b> [1] - 64.21		<b>acronym</b> [2] - 42:14, 58:25	62:12, 121:5, 121:10,
	05.0	<b>95</b> [1] - 3:20		
<b>48</b> [2] - 62:18, 1	95:8 <b>7</b>	<b>96</b> [1] - 3:22	act [6] - 109:15,	190:13, 190:15, 196:8
<b>49</b> [1] - 62:15		<b>99</b> [2] - 204:13,	149:3, 172:3, 184:12,	additional [8] - 34:2,
<b>4:41</b> [1] - 246:16	<b>7</b> [5] <b>-</b> 3:4, 21:19,	204:16	191:16, 191:19	46:13, 53:9, 73:19,
<b>4th</b> [1] - 62:5	21:22, 114:7, 195:9	<b>9th</b> [14] - 228:16,	<b>Act</b> [86] - 8:23, 8:24,	116:9, 150:20, 229:14
	<b>700</b> [1] - 6:17	236:12, 236:13,	11:3, 11:19, 12:1,	address [1] - 50:21
5		236:23, 237:1, 237:9,	12:3, 66:10, 66:13,	Adjourning [1] -
	<b>715</b> [1] - 100:21	237:17, 238:11,	66:22, 67:2, 67:22,	246:16
	<b>751.035</b> [3] - 165:17,	241:10, 241:17,	68:5, 68:23, 69:24,	adopted [11] - 42:19,
<b>5</b> [10] - 10:18, 1		242:7, 242:9, 242:17,	70:15, 84:3, 84:15,	44:17, 75:3, 75:14,
84:21, 106:1, 11		243:3	87:23, 89:14, 89:16,	77:1, 87:24, 144:25,
114:4, 175:8, 17			106:14, 131:23,	188:24, 229:7,
188:6, 242:2	<b>78</b> [2] - 172:3, 172:22	Α	131:24, 132:3,	231:25, 241:20
5,600,000 [1] -			132:20, 133:3, 134:1,	<b>ADs</b> [1] - 88:21
185:19	8		144:25, 146:13,	advance [1] - 192:10
<b>5.7</b> [1] - 185:20		<b>a.m</b> [1] - 228:21	148:25, 155:13,	advantage [1] -
<b>50</b> [2] - 197:16,		<b>AB</b> [2] - 42:2, 42:3	155:22, 156:10,	226:25
<b>50(4m)</b> [1] - 164	<b>8</b> [24] <b>-</b> 3:19, 75:2,	<b>abide</b> [1] - 235:10	157:12, 161:17,	advice [8] - 52:24,
<b>500</b> [1] - 5:20	76:19, 76:20, 77:1,	ability [1] - 182:5	164:20, 172:2,	53:6, 134:24, 135:5,
<b>51</b> [2] - 70:23, 7	0:25 77:6, 77:24, 79:6,	able [3] - 47:22, 87:6,	174:16, 177:8,	148:17, 149:22,
<b>53</b> [1] - 70:25	87:22, 88:21, 89:1,	139:19	177:14, 178:22,	149:24, 150:4
<b>53021</b> [1] - 6:23	89:13, 90:1, 90:5,	absolute [1] - 173:1	182:21, 183:4,	advise [1] - 143:19
<b>53202</b> [3] - 5:24	114:7, 144:22, 145:5,	acceptable [7] - 7:9,	183:17, 184:4,	advised [1] - 134:16
6:11, 6:14	179:5, 179:10,	172:14, 187:25,	184:20, 184:21,	
<b>53703</b> [4] - 5:20	6:4 183:25, 213:2,	189:2, 189:4, 189:17,	185:2, 188:13, 194:5,	advising [2] - 143:1,
6:7, 6:18	214:17, 240:19	191:1	194:14, 194:19,	143:6
<b>54</b> [4] - 71:5, 77	. <sub>2</sub> <b>801</b> [1] - 166:10	access [2] - 174:23,	196:1, 196:18,	<b>affect</b> [3] - 96:12,
77:14, 213:4	801.50(4m [3] -	226:2	197:12, 198:15,	97:1, 97:8
<b>54.03</b> [1] - 82:22	164:10, 165:25,	accessed [1] - 49:15	198:16, 200:14,	<b>affixed</b> [1] - 248:2
	166:21	accessing [1] -	200:19, 200:24,	<b>African</b> [8] - 213:20,
<b>56</b> [1] - 71:6	<b>801.50(4m)</b> [3] -	49:17	206:14, 206:15,	214:1, 214:4, 215:4,
<b>57</b> [1] - 71:12	164:3, 166:11, 166:13	accordingly [1] -	207:11, 210:12,	215:6, 215:13,
<b>57/57</b> [2] - 88:20	<b>839</b> [1] - 5:23	146:18	212:23, 213:21,	215:17, 215:21
231:24	<b>842</b> [2] - 42:2, 42:3		214:18, 215:24,	African-American
<b>58</b> [1] - 233:12	86th [1] - 218:4	<b>account</b> [29] - 46:24, 47:2, 49:8, 49:13,	216:2, 216:6, 216:8,	[8] - 213:20, 214:1,
<b>59</b> [1] - 71:12	8th [21] - 228:16,			214:4, 215:4, 215:6,
<b>5:00</b> [1] - 78:17	229:20, 230:13,	49:14, 49:18, 70:18,	216:12, 216:14,	215:13, 215:17,
_	230:23, 231:2,	74:11, 74:13, 74:15,	216:19, 216:24,	215:21
6	231:16, 236:12,	83:13, 121:2, 130:11,	217:3, 217:6, 218:9,	afternoon [2] - 85:5,
	236:13, 236:20,	173:14, 173:25,	218:10, 218:14, 226:1, 226:24, 227:2,	105:22
6 m - 106·17 1	007.4 007.0 007.47	174:6, 174:15,	241:20	<b>age</b> [17] - 5:2, 77:5,
<b>6</b> [2] - 106:17, 1	000.0 000.40 044.0	174:25, 178:2, 221:1,		175:20, 175:25,
<b>60</b> [4] - 71:17, 7	241:17, 242:6, 242:9,	224:16, 224:20,	action [5] - 14:23,	213:2, 213:3, 213:8,
197:16, 213:2	040.47 040.0 040.0	224:22, 224:23,	167:22, 168:13,	213:9, 233:12,
<b>60.5</b> [2] - 77:6, 7	7.10	225:4, 225:9, 225:16,	247:21, 247:25	238:19, 238:21,
<b>60.52</b> [1] - 82:22	Δ	225:20, 225:21	actions [1] - 111:17	239:3, 239:8, 239:16,
<b>60/53</b> [5] - 88:22	=,	Accountability [5] -	activity [1] - 227:13	240:1, 240:11, 240:18
229:3, 229:5, 22	9:9,	1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16,	acts [1] - 198:9	ago [6] - 20:13,
230:19	<b>9</b> [32] - 10:23, 11:14,	5:5	actual [1] - 172:7	42:25, 171:24,
<b>60/54</b> [4] - 88:25	5, 51:15, 51:16, 51:18,	accounts [1] -	<b>AD</b> [5] - 77:1, 77:14,	172:23, 233:22
89:23, 230:20, 2	31:25 52:25, 53:14, 55:16,	220:24	89:13, 89:15	agree [10] - 141:1,
<b>61</b> [1] - 71:17	55:24, 56:1, 75:2,	accurate [6] - 21:5,	<b>Adam</b> [11] - 3:13,	141:2, 170:17,
<b>62</b> [2] - 71:21, 7	2:3 76:19, 76:20, 77:1,	137:24, 196:21,	3:15, 3:17, 6:19, 20:9,	170:18, 177:10,
<b>63</b> [2] - 72:22, 7	3:2 77:14, 77:24, 79:6,	221:18, 234:13,	22:21, 28:1, 46:12,	177:12, 192:13,
<b>64/50</b> [2] - 88:21		237:15	50:17, 55:1, 246:7	193:23, 193:25,
231:24	89:15, 90:2, 90:5,	accurately [2] - 66:6,	<b>ADAM</b> [5] - 1:19, 3:3,	238:20
<b>65</b> [1] - 77:1	139:2, 144:22, 145:5,	177:16	5:1, 7:16, 247:11	agreeable [3] -
				<b>ag. 554515</b> [0]

V
57:15, 229:4, 237:14
agreed [1] - 86:14
agreement [1] - 7:2
ahead [4] - 17:18,
19:23, 37:13, 204:12
aide [2] - 101:12,
102:11
aided [1] - 247:17
aides [1] - 68:10
aiming [1] - 172:5
air [1] - 74:6
airport [1] - 199:20
<b>al</b> [4] - 5:3, 5:5, 5:21,
5:25
aldermanic [1] -
141:4
Alfonso [6] - 80:20,
80:25, 81:13, 84:23,
180:11, 180:14
allegations [2] -
110:19, 168:6
allow [1] - 234:25
allowed [1] - 141:5
allows [1] - 87:5
almost [1] - 185:20
alone [2] - 31:25,
100:11
Alonzo [7] - 81:2,
81:4, 81:13, 81:16,
84:23, 180:11, 180:14
alter [3] - 237:17,
238:5, 238:10
altered [1] - 237:11
altering [1] - 237:21
alternate [1] - 237:8
alternative [8] -
82:13, 82:15, 82:16,
82:18, 88:19, 89:23,
144:19, 229:9
alternatives [6] -
85:17, 85:22, 85:25,
132:2, 133:2, 135:8
<b>ALVIN</b> [1] - 1:3
Alvin [2] - 5:3, 5:21
ambiguous [5] -
70:3, 122:21, 183:8,
184:24, 230:4
Amended [3] -
105:18, 108:22,
109:21
amended [4] -
109:19, 109:24,
144:25, 168:19
amendment [7] -
75:1, 75:14, 85:18,
86:5, 86:14, 229:17,
231:24
Amendment [2] -
76:25, 229:16
amendments [1] -

```
144:18
 American [10] -
77:12, 79:4, 213:20,
214:1, 214:4, 215:4,
215:6, 215:13,
215:17, 215:21
 amount [3] - 16:25,
181:24, 189:17
 amounts [1] - 65:14
 AMY [1] - 1:7
 analyses [1] - 222:22
 Analysis [1] - 24:17
 analysis [12] - 22:24,
23:2, 24:1, 25:5, 26:2,
91:3, 91:4, 93:11,
182:8, 219:21,
219:22, 221:6
 analyzing [2] -
22:22, 26:11
 Andy [2] - 14:14,
14:15
 annex [1] - 199:18
 answer [71] - 8:7,
9:17, 9:19, 9:23,
11:24, 12:2, 12:13,
13:4, 15:7, 19:4,
21:11, 21:13, 39:11,
45:4, 45:9, 45:19,
64:16, 64:18, 64:22,
65:20, 67:5, 67:13,
67:16, 67:25, 68:17,
68:19, 70:4, 79:20,
79:23, 79:25, 93:9,
105:4, 111:20,
111:23, 112:3, 112:6,
112:16, 122:22,
127:4, 127:7, 131:14,
140:25, 145:20,
146:18, 146:20,
150:6, 150:8, 152:14,
152:18, 156:22,
157:20, 171:19,
173:18, 183:9,
184:25, 216:10,
216:17, 219:20,
227:1, 229:25,
230:22, 231:15,
232:15, 232:23,
235:5, 235:9, 239:9,
239:19, 240:3, 241:24
 Answer [1] - 156:25
 apologize [1] - 92:5
 appear [6] - 73:1,
73:8, 73:9, 73:11,
74:4, 113:23
 appearing [7] - 5:20,
5:24, 6:4, 6:8, 6:11,
6:14, 6:18
 Appleton [9] -
```

208:18, 208:19,

```
208:23, 209:2,
209:13, 209:25,
210:18, 210:22,
210:25
 applied [1] - 185:18
 applies [4] - 46:3,
64:9, 65:13, 216:8
 apply [6] - 64:10,
65:19, 216:16,
216:19, 216:24, 221:7
 Appointment [1] -
167:8
 apportioned [1] -
191:19
 apprised [1] - 111:10
 approach [1] -
220:19
 appropriate [12] -
11:1, 11:17, 46:7,
67:12, 106:13,
157:16, 157:22,
172:8, 173:13,
173:23, 176:18,
233:17
 approximation [1] -
115:1
 April [3] - 50:6, 50:9,
62:24
 area [3] - 207:20,
209:8, 209:11
 areas [8] - 136:10,
136:13, 142:4, 142:6,
199:19, 206:3,
207:16, 209:5
 argue [1] - 184:10
 arrive [1] - 82:24
 articulate [1] - 190:9
 articulates [1] -
126:23
 arts [1] - 98:4
 aside [4] - 14:24,
15:1, 163:22, 206:8
 aspect [1] - 155:16
 aspects [3] - 12:1,
52:25, 134:3
 ass [1] - 231:14
 assemble [1] - 54:16
 Assembly [16] -
6:19, 8:10, 18:20,
75:2, 76:19, 77:23,
79:6, 87:22, 101:18,
102:17, 144:22,
145:5, 179:5, 179:10,
208:3, 208:7
 assembly [97] - 8:16,
18:23, 19:2, 19:3,
42:3, 46:25, 47:6,
49:17, 58:22, 66:13,
67:21, 69:23, 70:14,
```

```
94:16, 97:20, 97:21,
101:14, 103:4, 103:5,
125:6, 125:16, 126:5,
126:8, 127:10, 132:1,
144:10, 146:2, 146:7,
146:12, 156:14,
174:15, 174:21,
175:1, 177:19,
194:19, 195:4,
204:17, 208:12,
208:15, 208:23,
209:19, 209:24,
210:6, 210:9, 210:10,
210:16, 210:18,
210:24, 210:25,
211:4, 211:8, 211:15,
211:18, 211:22,
211:24, 212:2,
212:10, 215:6,
217:14, 218:4, 218:7,
218:12, 218:16,
218:24, 219:2, 219:6,
220:6, 221:23,
225:17, 225:25,
228:16, 229:11,
229:14, 229:20,
230:13, 230:23,
231:2, 231:16,
236:20, 236:23,
237:1, 237:9, 237:18,
238:2, 238:11,
239:14, 241:10,
241:17, 242:7,
242:10, 242:17,
243:3, 243:9
 assert [17] - 21:6,
63:22, 66:24, 67:23,
68:6, 69:25, 79:18,
126:19, 140:23,
145:7, 146:14, 150:1,
152:11, 155:23,
173:16, 183:6, 229:23
 asserted [3] - 45:12,
130:25, 244:23
 asserting [2] - 46:1,
46:2
 assessment [1] -
175:24
 assign [3] - 138:3,
141:23, 143:6
 assigned [5] - 10:12,
19:2, 34:1, 99:24,
101:19
 assigning [4] -
137:24, 137:25,
142:21, 143:5
 assignment [18] -
9:8, 12:6, 86:12,
86:15, 86:20, 86:23,
```

```
136:7, 138:6, 198:13,
                           198:14, 198:15,
                           199:5, 200:12,
                           200:14, 200:19
                            Assignments [1] -
                            assignments [1] -
                           51:11
                            assist [7] - 20:14,
                           30:10, 30:16, 36:22,
                           108:7, 108:11, 215:12
                            assistant [1] - 27:3
                            Assistant [1] - 6:6
                            assisted [5] - 11:1,
                           11:16, 106:12, 107:8,
                           107:16
                            assisting [1] - 20:16
                            assume [5] - 51:12,
                           126:12, 195:22,
                           204:18, 223:17
                            assumes [1] -
                           220:23
                            assuming [2] -
                           219:22
                            ate [1] - 95:8
                            attach [1] - 72:15
                            attached [15] - 4:8,
                           29:13, 37:25, 46:14,
                           48:13, 48:15, 51:5,
                           63:6, 72:6, 78:13,
                           82:2, 167:16, 168:22,
                           177:15, 186:10
                            attachment [3] -
                           61:16, 73:14, 235:23
                            attachments [2] -
                           59:12, 93:15
                            attempt [1] - 88:22
                            attempting [1] -
                           171:25
                            attend [6] - 98:8,
                           202:6, 202:16,
                           202:19, 202:22, 216:4
                            attendance [1] -
                           126:1
                            attended [1] - 203:4
                            attention [14] - 26:9,
                           36:3, 41:12, 121:18,
                           164:2, 170:8, 175:15,
                           177:1, 178:24,
                           207:15, 207:19,
                           210:2, 212:12, 217:25
                            Attorney [7] - 4:25,
                           5:19, 5:22, 6:6, 6:9,
                           6:13, 6:16
                            attorney [18] - 7:4,
                           9:15, 9:18, 21:7, 35:4,
                           45:3, 45:8, 46:4,
                           68:15, 77:19, 79:19,
                           112:20, 148:20,
87:3, 87:9, 87:14,
                           150:2, 234:19, 235:2,
```

70:18, 84:2, 89:22,

247:20, 247:23 attorney's [1] - 31:22 attorney-client [10] -9:15, 9:18, 21:7, 45:3, 45:8, 68:15, 79:19, 150:2, 234:19, 235:2 attorneys [3] - 7:5, 92:7, 104:13 Attorneys [10] - 5:10, 5:19, 5:23, 6:3, 6:3, 6:10, 6:13, 6:17, 160:22, 247:9 attributed [1] - 179:1 August [5] - 10:23, 11:14, 190:17, 190:23 autoBound [50] -30:22, 31:1, 51:15, 51:16, 52:8, 52:25, 53:6, 53:14, 55:16, 55:21, 55:24, 56:1, 56:21, 58:5, 61:21, 74:3, 83:1, 83:2, 83:3, 83:8, 83:12, 83:16, 83:19, 83:20, 83:22, 84:1, 84:8, 84:11, 84:14, 87:4, 87:9, 94:11, 94:17, 132:7, 133:18, 133:19, 135:9, 137:14, 137:19, 139:2, 139:17, 139:22, 142:17, 182:12, 182:17, 200:1, 217:12, 222:20, 223:4, 224:1 available [4] - 70:12, 138:22, 140:20, 193:14 **Avenue** [1] - 6:14 avoid [1] - 237:21 aware [20] - 15:6, 70:6, 114:16, 123:11, 166:23, 166:25, 167:3, 168:9, 169:9, 169:13, 169:17, 211:17, 211:20, 219:7, 223:2, 233:1, 233:7, 240:7, 240:23, 242:8

#### В

bachelor [1] - 98:4 background [1] - 8:3 backwards [1] - 62:3 balance [1] - 121:2 Balanced [3] - 64:6, 126:22, 145:17 BALDUS [1] - 1:3

**Baldus** [2] - 5:3, 5:21 **Baldwin** [1] - 7:24 **BALDWIN** [1] - 1:10 ballpark [4] - 147:9, 147:15, 147:22, 202:8 **BARBERA** [1] - 1:3 **BARLAND** [2] - 1:16, 2:15 base [1] - 55:1 Base [2] - 3:18, 94:8 based [14] - 28:11, 56:4, 64:5, 170:25, 191:23, 192:4, 192:16, 192:24, 194:8, 200:1, 205:17, 217:12, 225:18, 230:20 basic [1] - 8:20 basis [4] - 65:9, 130:1, 130:3, 245:21 became [9] - 8:22, 70:14, 132:2, 133:2, 134:1, 155:22, 156:10, 198:16, 218:10 **BECHEN** [1] - 1:3 began [8] - 102:2, 102:6, 102:12, 114:23, 115:2, 137:1, 139:10, 139:25 **begin** [4] - 7:2, 10:11, 32:16, 124:5 beginning [3] -40:12, 114:4, 193:7 begins [2] - 62:2, 113:15 behalf [8] - 5:2, 5:20, 5:24, 6:4, 6:8, 6:11, 6:14, 6:18 BELL [1] - 1:7 Beloit [9] - 207:20, 207:22, 208:3, 208:7, 208:11, 208:15, 209:8, 209:11, 209:19 below [1] - 85:2 benchmark [4] -185:16, 188:19, 188:23, 188:25 BEST [1] - 6:17 best [6] - 38:2, 116:25, 166:18, 182:5, 234:10, 244:3 Best [49] - 13:20, 31:9, 31:14, 31:19,

32:3, 32:7, 32:19,

32:23, 33:1, 33:5,

47:23, 49:3, 49:9,

35:4, 47:10, 47:14,

49:16, 91:11, 91:22,

93:17, 96:24, 120:9,

124:17, 124:21, 127:13, 127:17, 127:20, 127:24, 129:10, 129:24, 132:9, 132:15, 132:18, 136:22, 137:4, 141:21, 142:10, 142:15, 143:17, 148:21, 149:13, 151:13, 154:15, 164:14, 164:15, 164:22, 165:5, 166:4, 241:15 Best's [9] - 91:25, 120:13, 130:6, 131:19, 135:13, 146:23, 147:6, 147:13, 147:20 better [3] - 43:2, 81:20, 82:20 between [41] - 21:8, 22:20, 43:12, 45:6, 58:14, 58:18, 59:23, 61:25, 67:11, 73:6, 73:11, 77:11, 77:22, 79:4, 79:21, 85:8, 88:20, 88:21, 101:6, 120:15, 120:21, 139:14, 139:18, 162:13, 170:24, 196:17, 205:14, 207:22, 208:3, 208:15, 210:6, 215:2, 218:6, 218:23, 219:5, 221:20, 229:8, 234:17, 235:1, 243:5, 244:8 beyond [3] - 98:13, 116:7, 174:24 **BIENDSEIL** [1] - 1:3 bill [6] - 29:14, 42:3, 109:15, 166:2, 166:18, 243:10 **Bill** [1] - 31:12 bit [2] - 81:17, 81:19 black [4] - 89:15, 204:20, 205:16, 236:13 BlackBerry [1] -100:25 block [21] - 9:8, 12:6, 86:12, 86:15, 86:20,

140:21, 142:5, 142:20, 143:1, 143:5, 191:13, 192:16, 192:24 **blue** [10] - 89:2, 89:6, 89:9, 89:12, 89:13, 235:24, 236:12, 236:19, 236:21 **Board** [5] - 1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16, 5:5 **BOERNER** [1] - 6:10 **bold** [1] - 113:19 **bolts**[1] - 83:9 **BOONE** [2] - 1:4 bottom [14] - 53:17, 53:19, 53:23, 54:10, 54:20, 84:24, 89:2, 89:3, 95:25, 96:18, 178:25, 185:5, 193:7, 193:15 boundaries [31] -11:2, 11:18, 89:18, 106:13, 107:12, 107:13, 141:6, 143:20, 144:4, 144:10, 144:22, 145:5, 146:12, 156:20, 157:9, 157:17, 157:22, 173:15, 174:1, 174:7, 174:16, 174:21, 175:1, 194:22, 199:19, 224:18, 231:1, 237:2, 237:10, 237:17, 237:22 **bounds** [1] - 174:18 **BR** [2] - 4:5, 160:24 break [8] - 28:14, 80:4, 94:25, 95:5, 95:6, 176:14, 176:18, 176:19 breakdown [1] -63:15 BRENNAN [2] - 1:15, 2:14 Brett [6] - 99:5, 99:6, 101:5, 101:19, 102:2, 102:7 **BRETT** [1] - 1:5 Brian [1] - 69:1 bring [2] - 25:12, broad [2] - 112:20, 225:6 **broke** [1] - 176:21 broken [1] - 66:8 brought [10] - 19:20, 24:5, 28:21, 59:17, 92:13, 95:13, 203:9,

138:13, 140:19,

203:13, 228:10, 246:5
building [4] - 13:13,
47:25, 49:9, 119:23
built [2] - 191:11,
191:13
BUMPUS [1] - 1:4
Bureau [2] - 84:5,
85:17
bureau [2] - 139:15,
139:16
business [1] 100:23

## C

calculate [1] - 83:8 calculation [1] - 83:4 camera [1] - 204:9 Campaign [2] -101:18, 102:17 campaign [7] - 8:14, 103:21, 123:9, 123:15, 123:17, 123:19, 123:22 Campbell [2] - 6:21, **CANE** [2] - 1:15, 2:14 capability [3] -84:11, 101:1, 101:3 capacity [3] - 1:14, 2:13, 103:25 capitol [6] - 13:12, 47:25, 49:8, 100:1, 119:23, 126:15 caption [2] - 20:6, 37:24 Caption [1] - 1:17 capture [1] - 74:6 career [1] - 10:14 careful [2] - 13:6, 145:22 carefully [1] - 247:15 **CARLENE** [1] - 1:3 carried [2] - 17:1, 17:6 carrying [2] - 108:15, 108:19 Case [1] - 2:11 case [18] - 17:14, 43:12, 61:22, 92:21, 95:18, 97:2, 105:7, 105:10, 109:5, 109:8, 109:11, 110:5, 111:21, 138:8, 158:6, 159:23, 207:13, 240:13 cast [1] - 153:19 catching [1] - 72:17

categories [3] -

blocks [11] - 138:8,

86:23, 87:3, 87:9,

141:22, 142:1,

142:22, 198:13,

198:14, 198:15,

199:5, 200:12,

200:14, 200:19

87:14, 136:7, 138:2,

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF ADAMININ. FOLIZ 12/21/2011				UTT	
	83:16, 90:25, 143:11 <b>category</b> [20] -	<b>challenging</b> [1] - 191:17	<b>CLEEREMAN</b> [1] - 1:4	<b>committee</b> [24] - 37:9, 37:10, 37:22,	<b>compile</b> [2] - 91:6, 163:12
	22:19, 23:20, 24:5,	change [5] - 117:15,	clerk [8] - 19:2,	38:12, 38:25, 39:1,	complaint [22] -
	24:9, 24:21, 25:5,	141:3, 185:19, 213:3,	38:25, 39:21, 40:14,	39:16, 39:17, 39:19,	109:7, 109:10,
	25:10, 25:13, 25:21,	213:4	40:16, 40:19, 101:10	60:20, 75:3, 75:15,	109:13, 109:16,
	26:1, 26:10, 26:15,	changed [3] - 60:11,	clerk's [1] - 187:4	101:9, 101:11, 109:3,	109:20, 109:24,
	26:20, 27:6, 27:11,	175:12, 206:4	click [2] - 138:5,	124:23, 124:24,	110:4, 110:9, 110:12
	27:16, 27:19, 27:23,	changes [5] - 22:23,	141:22	125:1, 177:15,	110:15, 110:18,
	27:25, 83:15	22:25, 121:2, 214:23,	clicking [1] - 142:25	186:19, 188:18,	110:21, 111:3, 111:6
	<b>CATES</b> [1] - 6:3	215:1	client [12] - 9:15,	190:25, 243:10,	111:11, 111:15,
	caucus [1] - 99:13	characters [1] -	9:18, 21:7, 45:3, 45:8,	243:12	111:18, 111:21,
	caucuses [4] -	153:20	46:4, 68:15, 79:19,	common [2] - 15:20,	112:16, 168:13,
	66:20, 84:7, 94:11,	chart [4] - 90:13,	150:2, 150:4, 234:19,	230:7	168:19, 168:20
	193:14	91:7, 91:13	235:2	communicate [6] -	Complaint [1] -
	<b>CC</b> [3] - 50:9, 50:17,	check [2] - 82:6,	clipped [4] - 46:10,	130:5, 130:8, 147:24,	109:21
	81:2	227:9	46:15, 47:19, 49:12	148:3, 150:10, 201:15	complaints [3] -
	CC'D [1] - 74:22	chief [2] - 14:20,	closer [1] - 157:2	communicating [2] -	168:25, 169:17,
	CCD [1] - 138:2	19:2	CLVS [1] - 6:21	74:15, 180:10	169:21
	CCE [1] - 42:11	<b>chose</b> [1] - 195:15	COCHRAN [1] - 1:4	communication [2] -	completed [2] -
	<b>CD</b> [2] - 48:20, 92:21	Christine [1] - 88:8	collection [3] -	79:21, 234:22	33:10, 140:4
	<b>CECELIA</b> [1] - 1:7	chronologically [1] -	46:18, 78:25, 203:12	communications	completing [1] -
	<b>cell</b> [6] - 100:15,	62:3	college [5] - 103:1,	[21] - 45:24, 46:3,	192:10
	100:18, 100:21,	<b>CINDY</b> [1] - 1:3	103:16, 103:17,	68:13, 77:22, 150:3,	comply [2] - 219:11,
	100:23, 151:19,	Circuit [1] - 168:10	103:20, 225:13	151:9, 151:10,	220:15
	151:20	cities [2] - 211:23,	Colon [1] - 233:14	151:20, 159:13,	composition [1] -
	census [45] - 10:25,	212:17	color [2] - 81:22,	179:17, 180:6,	30:5
	11:16, 22:24, 26:11,	citizen [1] - 238:23	82:6	180:14, 180:16,	compound [2] -
	27:7, 41:9, 83:11,	Citizens [1] - 42:12	colored [1] - 236:21	180:19, 180:23,	13:3, 240:15
	83:14, 83:15, 83:16,	citizens [7] - 238:19,	column [2] - 41:15,	181:5, 181:12, 201:4,	computer [20] - 31:4
	83:20, 106:11,	239:2, 239:7, 239:16,	90:24	221:20, 234:17	33:22, 33:25, 47:5,
	106:20, 106:21,	240:1, 240:11, 241:2	combined [3] -	communities [14] -	47:8, 47:12, 93:15,
	107:23, 120:25,	citizenship [7] -	212:13, 237:3, 237:10	15:22, 15:24, 107:6,	93:16, 93:18, 94:3,
	121:3, 137:25, 138:2,	83:13, 213:11,	command [1] - 224:2	108:13, 224:16,	100:8, 129:9, 132:4,
	138:8, 138:13,	213:17, 233:2, 233:4,	commencing [1] -	225:1, 225:4, 225:5,	132:6, 132:9, 132:11
	138:21, 139:6, 139:8,	239:17, 240:17	5:13	225:9, 225:19,	135:13, 137:19,
	139:15, 139:16,	city [21] - 59:2, 59:3,	comment [11] -	225:24, 226:5, 226:8,	155:1, 247:17
	140:19, 140:21,	199:19, 209:25,	20:22, 21:4, 112:3,	226:14	computer-aided [1]
	141:22, 141:25,	210:5, 210:8, 210:14,	112:6, 112:12,	community [10] -	247:17
	142:5, 142:20,	210:15, 210:22,	155:25, 171:21,	16:10, 16:21, 179:6,	computers [3] -
	142:22, 143:1, 143:5,	211:7, 211:13,	171:22, 171:23,	179:8, 179:12,	33:18, 33:20, 149:18
	174:17, 174:19,	211:14, 211:21,	220:2, 231:10	179:15, 225:12,	<b>concern</b> [2] - 57:22,
	174:22, 191:13,	212:1, 212:12,	commentary [1] -	225:14, 229:21, 233:8	178:1
	192:16, 192:24,	212:13, 214:6, 217:25	133:13	comp [1] - 197:5	concerning [5] -
	213:12, 217:13,	<b>City</b> [7] - 5:11, 38:9,	commented [2] -	compact [7] - 107:25, 108:3,	64:2, 64:7, 126:25,
	239:18, 239:21	38:14, 38:20, 38:23,	133:18, 133:23	170:14, 193:19,	145:12, 247:14
	Census [1] - 84:4	74:2, 247:9	commenting [1] -	194:2, 194:25, 212:18	concerns [8] -
	central [1] - 64:6	civil [1] - 58:25	21:10	compactness [4] -	170:13, 193:19,
	CEO [1] - 74:2	<b>claims</b> [1] - 110:19	comments [5] -	16:14, 16:15, 16:16,	194:2, 194:6, 194:15
	<b>certain</b> [7] - 19:13,	<b>CLARENCE</b> [1] - 1:5	112:15, 159:17,	16:17	194:23, 194:24, 195:
	142:16, 142:22, 143:5, 143:7, 181:24,	<b>clarify</b> [2] - 125:1,	163:17, 199:3, 206:3	Company [1] - 6:22	conditions [3] - 173:13, 173:24, 174:
	221:9	228:7	Commerce [1] -	company [1] - 74:3	
	certainly [1] - 234:25	clarifying [1] - 45:14	42:16	compared [2] - 24:1,	<b>conference</b> [1] - 31:23
	certify [2] - 247:5,	classified [1] -	commission [1] -	26:2	conferences [1] -
	247:19	225:14	248:6	comparison [1] -	216:3
	<b>chain</b> [3] - 72:18,	cleaned [3] - 204:24,	commissioned [1] -	81:18	configuration [7] -
	79:2, 82:2	205:3, 205:10	247:4	compensation [1] -	76:25, 86:13, 88:20,
	chair [1] - 101:10	cleaning [1] - 205:22	Committee [7] -	116:9	88:25, 90:1, 90:5,
	challenge [1] -	clear [6] - 39:19,	64:5, 101:18, 102:18,	Competitive [1] -	194:18
	156:15	45:10, 65:8, 197:7,	126:22, 145:16,	42:12	configurations [2] -
	100.10	245:23, 246:3	197:24, 201:8		John guradons [2]
		İ	_	1	İ.

complaint [22] -9:7, 109:10, 9:13, 109:16, 9:20, 109:24, 10:4, 110:9, 110:12, 10:15, 110:18, 10:21, 111:3, 111:6, 11:11, 111:15, 11:18, 111:21, 12:16, 168:13, 88:19, 168:20 Complaint [1] -9:21 complaints [3] -88:25, 169:17, 9:21 completed [2] -3:10, 140:4 completing [1] -2:10 comply [2] - 219:11, 20:15 composition [1] -):5 compound [2] -3:3, 240:15 computer [20] - 31:4, 3:22, 33:25, 47:5, 7:8, 47:12, 93:15, 3:16, 93:18, 94:3, 0:8, 129:9, 132:4, 32:6, 132:9, 132:11, 35:13, 137:19, 55:1, 247:17 computer-aided [1] -17:17 computers [3] -3:18, 33:20, 149:18 concern [2] - 57:22, 78:1 concerning [5] -1:2, 64:7, 126:25, 15:12, 247:14 concerns [8] -70:13, 193:19, 94:2, 194:6, 194:15, 94:23, 194:24, 195:2 conditions [3] -73:13, 173:24, 174:3 conference [1] conferences [1] -6:3 configuration [7] -5:25, 86:13, 88:20, 3:25, 90:1, 90:5, 94:18 configurations [2] -

VI
70.0 007.0
79:6, 237:8
<b>confirming</b> [1] - 23:1
congratulated [1] -
119:20
congressional [18] -
11:3, 11:18, 12:10,
12:22, 13:1, 13:8,
14:4, 14:8, 14:11,
15:2, 15:4, 15:6,
120:24, 136:16,
136:20, 136:24,
173:4, 173:8
Congressman [1] -
14:20
conjunction [1] -
31:12
connection [3] -
49:20, 63:25, 181:6
conscious [1] -
232:2
consequence [3] -
43:10, 177:4, 177:11
consider [12] -
213:5, 213:11,
213:23, 232:25,
233:4, 233:17, 237:8,
237:13, 237:25,
242:25, 243:2
consideration [2] -
145:3, 212:20
considerations [2] -
64:9, 194:18
considered [7] -
63:21, 65:6, 174:18,
210:21, 213:13,
233:2, 233:7
consists [1] - 28:20
constituency [17] -
55:10, 55:11, 55:14,
55:16, 55:17, 56:20,
56:25, 222:1, 222:2,
222:23, 222:24,
223:16, 223:21,
223:24, 223:25,
224:11, 224:15
constituent [2] -
101:12, 101:13
· ·
constituents [2] -
17:1, 17:5
<b>constitute</b> [2] - 59:2,
229:21
constitutes [1] -
234:18
constitutional [3] -
11:2, 11:17, 106:13
constitutionally [1] -
=
115:18
<b>consult</b> [8] - 53:6,
85:16, 117:17,
117:22, 117:25,
1

```
118:6, 223:23, 226:4
 consulted [1] - 89:25
 contact [2] - 52:11,
29:20, 58:22, 63:12,
64:13, 72:10, 89:17,
 contains [1] - 94:12
 content [1] - 235:16
 context [4] - 43:7,
43:8, 195:22, 232:21
199:21, 199:25, 200:6
194:3, 194:25, 199:19
 continuation [1] -
 continue [1] - 120:8
 Continued [4] - 1:17,
 continued [1] - 231:6
 control [8] - 22:12,
23:10, 24:10, 24:13,
 conversation [11] -
14:21, 45:6, 78:19,
86:3, 119:16, 144:12,
169:14, 199:8, 235:7
 conversations [44] -
14:10, 21:8, 45:20,
68:18, 68:20, 69:13,
77:11, 77:21, 79:3,
81:7, 119:18, 120:1,
146:4, 146:9, 151:12,
151:23, 152:3, 152:8,
153:2, 153:10, 154:5,
```

Consulting [1] -

consulting [1] -

contained [12] -

94:15, 218:15,

222:22, 239:6,

239:15, 239:25

contiguities [1] -

contiguity [5] -

contiguous [5] -

199:10, 199:11,

170:14, 193:19,

3:24, 4:1, 6:1

continues [3] -

continuing [1] -

25:9, 25:16, 26:6,

controversy [1] -

148:22, 169:11,

46:5, 68:3, 68:9,

120:6, 143:22,

144:17, 144:20,

152:14, 152:21,

185:11, 193:15,

199:17

62:15

193:20

185:15

26:23

247:14

50:16

85:21

52:14

```
164:11, 164:17,
165:4, 169:8, 198:11,
201:2, 201:21,
215:19, 229:18,
230:20, 234:24,
235:1, 242:6, 243:5
 copies [11] - 4:8,
56:7, 90:8, 128:5,
128:8, 130:15, 131:4,
155:3, 163:19,
168:24, 228:9
 copy [42] - 17:22,
29:6, 29:7, 29:8,
46:10, 62:22, 78:5,
78:22, 78:23, 80:5,
92:16, 94:5, 95:2,
95:16, 96:4, 96:21,
108:22, 109:7,
109:10, 109:16,
109:24, 110:9,
110:11, 110:24,
111:3, 111:20,
111:23, 116:16,
158:12, 158:19,
161:1, 161:22, 162:6,
162:8, 167:4, 167:15,
167:20, 168:12,
168:23, 203:7,
207:11, 245:19
 core [33] - 16:20,
16:25, 17:4, 55:10,
55:11, 55:14, 55:16,
55:17, 56:20, 56:25,
107:4, 134:7, 134:8,
134:23, 134:24,
135:2, 135:6, 170:10,
171:4, 171:24,
221:25, 222:2,
222:18, 222:22,
222:24, 222:25,
223:4, 223:15,
223:21, 223:24,
223:25, 224:11,
224:15
 correct [202] - 8:19,
9:6, 9:9, 9:24, 10:1,
15:9, 15:13, 17:16,
18:17, 18:18, 18:21,
19:21, 19:22, 20:21,
21:4, 22:2, 23:20,
24:15, 25:19, 26:16,
28:12, 28:22, 30:14,
34:20, 40:11, 41:24,
42:17, 43:21, 44:5,
44:16, 44:18, 45:21,
45:25, 46:1, 46:18,
47:6, 51:7, 51:19,
52:22, 53:11, 55:21,
56:13, 57:8, 57:19,
57:25, 61:9, 61:17,
```

```
62:23, 67:19, 68:2,
71:3, 71:10, 71:15,
71:23, 72:6, 72:13,
73:4, 74:22, 75:5,
75:7, 76:20, 76:21,
77:7, 78:11, 78:14,
78:17, 79:8, 79:14,
80:23, 87:10, 87:18,
88:2, 88:4, 88:8,
92:14, 93:22, 95:14,
98:2, 98:3, 98:7,
100:22, 104:5,
106:15, 112:13,
114:24, 116:2, 116:3,
116:6, 116:14,
116:15, 116:21,
116:22, 116:24,
117:9, 117:15,
117:20, 120:19,
124:22, 125:17,
126:6, 126:16,
128:16, 132:13,
133:20, 135:23,
137:14, 138:7, 138:9,
138:21, 139:4,
140:22, 141:10,
141:24, 142:13,
149:1, 149:4, 150:15,
150:18, 150:22,
150:23, 151:24,
155:13, 159:3,
166:12, 166:14,
166:17, 170:6, 173:2,
173:5, 173:8, 173:9,
173:12, 175:11,
175:12, 177:8,
178:17, 179:6,
179:18, 179:25,
180:4, 180:7, 181:10,
181:15, 184:13,
184:21, 185:3,
185:25, 186:3, 186:7,
186:8, 186:20,
187:10, 187:14,
188:3, 189:5, 189:8,
189:12, 189:18,
189:19, 191:2,
195:11, 196:1,
199:14, 202:2,
202:15, 203:5, 212:3,
213:11, 213:14,
214:15, 216:21,
218:18, 221:14,
221:17, 222:11,
222:16, 222:17,
224:4, 224:12,
227:14, 229:7,
230:10, 233:25,
234:1, 236:17,
236:20, 236:23,
236:24, 237:5,
```

```
237:11, 238:21,
238:24, 240:5,
241:25, 244:20,
244:21, 244:23,
246:1, 246:13
 correction [1] -
179:1
 corrections [1] -
117:3
 correctly [2] - 76:14,
166:9
 corresponded [1] -
162:22
 correspondence [5]
- 21:22, 136:6, 215:2,
244:8, 244:9
 Counsel [2] - 2:1,
2:16
 counsel [63] - 4:8,
7:10, 9:21, 9:23,
12:19, 13:13, 13:15,
13:17, 14:24, 15:1,
21:8, 34:9, 34:17,
34:21, 45:24, 68:14,
68:21, 77:12, 77:15,
77:18, 77:22, 79:3,
79:5, 79:13, 79:21,
92:2, 92:6, 104:8,
112:8, 118:3, 118:4,
119:5, 119:10, 121:6,
131:1, 131:5, 131:7,
133:4, 148:13,
148:16, 149:9,
150:11, 150:21,
150:25, 151:3, 151:7,
151:10, 153:3,
163:13, 164:11,
164:13, 166:4,
182:24, 190:7,
198:24, 198:25,
200:15, 202:21,
235:1, 243:24, 244:4,
247:20, 247:23
 counsel's [10] -
21:12, 45:18, 64:21,
68:1, 79:24, 127:6,
145:18, 146:19,
150:7, 152:17
 count [1] - 84:19
 counterproposal [2]
- 88:24, 237:14
 counties [4] - 142:1,
142:5, 217:2, 217:5
 Counties [2] - 146:3,
146:13
 country [1] - 231:23
 COUNTY [1] - 247:2
 county [3] - 58:19,
138:2, 225:13
 County [12] - 5:12,
```

62:5, 62:16, 62:17,

30:4, 103:8, 144:6, 100:21 161:11, 163:9, 189:22, 189:23, 144:10, 144:11, curriculum [1] -174:17, 174:19, 190:3, 190:5, 190:7, 144:15, 144:23, 97:15 174:22, 174:24, 192:15, 192:21, 168:10, 169:3, 210:3, cursor [1] - 137:22 225:23, 239:18 208:2, 211:6 247:10 Data [6] - 3:18, 61:9, decisions [3] custody [8] - 22:12, couple [1] - 143:10 62:7, 63:2, 63:11, 145:14, 172:10, 23:10, 24:9, 24:13, course [7] - 13:22, 94:8 172:11 25:9, 25:16, 26:6, 86:24, 87:1, 160:4, 26:23 database [10] -Declaration [1] -175:18, 221:19, 62:13, 62:14, 66:19, 162:1 custom [2] - 55:22, 222:12 83:21, 83:23, 84:1, 57:17 Declaratory [1] -84:9, 84:14, 94:17, COURT [1] - 1:1 CV [1] - 97:15 109:21 194:20 court [39] - 8:5, deemed [2] - 189:2, **CVAP** [1] - 239:20 30:22, 30:23, 41:4, date [8] - 48:4, 191:1 cycle [2] - 42:10, 42:18, 42:22, 42:25, 97:24, 99:22, 99:23, defendants [3] -124:2 110:2, 113:9, 120:9, 43:16, 43:18, 44:2, 105:1, 158:5, 207:13 cycles [1] - 123:12 44:19, 94:16, 156:15, 157:12 **Defendants** [8] - 2:3, dated [22] - 3:19, 156:23, 158:13, D 2:6, 2:17, 5:5, 6:8, 160:13, 160:14, 3:21, 18:8, 30:2, 36:6, 6:11, 6:15, 105:18 161:23, 167:22, 46:12, 49:22, 50:5, Defense [2] - 77:12, **D.C** [2] - 202:1, 202:7 54:23, 57:25, 60:25, 172:10, 172:11, 79:4 dabble [1] - 230:11 172:22, 185:7, 62:24, 80:21, 81:14, **defer** [1] - 131:1 daily [1] - 130:1 185:25, 186:2, 84:24, 95:25, 96:19, define [2] - 15:25, Dan [3] - 95:8, 103:8, 187:12, 187:17, 160:19, 162:4, 16:1 187:22, 187:24, 231:12 167:13, 228:20, 246:9 defined [2] - 225:12, Dana [3] - 53:3, 73:9, 188:6, 188:7, 188:24, **DAVID** [2] - 1:15, 230:6 189:1, 189:4, 189:16, 73:14 2:14 defines [1] - 126:23 Dane [2] - 5:12, 190:25, 233:11, **DAVIS** [1] - 1:5 defining [1] - 15:22 233:21 247:10 Davis [6] - 99:5, definitely [1] - 178:3 **DANE** [1] - 247:2 Court [15] - 1:21, 5:6, 99:6, 101:5, 101:16, definition [6] - 16:7, Daniel [1] - 160:21 5:8, 41:13, 44:17, 102:2, 102:7 120:20, 225:7, 95:17, 157:25, 167:2, **DANIEL** [1] - 6:9 Davis's [1] - 101:19 232:11, 232:24, 167:5, 168:10, dark [2] - 235:25, days [3] - 13:24, 238:15 172:14, 172:16, 236:12 20:13, 79:10 definitions [5] - 16:3, 172:19, 187:10, 247:3 darker [2] - 89:3, **De** [1] - 5:25 16:5, 16:10, 120:18, courts [2] - 217:15, 89:6 **DE**[1] - 2:8 170:25 217:18 data [78] - 12:8, **deal** [1] - 46:6 degree [1] - 98:10 cover [2] - 8:1, 18:8 12:24, 13:6, 13:7, deals [1] - 50:2 **DEININGER** [2] covers [1] - 174:10 26:12, 27:8, 30:19, decade [3] - 22:25, 1:15, 2:14 craft [1] - 93:10 43:15, 55:1, 61:12, 175:19, 233:14 delay [3] - 43:11, create [4] - 84:14, 62:14, 63:13, 63:15, December [16] -139:18, 187:25 63:16, 65:9, 66:2, 142:16, 226:24, 232:4 1:20, 3:19, 3:21, 4:3, delayed [3] - 41:5, 66:6, 66:11, 66:12, created [13] - 57:4, 4:4, 4:6, 5:13, 18:9, 43:9, 189:17 66:16, 66:18, 66:21, 60:7, 93:23, 128:9, 18:11, 96:19, 158:23, **deliberate** [1] - 87:5 140:18, 140:21, 67:8, 67:15, 67:21, 160:19, 162:4, **Dem** [1] - 42:4 68:4, 68:22, 69:6, 142:3, 213:21, 162:14, 247:7, 248:3 democrat [2] - 50:15, 69:8, 69:15, 69:22, 213:25, 214:3, 221:8, decennial [3] -178:15 70:7, 70:8, 70:11, 221:13, 224:9 106:11, 106:21, democratic [5] -70:17, 70:20, 71:2, creating [9] - 69:22, 107:23 44:15, 50:14, 155:19, 71:8, 71:14, 71:19, 128:25, 129:4, decide [2] - 66:18, 178:18, 226:18 71:23, 83:11, 83:15, 142:25, 213:13, 172:7 democrats [1] -83:16, 83:17, 83:19, 213:23, 214:6, decided [7] - 43:25, 226:25 215:16, 238:8 83:22, 84:1, 84:4, 72:15, 116:4, 129:6, demographer [4] -84:5, 84:6, 84:8, creation [2] - 200:19, 157:24, 189:4, 211:3 163:1, 232:22, 84:12, 87:5, 87:8, deciding [1] - 194:22 239:10, 239:20 88:15, 93:11, 93:23, criteria [7] - 134:6, decision [22] - 30:23, demographers [2] -93:24, 94:2, 94:10, 134:10, 134:13, 43:18, 64:6, 64:7, 163:2, 163:6 106:21, 107:3, 189:1, 210:20, 77:9, 77:15, 89:19, Demographic [1] -107:23, 138:21, 213:17, 224:19 144:21, 145:4, 24:16 139:6, 139:8, 139:15, current [5] - 24:1, 146:11, 185:7, demographic [2] -139:16, 139:25, 26:2, 98:15, 98:17, 185:25, 189:20, 25:5, 163:12

demographics [2] -66:7, 121:3 denote [2] - 88:11, 89:12 **dense** [1] - 174:8 **DEPARTMENT** [1] deposed [1] - 104:23 **DEPOSITION** [2] -1:18, 5:1 Deposition [1] -17:23 deposition [8] - 4:24, 8:1, 18:2, 27:16, 104:7, 104:18, 247:17, 247:22 deprived [1] - 107:19 Der [32] - 49:22, 49:25, 50:5, 50:19, 50:22, 51:6, 52:11, 52:15, 52:20, 52:23, 53:2, 53:10, 54:22, 56:5, 56:15, 57:7, 57:11, 57:25, 58:3, 58:11, 59:15, 60:25, 61:20, 61:24, 62:4, 62:11, 62:21, 69:1, 69:2, 71:22, 73:7, 73:12 **describe** [1] - 204:10 described [8] -10:24, 11:15, 15:12, 23:8, 24:23, 28:9, 124:18, 126:13 **describes** [1] - 25:4 Description [2] -3:10, 4:2 description [7] -23:3, 23:6, 23:13, 26:7, 205:17, 205:20, 227:23 desk [3] - 100:7, 100:8, 100:11 **desks** [1] - 100:10 desktop [2] - 93:18, 93:19 destroyed [1] -128:21 detail [1] - 9:25 determination [3] -115:9, 131:2, 131:7 determine [4] -233:17, 239:1, 239:5, 240:25 determined [1] -189:16 determining [6] -11:1, 11:17, 106:12, 177:23, 187:20, 220:4 **DEUREN** [1] - 6:10

<b>developed</b> [3] - 220:11, 220:18, 237:3	79:20 <b>Disclosures</b> [2] -	- 184:8 <b>disk</b> [4] - 73:15,	240:19 districting [3] -	divide [1] - 135:25 division [5] - 50:1,
developing [3] -	105:19, 108:23	92:16, 93:1, 94:20	48:11, 107:24, 206:4	50:2, 59:1, 59:2,
155:21, 156:10, 178:5	discontiguous [1] -	disks [5] - 20:1,	Districts [15] - 75:2,	67:11
development [4] -	199:22	80:19, 92:13, 94:18,	76:19, 76:20, 79:6,	document [76] -
164:6, 164:9, 165:22,		135:18	87:22, 90:5, 144:22,	17:22, 18:4, 20:5,
165:25	discovery [2] - 95:16, 112:18	displaced [3] -	145:5, 179:5, 179:10,	20:7, 20:9, 20:10,
deviation [10] -	discuss [20] - 68:25,	182:14, 182:18, 183:3	204:23, 208:3, 208:8,	20:12, 20:14, 20:17,
29:12, 106:22, 134:6,	69:5, 69:21, 70:8,	displacement [4] -	214:17, 228:20	21:10, 25:10, 28:25,
134:19, 134:21,	70:10, 70:13, 70:20,	182:6, 182:9, 182:21,	districts [126] - 11:3,	29:1, 29:6, 29:9,
171:23, 172:8,	76:11, 95:9, 97:7,	183:1	11:19, 24:2, 26:3,	29:11, 29:22, 30:2,
172:14, 172:25, 173:1	105:9, 118:17, 144:9,	distinction [2] -	58:15, 58:18, 59:23,	30:6, 36:5, 36:10,
dictionary [1] - 230:6	154:9, 155:18, 169:6,	170:23, 173:5	65:11, 66:5, 66:10,	36:13, 36:16, 37:3,
<b>Diez</b> [4] - 161:2,	169:10, 193:2,	<b>DISTRICT</b> [2] - 1:1,	66:13, 66:23, 67:21,	37:5, 37:7, 38:4, 38:5,
161:6, 161:12, 161:15	215:15, 224:9	1:1	69:23, 70:15, 70:18,	38:11, 38:23, 40:13,
Diez/Magellan [2] -	discussed [16] -	district [95] - 16:11,	75:2, 75:15, 76:3,	40:24, 41:3, 41:10,
4:5, 160:24	50:12, 69:14, 70:21,	16:17, 17:2, 17:6,	76:12, 76:16, 76:19,	59:17, 72:23, 73:4,
difference [3] -	108:25, 109:4,	17:7, 22:23, 22:25,	85:13, 89:18, 89:22,	74:8, 78:4, 78:22,
120:15, 120:21,	121:15, 156:19,	23:2, 43:14, 58:22,	90:1, 106:14, 106:23,	84:22, 90:7, 90:8,
205:14	157:8, 165:13,	84:2, 86:13, 103:5,	107:5, 107:18,	92:12, 105:21,
different [35] - 16:23,	166:15, 167:22,	107:12, 116:2,	107:24, 108:3,	105:24, 105:25,
19:12, 28:20, 41:17,	167:25, 168:3, 168:5,	141:23, 142:22,	108:12, 121:1,	106:1, 106:3, 113:11,
55:20, 80:19, 90:24,	169:3, 234:21	143:7, 143:8, 143:20,	136:13, 136:16,	114:8, 114:16,
105:13, 142:4, 143:7,	discussing [5] -	144:10, 145:4,	136:20, 136:24,	114:20, 158:12,
143:10, 148:12,	58:12, 144:2, 144:4,	146:12, 156:20,	141:4, 142:16, 144:1,	158:19, 158:21,
153:14, 153:19,	153:25, 155:16	157:8, 173:15, 174:1,	144:15, 146:3, 146:7,	158:23, 159:2, 160:9,
153:20, 153:21,	Discussion [1] -	174:6, 174:15,	170:14, 177:19, 177:24, 179:4, 179:9,	160:12, 160:14, 161:3, 161:19,
153:22, 156:4, 197:9,	219:8	174:21, 175:1, 175:19, 175:20,	191:18, 193:10,	161:22, 162:6, 162:8,
204:16, 206:15, 207:16, 207:22,	<b>discussion</b> [10] - 75:20, 75:23, 96:9,	175:19, 175:20,	193:19, 194:3, 194:7,	162:10, 167:12,
208:15, 216:11,	96:10, 96:11, 96:25,	194:22, 208:12,	194:16, 194:19,	167:18, 203:8, 203:9,
216:13, 217:13,	119:21, 163:5,	210:10, 210:16,	194:25, 197:25,	203:18, 203:25,
218:3, 218:7, 218:11,	213:16, 222:5	210:19, 210:24,	204:17, 205:2,	204:2, 246:4
218:23, 219:2, 219:5,	discussions [20] -	211:8, 211:15,	205:15, 205:23,	Document [1] - 3:14
221:23, 228:16	69:10, 76:1, 76:5,	211:18, 211:22,	207:23, 208:16,	documents [66] -
difficult [1] - 225:5	76:15, 118:25, 119:9,	211:24, 211:25,	208:20, 208:24,	20:18, 20:19, 22:19,
difficulties [1] -	164:21, 164:24,	212:3, 212:10,	209:2, 209:11,	23:5, 23:8, 24:5, 24:8,
140:22	165:8, 166:3, 176:4,	212:24, 213:1,	209:16, 209:19,	24:20, 25:4, 25:12,
dilution [1] - 108:8	176:7, 180:13,	218:16, 220:6, 220:7,	209:22, 209:24,	25:15, 25:20, 25:25,
direct [2] - 121:18,	182:20, 192:23,	220:9, 220:10,	210:6, 210:9, 210:25,	26:5, 26:14, 26:17,
180:13	200:23, 208:6,	220:13, 220:21,	211:4, 212:14,	26:19, 26:22, 27:7,
directed [2] - 214:24,	208:22, 218:22, 229:8	220:23, 220:24,	212:15, 212:18,	27:10, 27:13, 27:15,
215:9	disenfranchised [8]	221:3, 221:5, 221:6,	213:1, 213:6, 213:14,	27:18, 27:23, 28:3,
directing [2] -	- 107:19, 183:16,	221:10, 221:23,	213:20, 214:1, 214:4, 214:6, 214:8, 214:13,	28:5, 28:7, 28:9,
215:12, 235:4	184:4, 184:21, 185:8,	221:24, 222:13, 222:15, 224:17,	214:23, 215:5, 215:7,	28:21, 38:22, 39:12, 40:16, 40:19, 46:19,
direction [1] - 196:8	186:6, 187:14, 187:21 Disenfranchisemen	225:13, 224:17, 225:13, 229:20,	215:10, 215:13,	48:19, 48:20, 64:1,
directional [2] -		229:22, 230:13,	215:17, 215:21,	72:21, 74:9, 87:18,
195:18, 196:3	t [2] - 40:10, 40:25 disenfranchisemen	230:14, 230:24,	218:4, 218:7, 218:12,	92:24, 92:25, 93:2,
directly [5] - 91:9,	t [26] - 41:6, 43:4,	231:2, 231:3, 231:16,	218:24, 219:2, 219:6,	93:6, 93:14, 95:2,
101:15, 141:9,	43:6, 43:8, 44:23,	231:17, 232:4,	219:10, 219:11,	95:13, 95:23, 114:13,
179:12, 199:23	55:2, 55:8, 57:13,	233:20, 235:24,	222:11, 222:19,	128:11, 128:18,
director [1] - 50:12 Director [2] - 2:1,	134:7, 135:1, 135:6,	235:25, 236:20,	225:17, 225:19,	128:21, 169:21,
2:15	181:24, 184:1, 184:6,	236:21, 236:23,	225:25, 228:17,	179:20, 186:10,
discard [1] - 129:3	184:9, 185:21,	238:2, 238:8, 239:6,	229:11, 229:15,	186:15, 203:12,
discarded [2] -	185:24, 186:13,	239:13, 239:14,	236:12, 237:1, 237:3,	206:25, 243:25,
60:21, 128:22	186:18, 186:21,	240:25, 243:9	237:9, 237:10,	244:4, 244:18,
discipline [1] -	188:2, 188:8, 189:7,	<b>District</b> [10] - 3:19,	237:18, 237:24,	244:22, 245:9,
162:25	189:10, 190:16, 191:2	3:21, 5:6, 5:7, 77:6,	238:11, 241:10,	245:11, 245:12,
disclosure [1] -	disenfranchising [1]	77:24, 138:3, 222:2,	241:17, 242:7,	245:20
- 1			242:10, 242:17, 243:3	

Documents [7] -3:12, 3:16, 20:7, 22:20, 113:6, 114:5, 246:5 done [19] - 13:16, 86:18, 104:1, 127:13, 127:20, 129:20, 136:22, 136:24, 138:4. 154:25. 174:3. 174:5, 182:4, 206:8, 219:18, 220:18, 221:17, 222:19, 243:17 dots [1] - 94:14 **Doty** [1] - 6:4 double [1] - 82:6 double-check [1] -82:6 **Doug** [4] - 17:13, 80:2, 80:12, 176:13 **Douglas** [1] - 4:25 **DOUGLAS**[1] - 5:19 down [8] - 41:25, 66:8, 85:2, 95:25, 166:9, 193:7, 210:2, 228:22 dozen [1] - 147:16 **DPW** [1] - 2:12 **Dr** [42] - 34:12, 70:6, 70:8, 70:10, 70:17, 74:21, 75:1, 75:13, 76:10, 78:14, 78:20, 90:15, 90:22, 91:6, 118:9, 121:7, 142:24, 159:4, 159:9, 159:14, 159:16, 159:20, 159:22, 160:3, 160:6, 162:25, 163:9, 163:16, 163:19, 163:20, 214:11, 214:12, 214:16, 214:24, 215:8, 215:9, 215:15, 241:7, 241:9, 242:16, 243:6 draft [12] - 12:7, 14:5, 27:25, 29:14, 85:18, 86:13, 109:15, 112:6, 112:16, 114:19, 136:8, 159:7 draft/final [2] - 24:1, 26:2 drafted [1] - 166:16 drafting [14] - 8:21, 9:1, 9:5, 9:10, 12:3, 86:5, 136:3, 136:4, 136:10, 157:11, 165:1, 169:20, 173:10 drafts [1] - 162:10 draw [50] - 9:2, 26:9, 31:1, 43:15, 66:5,

66:10, 66:12, 66:22, 67:2, 67:21, 76:14, 88:22, 115:18, 116:7, 137:20, 140:5, 140:11, 140:19, 143:19, 156:13, 164:2, 170:8, 175:14, 176:25, 178:24, 192:9, 194:22, 207:15, 207:19, 210:2, 214:14, 214:16, 214:24, 215:9, 215:13, 217:25, 222:9, 222:10, 225:18, 229:19, 230:13, 230:23, 231:1, 231:16, 238:2, 239:6, 239:13, 239:24, 242:17, 242:23 drawing [54] - 8:25, 10:20, 11:13, 12:1, 65:10, 68:5, 68:22, 70:18, 115:15, 115:17, 116:2, 131:21, 131:22, 132:4, 132:5, 133:1, 133:11, 133:14, 133:17, 133:23, 135:5, 136:19, 137:12, 137:13, 137:17, 137:23, 138:17, 139:9, 139:25, 141:20, 173:9, 173:14, 173:25, 174:6, 174:15, 174:21, 174:25, 178:2, 194:24, 197:10, 209:10, 215:6, 215:20, 217:6, 220:8, 224:17, 224:23, 225:17, 226:1, 226:10, 226:15, 226:19, 238:4, 243:3 drawn [13] - 76:16, 154:23, 193:17, 194:1, 211:25, 218:14, 218:17, 220:24, 221:6, 235:25, 237:18, 240:10, 241:1 drew [4] - 135:9, 177:25, 188:1, 233:21 **DUFFY** [1] - 2:5 duly [3] - 7:17, 247:4, 247:12 during [20] - 14:3, 22:20, 33:13, 42:10,

63:21, 65:6, 65:23,

66:9, 80:4, 95:6, 103:20, 128:14, 128:25, 143:17, 146:23, 147:20, 153:1, 202:3, 221:19, 222:3 duties [1] - 8:20 duty [1] - 10:12 DVD [3] - 3:15, 3:17, 48:20

#### Ε

E-mail [79] - 21:19, 21:22, 22:2, 46:11, 46:24, 47:2, 48:13, 48:22, 48:25, 49:1, 49:8, 49:12, 49:13, 49:15, 49:17, 49:21, 50:4, 50:10, 50:21, 51:5, 51:6, 53:16, 53:22, 54:22, 57:7, 57:10, 57:12, 57:24, 58:2, 58:10, 60:24, 61:16, 61:25, 62:4, 62:10, 62:16, 62:20, 71:5, 71:17, 71:22, 72:18, 73:9, 73:16, 73:17, 73:24, 74:18, 74:22, 74:24, 75:4, 75:12, 76:24, 78:4, 78:9, 78:13, 79:2, 80:20, 81:12, 82:2, 84:22, 85:15, 93:15, 100:25, 130:9, 130:11, 147:25, 150:11, 151:9, 159:12, 162:22, 201:15, 228:9, 228:11, 228:13, 228:14, 234:22, 235:17. 237:19. 244:7, 244:9 E-mails [35] - 22:4, 22:6, 22:11, 46:20, 46:21, 47:2, 47:5, 47:8, 47:12, 47:16, 49:4, 49:7, 53:10, 54:9, 71:25, 72:2, 73:6, 74:10, 78:8, 81:12, 130:12, 130:15, 131:4, 150:14, 150:17, 150:20, 150:24, 180:3, 180:4, 180:9, 187:7, 228:9, 230:16, 235:23, 242:9 **Earle** [3] - 3:6, 228:6,

5:23, 45:14, 45:22, 46:8, 80:2, 80:4, 80:10, 95:3, 156:21, 157:1, 157:6, 227:11, 228:3, 230:1, 230:5, 231:10, 235:4, 240:21, 241:4, 243:14, 245:4, 245:14, 245:22, 246:8, 246:10 early [2] - 125:9, 190:23 easier [2] - 54:13, 192:9 East [5] - 5:11, 5:20, 6:4, 6:14, 247:9 **EASTERN** [1] - 1:1 Eastern [1] - 5:7 **ECKSTEIN** [1] - 1:5 economic [2] -15:13, 15:20 economics [2] -98:5, 98:6 Education [2] -77:13, 79:5 education [4] -98:13, 101:9, 216:1 effect [1] - 227:3 effective [20] -157:12, 229:21, 230:4, 230:5, 230:7, 230:14, 231:3, 231:7, 231:17, 231:19, 232:5, 232:12, 232:13, 232:19, 232:20, 238:3, 238:8, 238:15, 238:17, 239:2 effects [1] - 221:2 effort [14] - 179:14, 229:19, 230:12, 230:23, 230:25, 231:16, 232:3, 239:1, 239:5, 239:13, 239:23, 240:2, 240:7, 240:23 Eight [2] - 23:22, 25:24 either [17] - 22:14, 23:13, 29:23, 30:7, 36:11, 36:17, 36:21, 41:11, 49:19, 50:8, 51:3, 73:3, 77:23, 82:23, 86:17, 151:9, 209:4 elaborate [2] - 32:12, 211:19 **elected** [1] - 103:8 **electing** [1] - 233:14

election [21] - 26:12,

63:17, 65:9, 66:7,

66:21, 68:4, 68:22, 69:7, 69:15, 69:22, 71:2, 71:8, 71:14, 71:19, 71:23, 83:17, 84:6, 101:22, 103:4, 124:1, 194:20 **Election** [3] - 62:7, 63:2, 63:11 **Elections** [1] - 42:13 **elections** [10] - 27:7, 43:12, 157:9, 157:18, 157:23, 184:17, 184:19, 190:17, 190:22, 227:3 electronic [10] -31:2. 31:3. 48:19. 93:4, 93:8, 93:14, 128:8, 135:14, 135:15, 159:13 elicit [1] - 45:5 Elisa [6] - 80:20, 80:25, 81:13, 84:23, 180:11, 180:14 **ELVIRA** [1] - 1:4 **employed** [4] - 8:9, 8:11, 247:20, 247:24 employee [5] - 48:6, 52:20, 53:2, 197:5, 247:23 employees [1] -50:19 **employer** [3] - 18:19, 18:25, 100:16 encompassed [1] -209:25 encouraged [2] -230:18, 231:23 end [6] - 33:8, 39:15, 72:22, 84:19, 84:22, 214:17 endeavor [2] -196:14, 196:23 ended [4] - 16:12, 196:1, 217:6, 230:19 engage [3] - 14:10, 159:12, 232:17 engaged [3] -141:20, 142:9, 142:19 English [2] - 230:10, 231:9 ensure [1] - 106:22 entered [1] - 95:17 entire [3] - 38:25, 39:3, 235:19 entirely [1] - 211:23 enumerate [1] -120:23 enumerated [3] -19:12, 22:23, 193:17 equal [10] - 170:13,

**EARLE** [26] - 5:22,

171:11, 171:14, 171:16, 172:1, 193:18, 194:1, 219:11, 220:15 ERIC [1] - 6:16 Eric [11] - 18:15, 34:23, 96:8, 119:7, 231:11, 231:13, 245:17, 245:24, 246:5, 246:12 **ERICA** [1] - 2:9 Erpenbach [1] -193:8 errors [1] - 72:17 essentially [3] -196:14, 196:19, 196:23 established [3] -157:12, 187:24, 189:1 establishes [1] -212:23 establishment [1] -214:12 estimate [4] -102:21, 147:3, 196:12, 197:14 et [4] - 5:3, 5:5, 5:21, 5:25 evaluate [4] - 16:17, 17:5, 223:4, 225:23 evaluation [3] -217:8, 217:11, 222:18 **EVANJELINA**[1] -1:4 event [2] - 34:2, 238:1 eventual [1] - 90:1 eventually [5] -132:2, 133:2, 134:1, 198:15, 218:10 exact [2] - 99:23, 183:18 exactly [7] - 58:12, 104:2, 124:9, 158:22, 162:9, 192:14, 242:20 **EXAMINATION** [6] -7:20, 17:11, 228:5, 243:21, 244:15, 245:7 Examination [4] -3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7 examination [3] -217:14, 217:17, 247:16 examined [1] -247:15 example [3] - 83:17, 169:13 136:12, 144:5 **existence** [4] - 70:6, examples [1] -128:11, 129:9, 135:12 150:14 existing [4] - 17:2, Excel [4] - 63:5,

63:8, 72:9, 72:11 **exception** [1] - 36:25 exchange [4] - 12:8, 12:24, 13:6, 13:7 exchanged [1] -130:16 **exchanges** [1] - 22:2 excuse [2] - 80:2, 242:12 exec [1] - 103:8 **exercise** [1] - 138:23 Exhibit [83] - 10:17, 11:9, 15:11, 17:19, 17:20, 17:23, 18:14, 19:8, 19:9, 19:12, 19:18, 20:3, 20:6, 20:23, 20:25, 21:4, 21:15, 22:13, 22:15, 22:17, 22:18, 23:15, 23:25, 24:14, 25:4, 25:18, 26:10, 26:25, 27:21, 27:24, 28:11, 28:17, 29:1, 36:3, 39:13, 40:8, 40:22, 40:24, 80:15, 92:17, 94:5, 94:20, 95:21, 95:24, 97:7, 105:14, 108:23, 109:18, 109:20, 112:23, 112:24, 113:3, 113:4, 114:17, 116:16, 116:19, 158:10, 158:13, 160:10, 160:15, 161:20, 161:23, 164:3, 165:16, 167:7, 167:8, 168:18, 168:19, 168:23, 169:25, 179:23, 179:24, 179:25, 181:9, 186:12, 186:19, 203:8, 206:8, 207:5, 207:8, 236:10, 246:15 **exhibit** [9] - 19:23, 64:14, 80:6, 80:15, 92:17, 95:20, 96:16, 236:6, 236:8 Exhibits [3] - 163:24, 166:16, 207:1 exhibits [6] - 4:8, 163:23, 167:16, 167:17, 168:15, 168:22 exist [3] - 60:4, 138:15, 138:16 existed [2] - 169:9,

137:25, 197:19, 219:11 exists [1] - 168:4 experience [3] -10:8, 103:24, 116:1 expert [11] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:6, 50:14, 50:16, 158:4, 158:8, 158:16, 159:23, 161:2, 163:19 Expert [1] - 162:1 experts [2] - 34:9, 34:10 expires [1] - 248:6 **explain** [2] - 9:7, explained [1] -190:12 explaining [2] -144:18, 199:12 explanation [2] -199:9, 221:8 explore [1] - 232:1 export [2] - 87:5, 87:8 express [1] - 141:7 expressing [1] -141:12 extent [26] - 9:17, 10:4, 11:22, 12:13, 21:7, 39:10, 45:1, 45:5, 65:8, 65:13, 65:17, 68:8, 68:12, 70:4, 79:19, 107:4, 107:10, 107:12, 122:22, 123:13, 140:25, 145:11, 171:19, 183:9, 184:25, 188:3 externships [1] -103:20 extrapolation [1] -239:18

## F

face [4] - 113:19, 151:9, 165:14 face-to-face [1] -151:9 facilitating [4] - 8:25, 9:4, 12:2, 12:4 facilitation [1] -173:10 fact [14] - 19:16, 24:8, 25:8, 25:20, 25:25, 37:13, 56:4, 107:22, 108:7, 111:17, 140:18, 195:24, 205:19, 244:3

factor [1] - 194:18 factors [4] - 233:7, 233:16, 242:25, 243:2 facts [4] - 64:10, 65:10, 67:8, 93:10 fades [1] - 157:4 fair [1] - 173:5 Fair [3] - 64:5, 126:22, 145:17 fall [10] - 23:5, 25:21, 26:1, 26:6, 26:19, 27:18, 52:4, 65:15, 67:9, 68:14 falling [8] - 24:4, 24:8, 24:20, 25:4, 25:10, 25:12, 27:10, 27:15 falls [2] - 67:3, 68:10 familiar [3] - 138:24, 177:20, 177:21 far [4] - 36:24, 39:3, 163:10, 203:2 fashion [1] - 162:22 fax [1] - 80:5 February [4] - 32:23, 33:1, 33:6, 124:7 federal [8] - 187:24, 188:6, 188:7, 189:3, 189:16, 191:17, 233:11, 233:21 feedback [1] -199:15 fell [2] - 23:12, 26:14 few [4] - 7:25, 147:22, 207:15, 228:7 field [1] - 51:4 fifth [1] - 29:16 figure [3] - 232:8, 232:11, 238:6 figures [1] - 228:15 File [1] - 1:12 file [25] - 9:8, 9:20, 12:6, 59:11, 63:14, 69:17, 72:5, 72:9, 72:13, 72:16, 86:12, 86:16, 86:20, 87:3, 87:9, 94:13, 136:7, 198:13, 198:14, 198:15, 199:6, 200:12, 200:14, 200:19 filed [17] - 4:24, 110:4, 110:7, 111:6, 111:12, 111:15, 111:18, 111:20, 112:1, 112:4, 112:7,

140:9, 167:5, 168:13,

168:24, 169:18,

Filed [1] - 96:1

169:21

files [12] - 72:8, 72:12, 86:23, 87:15, 93:4, 93:8, 93:11, 128:8, 129:8, 135:14, 135:15, 200:7 final [10] - 14:5, 21:1, 145:4, 146:11, 159:1, 162:11, 197:11, 198:17, 206:13, 214:17 finally [2] - 42:18, 44:17 finance [1] - 98:4 financially [1] -247:24 fine [1] - 176:17 finish [1] - 146:1 firm [1] - 50:17 first [51] - 7:17, 8:9, 10:7, 10:10, 10:11, 20:5, 20:12, 21:15, 28:25, 29:9, 32:2, 32:10, 32:14, 32:18, 38:1, 38:3, 38:5, 40:21, 41:15, 41:20, 48:2, 53:22, 67:14, 74:18, 78:9, 95:24, 102:25, 103:17, 103:23, 104:20, 105:17, 108:24, 109:10, 111:23, 114:23, 121:22, 122:10, 122:17, 126:11, 137:5, 138:17, 143:11, 159:20, 162:16, 164:2, 204:22, 207:9, 207:19, 220:23, 221:5, 228:13 First [2] - 113:4, 113:5 fit [4] - 210:10, 210:18, 210:24, 211:23 Fitchburg [4] - 38:9, 38:14, 38:20, 38:24 Fitzgerald [34] -6:19, 6:19, 8:17, 8:18, 8:21, 18:24, 35:5, 35:8, 97:23, 98:17, 98:23, 98:25, 99:10, 111:6, 115:3, 115:7, 115:8, 115:16, 119:13, 119:25, 120:5, 122:8, 122:15,

143:12, 143:13,

168:2, 169:7

146:5, 146:6, 146:22,

147:5, 153:4, 168:1,

Fitzgerald's [1] -

116:8 five [11] - 19:12, 19:18, 94:25, 185:12, 185:17, 187:17, 188:21, 189:11, 189:20, 189:25, 190:10 Five [1] - 36:15 five-minute [1] -94:25 flip [3] - 110:1, 113:21, 167:12 focusing [2] - 101:8, 134:4 folder [1] - 72:10 FOLEY [1] - 6:13 follow [16] - 9:22, 21:12, 45:18, 64:21, 68:1, 78:19, 79:24, 127:6, 145:18, 146:19, 150:7, 152:17, 172:1, 172:13, 243:19, 244:17 follow-up [2] - 78:19, 244:17 following [8] - 11:8, 65:4, 91:1, 120:25, 174:12, 194:13, 225:11, 247:11 **follows** [1] - 7:18 Foltz [52] - 3:13, 3:15, 3:17, 6:19, 7:22, 17:13, 17:22, 20:5, 20:9, 21:9, 22:21, 28:1, 28:16, 45:7, 46:9, 46:12, 50:17, 64:18, 79:22, 80:20, 95:5, 95:23, 97:10, 104:6, 158:12, 160:12, 161:22, 166:23, 173:13, 175:10, 176:21, 179:2, 196:11, 203:7, 206:19, 206:25, 219:9, 227:12, 228:7, 230:8, 232:14, 234:18, 235:2, 235:8, 235:11, 238:7, 238:17, 240:16, 240:20, 243:23, 246:7 **FOLTZ** [5] - 1:19, 3:3, 5:1, 7:16, 247:11 force [1] - 141:3 forenoon [2] - 5:14, 247:8 form [30] - 11:22, 12:12, 13:3, 21:1, 39:8, 70:1, 82:7, 105:3, 122:20,

126:12, 139:17, 139:20, 140:24, 153:12, 153:17, 155:24, 156:5, 171:17, 173:17, 183:7, 184:23, 219:19, 219:25, 229:24, 230:2, 231:5, 234:16, 238:13, 240:12, 240:15 formal [2] - 98:13, 120:23 format [4] - 57:14, 57:15, 87:4, 139:21 formats [1] - 87:7 formed [1] - 65:9 forms [1] - 81:24 forth [6] - 63:24, 126:21, 145:9, 145:16, 186:25, 230:21 forward [1] - 72:20 forwarded [1] - 79:2 forwarding [3] -50:22, 51:4, 80:22 forwards [1] - 50:4 four [9] - 29:9, 66:20, 84:7, 89:21, 94:11, 147:24, 148:3, 193:14, 229:10 Four [2] - 36:5, 36:7 fourth [1] - 81:11 Fred [3] - 42:13, 73:24, 74:1 Fredonia [1] - 6:23 free [5] - 9:19, 11:23, 39:11, 45:8, 68:19 freeform [1] - 138:1 frequency [1] - 87:12 Friedreich [1] -47:10 Friedrich [21] -13:20, 31:9, 31:14, 32:3, 32:7, 32:19, 32:23, 33:5, 47:14, 47:23, 49:3, 49:9, 49:16, 91:22, 120:9, 124:17, 148:21, 149:13, 151:13, 165:5, 166:4 FRIEDRICH [1] -6:17 Friedrich's [9] -31:19, 33:1, 91:11, 127:13, 127:17, 127:20, 127:24,

154:15, 164:22

front [14] - 17:24,

29:4, 39:12, 47:20,

92:19, 99:22, 116:17,

158:14, 160:17, 161:24, 163:25, 167:2, 176:23, 235:24 FRONTERA [1] - 2:8 Frontera [1] - 5:25 **FTP** [1] - 93:24 full [3] - 168:23, 196:14, 196:23 full-time [2] - 196:14, 196:23 function [2] - 134:8, 135:2 functions [1] -138:24 Fund [2] - 77:13, 79:5 fundamental [1] -134:6

# G

Gaddie [51] - 4:3, 34:12, 69:5, 69:11, 69:16, 69:21, 70:6, 70:8, 70:10, 70:17, 74:21, 75:1, 75:10, 75:13, 76:2, 76:6, 76:10, 78:10, 78:14, 78:20, 90:15, 90:22, 91:6, 91:20, 91:25, 92:9, 118:9, 121:7, 142:24, 158:17, 159:4, 159:9, 159:14, 159:20, 159:22, 160:3, 160:6, 214:11, 214:12, 214:16, 214:24, 215:8, 215:9, 215:15, 228:14, 241:7, 241:9, 242:6, 242:16, 243:6 Gaddie's [2] -159:16, 163:20 Gate [1] - 74:2 gather [1] - 224:25 general [4] - 67:6, 153:17, 227:3, 227:22 General [3] - 2:1, 2:16, 6:6 generally [26] - 59:4, 59:7, 60:16, 60:17, 63:13, 65:9, 67:14, 69:14, 69:18, 76:10, 79:1, 79:2, 83:10, 85:13, 93:8, 93:10, 134:12, 137:10, 149:21, 149:24, 153:9, 153:19, 196:25, 202:11, 205:11, 227:19

generate [4] - 83:3, 83:5, 223:15, 224:3 generated [3] -83:10, 223:25, 242:14 generating [1] -83:12 geographic [4] -50:3, 142:4, 199:10, 199:17 geographically [2] -107:25, 108:3 geography [5] -58:6, 94:12, 137:25, 141:25, 217:13 **GERALD** [2] - 1:15, 2:14 **GIS** [6] - 50:1, 50:18,

52:22, 53:4, 74:3, 87:5 given [14] - 12:6, 66:6, 66:7, 104:18, 110:11, 110:22, 120:24, 135:5, 140:7, 163:9, 174:8, 195:7, 230:17, 247:18 **GLADYS** [1] - 1:6 GLORIA [1] - 1:7 **Gmail** [3] - 74:10, 74:13, 74:15 **go-round** [1] - 10:10 goal [8] - 155:21, 156:9, 156:12, 156:16, 165:10, 166:20, 166:21, 189:7 goals [2] - 165:13, 195:7 Godfrey [2] - 5:10, 247:8 **GODFREY** [1] - 5:19 government [1] -107:13

5:4 governor [1] -156:15 grab [3] - 221:4, 228:17, 236:1 graduated [2] - 98:1, 98:6

Government [5] -

1:13, 2:2, 2:12, 2:16,

graphical [1] - 138:4 Gratz [1] - 50:14 gratz@speedymail. org [1] - 50:13 great [2] - 95:3, 228:25

greater [2] - 213:7, 220:16

green [4] - 89:3, 89:7, 235:25, 236:22

ground [1] - 8:2 grounds [8] - 28:11, 68:16, 126:19, 130:24, 145:8, 152:12, 244:9, 245:10 group [5] - 42:14, 81:12, 87:17, 148:12, 231:21 groups [1] - 125:11 grow [2] - 175:20, 175:25 guess [2] - 109:17, 174:12 **guidance** [3] - 134:9, 134:12, 134:20 **GWENDOLYNNE** [1] - 1:10

### Н

half [8] - 84:24, 89:1, 89:2, 97:14, 172:16, 172:18, 172:20, 176:15 halfway [2] - 203:17, 203:19 hallway [1] - 27:3 hand [9] - 19:24, 20:5, 92:16, 94:5, 132:5, 168:16, 203:7, 206:25, 248:2 handed [5] - 17:22, 95:23, 158:12, 160:12, 161:22 handful [2] - 147:1, 147:16 handing [3] - 20:9, 28:17, 160:13 handrick [15] -118:6, 119:19, 121:20, 121:25, 122:14, 122:18, 123:1, 123:25, 124:5, 124:10, 130:16, 131:15, 131:19, 132:14, 143:6 Handrick [55] -34:14, 45:25, 46:6, 92:2, 108:17, 108:20, 119:13, 121:7, 121:19, 122:3, 122:10, 123:3, 123:7, 123:21, 124:13, 125:20, 129:23, 130:5, 130:8, 131:10, 131:18, 131:22, 132:4, 133:1, 133:10, 133:18, 133:23, 134:4, 134:9, 134:16,

121:14, 149:10,

158:5, 196:7, 204:6

135:4, 135:9, 142:9, 143:4, 153:5, 153:13, 154:2, 154:7, 156:3, 165:1, 182:25, 190:5, 203:9, 205:22, 209:15, 209:18, 209:21, 209:24, 215:20, 223:20, 223:23, 224:10, 234:5, 244:8, 245:10 hard [1] - 129:25 HASSETT [3] - 6:3, 7:8, 17:8 Hassett [7] - 3:4, 7:1, 7:21, 7:23, 105:21, 105:23, 106:4 hate [1] - 234:20 head [7] - 8:6, 16:19, 86:22, 118:5, 177:22, 183:15, 211:2 headed [1] - 19:11 header [1] - 228:18 heading [4] - 19:8, 40:4, 40:5, 40:25 headings [1] - 90:24 hear [4] - 14:7, 65:2, 141:12, 156:21 heard [8] - 38:6, 112:21, 112:22, 141:15, 141:18, 216:18, 216:20, 243:10 hearing [31] - 15:8, 37:12, 75:7, 76:8, 79:11, 109:3, 116:13, 116:21, 116:23, 117:18, 117:23, 117:25, 118:7, 118:11, 118:15, 118:18, 118:25, 119:19, 120:10, 127:11, 140:8, 141:16, 141:17, 176:22, 190:19, 190:21, 226:12, 226:20, 226:21, 243:12 heart [1] - 183:18 Heather [1] - 6:22 Hejazi [1] - 74:1 held [2] - 98:15, 229:12 help [2] - 123:24, 236:11 helped [1] - 195:18 helpful [2] - 80:10, 99:15 hereby [1] - 247:5 hereto [1] - 247:24 hereunto [1] - 248:1

hiding [1] - 187:1 high [1] - 98:13 hired [4] - 99:3, 99:6, 102:19, 103:6 Hispanic [20] - 75:1, 75:15, 76:2, 76:12, 76:18, 77:5, 85:12, 86:13, 175:20, 175:25, 179:5, 179:8, 179:12, 179:14, 213:2, 213:3, 228:20, 231:22, 233:12, 233:21 Hispanics [3] - 37:4, 37:25, 38:23 historical [1] -225:23 historically [1] -212:14 hit [1] - 224:2 hold [2] - 45:16, 188:10 Holtz [2] - 175:9, 179:2 Homadel [1] - 88:9 honestly [3] - 38:5, 40:3, 186:13 **HOUGH** [1] - 1:5 hour [1] - 176:15 hours [4] - 196:11, 197:4, 197:6, 227:21 house [2] - 43:10, 120:24 housed [1] - 225:25 HVAC [1] - 88:20 **HVAP** [9] - 77:1, 77:4, 77:23, 82:8,

ı

idea [1] - 196:13

ideally [3] - 188:4,

88:23, 213:9, 228:15,

229:1, 229:12

189:9, 189:10
identification [6] 17:21, 20:4, 95:22,
158:11, 160:11,
161:21
Identified [2] - 3:10,
4:2
identified [26] - 3:15,
3:17, 10:19, 11:12,
19:18, 20:19, 21:18,
22:2, 22:4, 22:15,
25:15, 27:18, 27:24,
28:11, 39:20, 40:13,
50:8, 57:18, 104:25,

106:8, 108:17,

identifies [8] - 21:21, 22:19, 23:25, 26:10, 27:6, 27:25, 63:1, 245:20 identify [11] - 25:25, 26:5, 26:17, 27:13, 28:5, 40:1, 47:22, 94:6, 131:25, 225:8, 236:5 identifying [1] -59:22 identities [1] -145:13 **III** [1] - 1:5 IIIA [1] - 44:8 illustrating [1] -22:24 immediately [1] -99:16 implicate [3] - 9:18, 153:21, 234:24 implicated [1] -45:11 important [1] - 88:13 imposed [1] - 186:2 impressions [1] -110:18 improved [1] -229:13 **INC** [1] - 2:8 Inc [1] - 5:25 include [2] - 211:6, 211:13 included [21] - 29:1, 43:15, 46:15, 47:16, 51:4, 61:24, 66:19, 67:17, 69:23, 70:15, 73:15, 83:20, 83:22, 84:1, 84:15, 166:1, 208:11, 209:22, 210:15, 212:2, 227:6 including [5] - 22:22, 180:4, 211:17, 211:20, 212:10 incorporated [1] -214:18 incorporating [1] -27:7 increase [1] - 156:16 incumbent [4] -94:13, 177:7, 177:13, 178:15 incumbents [7] -88:12, 88:14, 177:24, 178:4, 178:8, 178:19, 206:10 index [1] - 43:18 indicate [4] - 41:21,

42:8, 236:5, 237:19 indicates [2] - 63:11, 72:5 indication [1] - 72:1 **indicative** [1] - 63:16 individual [6] -10:25, 11:15, 125:3, 125:5, 125:10, 125:12 individuals [1] -153:20 inevitable [4] -43:10, 177:4, 177:11, 181:25 inform [1] - 190:25 information [36] -9:15, 30:20, 31:1, 45:2, 45:6, 48:7, 48:9, 50:3, 60:14, 60:15, 63:20, 64:1, 64:13, 65:5, 65:18, 65:23, 67:6, 87:4, 90:22, 90:25, 91:1, 114:1, 126:25, 145:12, 159:9, 161:12, 163:8, 163:13, 193:13, 224:25, 225:3, 227:19, 234:11, 234:19, 235:15, 241:8 initial [2] - 61:25, 109:13 **Initial** [1] - 105:19 Injunctive [1] -109:22 input [3] - 226:8, 226:14, 226:18 insert [4] - 9:13, 10:3, 44:25, 122:19 insofar [1] - 9:14 instant [6] - 131:14, 131:15, 148:4, 151:6, 151:7, 201:16 instead [6] - 138:13, 189:21, 190:1, 190:11, 191:13, 192:16 instruct [16] - 9:16, 21:11, 45:4, 64:17, 65:20, 66:15, 67:4, 67:24, 68:16, 79:22, 127:3, 146:17, 150:6, 152:13, 211:10, 219:1 instructed [3] -142:15, 214:14, 214:16 instructing [2] -211:12, 235:9 instruction [14] -21:12, 45:18, 64:15, 64:21, 68:1, 79:24, 90:15, 127:6, 145:18,

146:19, 150:7, 152:17, 215:25, 235:10 instructions [5] -9:22, 56:5, 86:5, 86:7, 215:23 insure [3] - 107:16, 107:24, 108:12 insuring [1] - 107:9 interest [18] - 15:23, 15:25, 16:10, 16:21, 107:6, 108:13, 224:16, 225:1, 225:4, 225:6, 225:10, 225:12, 225:15, 225:19, 225:24, 226:5, 226:9, 226:15 interested [2] -240:14, 247:25 interests [3] - 15:13, 15:21, 212:20 interim [1] - 175:13 internships [1] -103:20 interpret [2] -232:19, 232:20 interpreted [1] - 16:7 Interrogatories [1] interrogatories [2] -113:22, 113:25 interrogatory [2] -112:21, 113:16 Interrogatory [2] -113:15, 113:19 **intervenor** [1] - 7:23 Intervenor [4] - 1:11, 2:6. 6:5. 6:15 Intervenor-**Defendants** [2] - 2:6, 6:15 Intervenor-**Plaintiffs** [2] - 1:11, introduce [1] -122:14 introduced [5] -109:13, 109:14, 144:19, 197:11, 198:17 involved [44] - 9:4, 10:20, 11:12, 12:8, 12:24, 13:8, 14:24, 15:2, 15:3, 37:21, 106:11, 107:2, 123:8, 123:12, 131:23, 136:19, 148:17, 149:2, 150:17, 157:11, 157:14, 159:22, 161:15,

164:6, 165:1, 165:4, 165:7, 165:21, 165:24, 169:20, 173:7, 182:8, 189:23, 190:3, 190:5, 190:7, 195:24, 197:10, 220:4, 221:21, 232:3, 232:10, 233:1, 233:4 involvement [11] -8:24, 10:15, 12:21, 115:12, 123:16, 136:17, 148:24, 149:6, 164:9, 174:14, 177:23 involves [4] - 57:17, 68:8, 68:13, 153:13 involving [2] - 181:5, 181:12 irrelevant [1] -157:19 issue [4] - 51:12, 76:2, 193:2, 217:19 **Issued** [3] - 3:13, 20:8, 246:6 issued [1] - 100:15 issues [2] - 99:14, 101:8 Item [3] - 21:18, 21:21, 23:22 itself [6] - 43:18, 149:3, 149:22, 160:4, 165:12, 167:18

#### J

jack [1] - 99:12 **JAMES** [2] - 2:4, 6:3 January [21] - 8:12, 19:4, 98:18, 98:20, 98:21, 99:2, 99:4, 99:8, 99:11, 99:17, 101:7, 102:6, 102:8, 102:13, 102:21, 102:22, 102:23, 102:25, 103:12, 115:4, 196:17 **JEANNE** [1] - 1:7 Jeff [6] - 6:19, 8:18, 50:12, 53:1, 99:23, 115:3 Jefferson [10] - 5:23, 198:3, 198:5, 198:21, 199:2, 200:3, 200:11, 200:23, 201:3, 201:12 Jensen [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Jim [7] - 34:23, 75:13, 81:13, 88:23, 119:8, 148:14, 233:24

**job** [10] - 8:20, 10:12, 13:25, 99:2, 102:25, 103:17, 111:9, 119:20, 120:2 JoCasta [3] - 88:6, 233:15, 243:8 **Joe** [13] - 34:14, 92:2, 96:24, 104:15, 108:17, 119:13, 121:7, 121:19, 148:22, 153:5, 153:13, 156:3, 244:8 Joel [2] - 50:14, 73:21 John [4] - 4:5, 160:24, 161:6, 161:12 **JOHNSON** [1] - 1:5 joining [1] - 7:7 joint [3] - 116:13, 188:18, 190:25 **Jon** [1] - 88:9 **JOSE** [1] - 2:9 Josh [1] - 88:4 **JP1** [1] - 41:20 **JPS** [1] - 2:12 JPS-DPW-RMD[1] -**JR** [3] - 2:4, 2:4, 6:13 Judge [4] - 3:19, 3:21, 64:7, 167:9 judging [1] - 63:14 JUDY [1] - 1:7 July [28] - 15:8, 21:19, 21:22, 30:2, 36:6, 46:13, 48:4, 84:24, 90:19, 116:13,

49:22, 74:19, 75:4, 76:8, 78:8, 79:7, 79:11, 80:21, 81:14, 120:10, 120:13, 124:25, 125:9, 127:11, 170:3, 176:22, 190:24, 228:21 June [3] - 110:7, 125:9, 248:7 JUSTICE [1] - 6:7 justification [7] -208:14, 209:1, 211:17, 211:20, 212:9, 219:4, 220:17 justifications [2] -220:10, 221:16

# K

**Kahn** [2] - 5:10, 247:8 **KAHN** [1] - 5:19

**Karl** [3] - 102:4, 102:10, 102:12 **Keane** [13] - 46:12, 48:2, 48:5, 48:7, 48:9, 48:15, 48:22, 48:24, 49:5, 50:11, 86:1, 86:4, 86:8 **keep** [4] - 110:24, 129:6, 212:18, 227:13 **Keith** [4] - 4:3, 34:12, 158:17, 214:11 **Kelly** [2] - 160:21, 231:12 **KELLY** [13] - 6:9, 7:1, 7:9, 7:14, 105:3, 166:8, 166:13, 204:8, 219:19, 231:5, 238:13, 240:12, 243:16 **KENNEDY** [2] - 2:1, 2:15 Kenosha [14] -146:3, 146:7, 146:12, 210:3, 210:5, 210:8, 210:11, 210:15, 211:8, 211:14, 211:22, 212:1 kept [1] - 107:10 Kessler's [1] - 42:13 **KEVIN** [2] - 2:1, 2:15 Kind [1] - 7:24 **KIND** [1] - 1:10 kind [14] - 83:4, 83:5, 93:23, 134:12, 147:15, 149:24, 154:25, 159:12, 217:8, 220:8, 220:17, 221:8, 221:12, 227:19 kinds [4] - 93:8, 101:5, 215:23, 233:16 knowledge [23] -14:25, 16:18, 23:7, 34:16, 38:2, 48:11, 84:10, 116:25, 123:13, 129:22, 149:4, 149:5, 161:13, 161:15, 166:19, 174:12, 201:10, 234:10, 235:19,

**KRESBACH** [1] - 1:6

240:16, 244:3, 244:7,

**known** [1] - 122:5

247:13

**LA**[1] - 2:8 **label** [3] - 92:21, 92:23, 94:6

lack [1] - 82:19 lacking [1] - 238:14 lag [1] - 139:14 landed [1] - 42:25 Lane [1] - 6:22 **LANGE** [1] - 1:6 language [1] -230:10 laptop [1] - 93:18 **LARDNER** [1] - 6:13 large [5] - 207:3, 210:10, 210:18, 210:24, 211:23 largely [2] - 101:8, 138:23 larger [1] - 142:6 largest [1] - 142:2 last [28] - 19:6, 19:7, 19:8, 27:23, 39:24, 40:1, 40:9, 52:4, 52:5, 64:25, 73:17, 82:3, 82:5, 87:17, 87:20, 88:17, 89:10, 90:7, 97:17, 132:17, 157:7, 167:12, 169:24, 235:22, 236:7, 236:9, 245:4 Latino [11] - 144:15, 212:24, 213:1, 213:5, 214:8, 214:13, 215:20, 229:20, 233:8, 239:2, 241:2 Latinos [13] -230:15, 231:4, 231:18, 231:20, 232:5, 233:18, 238:3, 238:9, 238:18, 239:7, 239:16, 239:25, 240:11 latter [1] - 125:9 Law [9] - 5:11, 5:19, 5:23, 6:3, 6:10, 6:13, 6:17, 160:22, 247:9 **LAW** [1] - 5:23 law [5] - 10:23, 11:14, 31:23, 98:8, 98:10

lawful [1] - 5:2

105:1, 112:18,

112:19, 168:3,

191:17, 191:24

lawmakers [3] -

64:3, 127:2, 226:19

lawsuit [7] - 104:23,

lawsuits [4] - 166:23,

166:25, 169:4, 169:15

**LAWTON** [1] - 6:3

lawyer [5] - 171:21,

230:9, 231:7, 232:22,

239:11

lawyers [2] - 119:6, 133:6 **LAZAR** [7] - 6:6, 7:12, 109:18, 179:24, 231:12, 236:9, 246:14 lead [3] - 50:2, 136:9, 136:14 leader [1] - 152:2 **Leader** [1] - 6:18 leaders [4] - 85:5, 85:9, 85:12, 164:12 leadership [2] -195:18, 196:3 Leadership [3] -37:4, 37:25, 38:23 leading [1] - 131:24 least [9] - 78:5, 89:21, 90:8, 149:6, 177:19, 180:10, 197:7, 229:10, 241:25 left [3] - 101:13, 101:21, 129:17 legal [50] - 9:21, 13:13, 13:15, 13:17, 34:9, 34:17, 34:21, 77:11, 77:15, 77:18, 77:22, 79:3, 79:5, 79:13, 92:2, 92:6, 104:8, 104:12, 104:13, 112:8, 118:3, 118:4, 119:5, 119:10, 121:6, 131:1, 131:5, 131:7, 133:4, 148:13, 148:16, 149:9, 150:10, 150:21, 150:25, 151:3, 151:7, 151:10, 153:3, 164:11, 164:13, 166:3, 169:20, 180:23, 182:24, 190:7, 198:24, 198:25, 200:15, 202:21 Legal [3] - 6:22, 77:12, 79:4 legislation [4] - 8:22, 141:5, 174:10, 227:4 Legislative [4] -22:21, 28:1, 29:2, 85:17 legislative [54] -14:22, 14:25, 35:24, 44:12, 49:13, 50:18, 55:18, 63:23, 64:8, 64:19, 65:12, 65:16, 66:22, 67:1, 67:4,

67:24, 68:7, 68:10,

68:11, 69:18, 85:5,

85:9, 85:12, 99:17,

101:12, 102:11,

75 of 87 sheets 2:11-cwww.FORTHERECORDINAL 13/5 MICOMAGE 7/6088 839/03/92/ent 138 ge 13 to 13 of 25

107:11, 109:2, 116:2, 120:12, 121:1, 126:20, 126:24, 127:5, 136:8, 145:8, 145:15, 146:15, 148:5, 148:7, 152:11, 152:15, 164:11, 173:3, 173:6, 173:14, 173:25, 174:6, 177:24, 179:9, 197:19, 207:23, 224:17, 226:23 legislators [15] -35:19, 68:21, 143:12, 144:5, 144:9, 144:14, 146:4, 147:25, 148:4, 156:2, 165:7, 166:6, 202:25, 206:3, 222:7 legislature [19] -44:13, 68:9, 87:24, 107:9, 107:16, 108:7, 108:12, 126:14, 144:24, 145:3, 155:19, 156:17, 191:23, 192:18, 192:19, 196:18, 197:12, 198:18, 206:14 length [2] - 146:16, 152:12 lengthier [1] - 234:20 **LESLIE** [1] - 1:5 less [4] - 184:14, 197:2, 233:9, 233:18 letter [2] - 18:8, 37:22 level [6] - 63:16, 66:2, 66:6, 66:8, 141:25, 187:25 levels [2] - 142:4, 217:13 library [1] - 31:23 light [5] - 89:2, 89:6, 236:19, 236:21, 236:22 likely [1] - 190:4 limited [2] - 212:21, 239:22 Line [3] - 175:8, 183:25, 195:9 line [13] - 14:22, 15:15, 63:11, 89:9, 89:12, 89:13, 89:15, 138:1, 140:16, 164:20, 181:19, 236:12, 236:13 lines [12] - 50:9, 58:20, 137:23, 181:21, 181:22,

191:11, 211:25,

222:9, 222:11, 235:25, 238:5, 238:10 **Lines** [12] - 15:15, 16:6, 170:9, 175:15, 177:1, 181:17, 182:3, 183:23, 185:6, 191:15, 192:6, 195:16 link [4] - 61:3, 61:5, 61:7, 61:11 Lisa [1] - 81:16 list [2] - 16:19, 121:8 listed [4] - 82:11, 121:6, 121:11, 196:6 listen [1] - 12:16 listings [1] - 229:1 literal [2] - 199:10, 199:16 litigation [9] - 43:20, 129:19, 129:20, 140:9, 140:12, 160:1, 161:3, 166:24, 168:9 live [2] - 61:7, 121:23 **lived** [1] - 97:13 **LLC** [1] - 5:23 **LLP** [2] - 6:13, 6:17 lobbying [1] - 50:17 local [3] - 107:13, 226:9, 226:15 locals [1] - 192:10 locate [1] - 25:20 located [4] - 22:6, 93:14, 93:16, 244:18 location [2] - 23:1, 31:13 log [6] - 63:24, 126:21, 145:10, 245:15, 245:19, 246:12 look [49] - 19:16,

21:15, 22:17, 23:22,

24:16, 27:10, 28:3,

28:16, 36:5, 42:24,

44:22, 53:16, 54:19,

74:8. 75:14. 78:16.

96:18, 105:16,

133:10, 134:15,

150:25, 159:16,

165:16, 167:7,

168:15, 175:3,

175:14, 176:10,

176:22, 181:16,

183:21, 183:23,

193:9, 203:15,

203:22, 204:22,

208:18

182:2, 182:3, 183:19,

185:5, 185:7, 187:12,

187:16, 191:4, 191:7,

191:15, 192:6, 193:4,

looked [10] - 26:1,

26:14, 36:23, 55:12, 78:10, 163:15, 172:10, 181:9, 217:17, 217:18 looking [10] - 15:14, 25:21, 30:25, 31:4, 36:18, 39:15, 44:2, 155:3, 155:7, 155:10 looks [9] - 53:9, 62:15, 70:25, 73:1, 78:4, 78:8, 94:11, 205:5, 206:23 **Lori** [1] - 50:20 lost [1] - 186:24 lower [5] - 184:10, 186:6, 188:9, 189:13, 190:18 **LRB** [14] - 9:10, 12:6, 12:17, 29:13, 36:25, 37:1, 48:6, 48:10, 85:21, 85:24, 86:3, 86:16, 87:15, 136:6 LTSB [22] - 50:2, 50:13, 51:21, 52:2, 52:21, 52:22, 53:2, 53:5, 55:23, 62:14, 63:15, 66:19, 67:18, 73:22, 84:7, 88:16, 94:10, 139:1, 139:18, 139:21, 139:25, 194:21 lunch [4] - 95:5, 95:6, 95:8, 176:15

# M

machine [1] - 34:3

Madison [13] - 1:20, 5:11, 5:20, 6:4, 6:7, 6:17, 33:1, 59:3, 59:8, 91:20, 212:12, 212:13, 247:10 Magellan [2] - 161:8, 161:13 mail [79] - 21:19, 21:22, 22:2, 46:11, 46:24, 47:2, 48:13, 48:22, 48:25, 49:1, 49:8, 49:12, 49:13, 49:15, 49:17, 49:21, 50:4, 50:10, 50:21, 51:5, 51:6, 53:16, 53:22, 54:22, 57:7, 57:10, 57:12, 57:24, 58:2, 58:10, 60:24, 61:16, 61:25, 62:4, 62:10, 62:16, 62:20, 71:5, 71:17, 71:22, 72:18, 73:9, 73:16, 73:17, 73:24, 74:18,

100:25, 130:9, 130:11, 147:25, 150:11, 151:9, 159:12, 162:22, 201:15, 228:9, 228:11, 228:13, 228:14, 234:22, 235:17, 237:19, 244:7, 244:9 Mail [2] - 49:16, 49:19 mails [35] - 22:4, 22:6, 22:11, 46:20, 46:21, 47:2, 47:5, 47:8, 47:12, 47:16, 49:4, 49:7, 53:10, 54:9, 71:25, 72:2, 73:6, 74:10, 78:8, 81:12, 130:12, 130:15, 131:4, 150:14, 150:17, 150:20, 150:24, 180:3, 180:4, 180:9, 187:7, 228:9, 230:16, 235:23, 242:9 Main [4] - 5:11, 5:20, 6:7, 247:9 **maintain** [1] - 141:5 maintained [1] -86:23 maintaining [2] -225:9, 226:5 majority [38] - 152:2, 175:22, 176:1, 212:24, 213:1, 213:6, 213:20, 214:1, 214:4, 214:8, 214:13, 215:5, 215:6, 215:13, 215:17, 215:20, 215:21, 229:21, 230:7, 230:14, 231:3, 231:18, 231:20, 232:5, 232:12, 232:13, 232:21, 238:3, 238:9, 238:15, 238:17, 238:18, 239:2, 239:7, 239:15, 239:25, 240:10, 241:1 **Majority** [1] - 6:18 makeup [3] - 193:10, 194:6, 194:16 mal [1] - 191:19 mal-apportioned [1] - 191:19 **MALDEF** [36] -

74:22, 74:24, 75:4,

75:12, 76:24, 78:4,

80:20, 81:12, 82:2,

84:22, 85:15, 93:15,

78:9, 78:13, 79:2,

77:13, 77:16, 77:22, 79:4, 81:7, 81:9, 82:11, 86:5, 86:14, 88:19, 89:25, 144:20, 180:7, 214:25, 215:2, 229:1, 229:3, 229:18, 230:16, 230:18, 230:21, 231:21, 232:1, 233:23, 233:25, 234:3, 234:6, 234:9, 234:11, 235:16, 235:20, 236:19, 236:22, 237:14 MALDEF's [5] -81:18, 81:22, 88:22, 89:8, 89:19 manipulate [1] -139:22 manner [1] - 65:14 Manny [5] - 180:17, 180:24, 181:2, 181:6, 181:13 Manufacturers [1] -42:16 **MANZANET** [1] - 1:6 map [53] - 8:25, 9:2, 9:5, 30:23, 40:6, 82:17, 87:21, 88:14, 88:18, 93:10, 109:12, 115:15, 115:17, 115:19, 131:22, 136:2. 137:12. 137:13, 137:17, 137:20, 138:5, 138:18, 145:2, 145:17, 156:10, 172:21, 172:23, 173:9, 177:25, 178:2, 178:6, 185:9, 188:1, 193:16, 193:25, 194:5, 194:14, 206:12, 207:3, 207:16, 208:10, 217:6, 220:8, 224:24, 225:18, 229:6, 233:12, 235:23, 235:24, 236:5, 238:4, 239:24, 240:10 **Map** [2] - 64:6, 126:22 map's [1] - 171:22 Maps [1] - 29:3 maps [58] - 10:21, 11:13, 14:5, 22:24, 23:1, 24:1, 26:2, 27:7, 27:25, 84:3, 87:18, 94:16, 107:10, 107:24, 116:2, 131:21, 131:23,

VI
131:25, 133:2, 133:10, 133:14, 133:16, 133:17, 133:22, 133:25, 134:15, 135:5, 136:5, 139:10, 140:1, 140:5, 140:11, 140:19, 141:21, 142:3, 142:25, 154:23, 155:3, 155:6, 155:9, 155:11, 155:16, 155:22, 172:10, 192:10, 197:9, 206:18, 218:10, 226:3, 226:6, 226:10, 226:16, 226:19, 226:23, 228:25, 234:12, 242:23 Maptitude [4] - 223:8, 223:9, 223:11, 223:13
MARIA [1] - 6:6
Maria [1] - 180:1
Marie [1] - 95:8 mark [5] - 17:19,
19:23, 95:20, 111:1,
198:3
Mark [1] - 88:9
marked [18] - 17:20,
17:23, 20:3, 20:6,
39:13, 95:21, 95:24,
158:10, 158:13,
160:10, 160:13,
160:14, 161:20,
161:23, 163:23, 203:8, 207:1, 246:14
marking [1] - 80:12
Marshfield [8] -
218:1, 218:3, 218:6,
218:11, 218:15,
218:23, 219:1, 219:5
material [3] - 12:25,
63:20, 65:5
materials [23] -
12:17, 19:14, 19:16,
19:17, 19:20, 24:4,
24:20, 25:10, 26:11, 26:20, 39:5, 43:19,
46:14, 48:16, 63:8,
84:16, 86:21, 96:13,
97:2, 97:3, 97:4,
128:24, 129:3
matter [5] - 13:24,
63:1, 153:10, 157:24,
243:18
matters [6] - 10:9,
14:8, 32:11, 38:17, 64:19, 247:14
maximizing [1] -
195:3

```
MAXINE [1] - 1:5
 Mayor [3] - 38:9,
38:13, 38:20
 mayor [1] - 38:16
 MCD [4] - 58:19,
58:23, 59:5, 138:2
 McLeod [60] - 3:7,
9:13, 10:3, 11:6,
11:21, 12:11, 13:2,
18:15, 18:16, 21:6,
34:23, 39:7, 44:25,
46:2, 63:22, 64:17,
64:25, 65:7, 66:24,
67:23, 68:6, 69:25,
79:18, 95:7, 96:8,
96:12, 97:1, 104:9,
112:9, 112:15, 119:7,
122:19, 126:11,
126:17, 133:5,
140:23, 145:7,
146:14, 148:13,
150:1, 150:25,
152:10, 153:11,
155:23, 171:17,
173:16, 183:6,
184:23, 219:25,
229:23, 230:3,
234:15, 235:6,
243:18, 243:22,
244:12, 245:16,
245:17, 246:1, 246:13
 MCLEOD [1] - 6:16
 mean [20] - 12:4,
13:11, 15:20, 31:3,
31:4, 43:6, 52:5,
76:19, 83:1, 93:6,
99:1, 111:16, 125:5,
135:16, 136:4,
173:23, 174:20,
202:1, 215:25, 217:18
 meaning [1] - 60:11
 means [7] - 9:7,
82:15, 230:4, 230:5,
231:8, 232:14, 232:16
 meant [5] - 51:11,
58:17, 148:8, 148:11,
 measures [1] - 16:16
 meet [14] - 32:18,
95:6, 104:6, 104:11,
122:3, 122:7, 122:10,
124:10, 126:7,
159:20, 160:3,
162:18, 197:22, 243:8
 meeting [6] - 85:5,
85:8, 85:11, 126:13,
126:18, 154:18
 meetings [26] -
22:20, 125:2, 125:8,
125:10, 125:14,
```

```
125:22, 126:2,
126:10, 126:19,
127:9, 127:10, 153:6,
153:13, 153:15,
153:18, 153:25,
154:4, 154:9, 154:13,
154:20, 154:23,
155:1, 155:4, 156:1,
156:3, 156:9
 member [6] - 53:4,
99:14, 125:16, 126:7,
155:19, 179:12
 Member [2] - 22:21,
28:1
 members [16] - 68:9,
104:12, 119:13,
125:3, 125:5, 125:10,
125:11, 125:12,
126:1, 126:4, 126:14,
127:10, 179:8,
179:14, 237:16
 Members [3] - 1:13,
2:12, 5:4
 members' [1] - 23:1
 membership [1] -
156:17
 memo [7] - 36:7,
38:8, 38:19, 38:24,
177:15, 186:10,
186:18
 Memo [13] - 29:17,
30:2, 30:11, 30:15,
30:17, 30:21, 30:24,
31:20, 36:5, 36:24,
36:25, 37:3, 40:12
 memoranda [1] -
22:22
 Memorandum [3] -
29:17, 31:8, 31:17
 memorandum [2] -
29:19, 36:15
 memorandums [3] -
30:8, 31:12, 221:12
 memorialized [4] -
11:3, 11:19, 106:14,
223:1
 memory [4] - 42:12,
103:7, 123:24, 192:5
 memos [5] - 31:10,
36:18, 36:23, 60:19,
221:14
 Memos [1] - 36:19
 mention [1] - 216:4
 mentioned [12] -
34:17, 35:23, 67:17,
88:24, 92:7, 116:12,
133:6, 148:19,
149:10, 151:23,
201:2, 201:22
```

```
merged [1] - 84:6
 message [5] -
131:10, 131:15,
148:4, 151:3, 151:7
 messaging [6] -
131:14, 148:4, 151:2,
151:6, 162:23, 201:16
 met [3] - 123:25,
125:11, 243:11
 metaphorical [1] -
222:6
 Mexican [1] - 77:12
 Mexico [1] - 79:4
 Meyer's [2] - 123:8,
123:22
 MICHAEL [3] - 1:15,
2:14, 6:17
 Michael [65] - 13:20,
31:9, 31:13, 31:19,
32:3, 32:6, 32:19,
32:22, 32:25, 33:5,
34:24, 35:3, 35:4,
46:12, 47:9, 47:14,
47:23, 48:2, 48:5,
49:3, 49:9, 49:15,
50:11, 91:11, 91:21,
91:25, 93:17, 96:24,
120:8, 120:13,
124:17, 124:21,
127:13, 127:16,
127:20, 127:23,
129:10, 129:24,
130:6, 131:18, 132:9,
132:15, 132:18,
135:13, 136:22,
137:4, 141:21,
142:10, 142:14,
143:16, 146:23,
147:6, 147:13,
147:19, 148:19,
148:21, 149:13,
151:13, 154:15,
164:14, 164:15,
164:21, 165:5, 166:4,
241:15
 mid [1] - 190:23
 might [4] - 81:19,
99:21, 203:20, 204:8
 Mike [4] - 50:15,
86:1, 86:4, 198:3
 mike [1] - 157:2
 miles [1] - 221:1
 MILLEVILLE [1] -
247:3
 Milleville [2] - 1:21,
5:8
 million [1] - 185:20
 Milwaukee [18] -
5:24, 6:11, 6:14, 30:4,
76:22, 85:13, 144:5,
```

```
144:10, 144:11,
144:15, 144:23,
212:21, 214:6, 216:9,
216:16, 216:19,
216:24, 239:15
 mind [2] - 67:20,
198:4
 mine [1] - 136:3
 minimal [1] - 106:22
 minimize [6] - 182:5,
184:9, 188:2, 189:7,
217:2, 217:4
 Minocqua [1] -
121:23
 minor [2] - 58:25,
59:2
 Minority [1] - 24:17
 minority [10] - 25:5,
108:8, 170:13, 174:9,
175:22, 176:1,
193:18, 194:2, 195:2,
212:20
 minute [3] - 27:5,
94:25, 227:8
 minutes [1] - 176:16
 miscellaneous [1] -
99:12
 mischaracterizes [1]
- 70:2
 misspeaking [1] -
195:13
 misspoke [1] -
186:17
 misstated [1] - 108:2
 modification [1] -
229:6
 modified [2] - 229:4,
229:5
 moment [1] - 232:1
 Monday [3] - 46:12,
79:7, 80:21
 months [3] - 13:24,
14:1, 32:6
 MOORE [2] - 1:6,
1:10
 Moore [1] - 7:24
 morning [12] - 7:22,
19:21, 28:21, 85:16,
95:10, 95:12, 105:23,
130:12, 150:15,
186:16, 245:25, 246:5
 Morrison [5] - 4:6,
162:2, 162:18,
162:20, 163:9
 Morrison's [3] -
162:25, 163:16,
163:19
 most [2] - 190:4,
206:4
 mostly [2] - 207:5,
```

merely [1] - 65:17

207:8 motions [1] - 64:8 motives [2] - 64:2, 126:25 mouse [3] - 137:20, 141:22, 142:16 **move** [5] - 43:13, 220:9, 222:7, 222:8, 231:24 moved [14] - 128:18, 192:8, 219:10, 219:14, 219:17, 219:24, 220:5, 220:14, 220:16, 221:9, 222:1 moving [4] - 221:22, 222:12, 222:14 MR [130] - 7:1, 7:8, 7:9, 7:11, 7:13, 7:14, 9:13, 10:3, 11:6, 11:21, 12:11, 13:2, 17:8, 17:18, 21:6, 27:2, 27:4, 28:13, 39:7, 44:25, 45:14, 45:16, 45:22, 46:2, 46:8, 63:22, 64:15, 64:17, 64:25, 65:3, 65:7, 66:24, 67:23, 68:6, 69:25, 79:18, 80:2, 80:3, 80:4, 80:7, 80:10, 80:12, 80:14, 80:16, 80:18, 94:24, 95:3. 105:3. 122:19. 126:11, 126:16, 126:17, 140:23, 145:7, 146:14, 148:10, 150:1, 152:10, 153:11, 153:24, 155:23, 156:21, 156:23, 157:1, 157:3, 157:6, 166:8, 166:12, 166:13, 166:14, 171:17, 173:16, 173:20, 176:13, 176:17, 180:1, 183:6, 183:12, 184:23, 192:1, 194:11, 204:5, 204:8, 204:10, 204:12, 206:20, 206:22, 206:23, 219:19, 219:25, 222:5, 222:10, 222:14, 222:16, 227:8, 227:11, 227:25, 228:3, 229:23, 230:1, 230:3, 230:5, 231:5, 231:10, 231:13, 234:15, 235:4, 235:6, 236:7,

238:13, 240:12, 240:21, 241:4, 243:14, 243:16, 243:18, 244:12, 245:3, 245:4, 245:14, 245:16, 245:22, 245:23, 246:1, 246:2, 246:8, 246:9, 246:10, 246:11, 246:13 MS [6] - 7:12, 109:18, 179:24, 231:12, 236:9, 246:14 multiple [9] - 16:1, 16:3, 16:16, 142:1, 208:19, 208:23, 209:2, 210:6, 210:9 municipal [5] -29:20, 30:20, 199:10, 217:8, 217:20 municipalities [9] -59:4, 107:11, 140:22, 199:18, 212:17, 217:2, 217:5, 226:9, 226:15 municipality [1] -199:24 must [2] - 85:18, 138:20 MWhite@ theshopconsulting [1] - 50:15

# Ν

name [8] - 7:22,

17:13, 53:1, 63:14, 73:19, 73:20, 106:8, 175:12 named [1] - 247:11 names [2] - 88:1, 108:16 National [2] - 197:24, 201:8 nature [13] - 12:25, 14:21, 119:18, 120:1, 144:12, 144:17, 146:9, 152:8, 153:9, 169:8, 198:11, 199:8, 220:25 near [1] - 239:14 nebulous [1] - 16:4 necessarily [1] -170:18 necessity [1] - 7:6 need [6] - 86:7, 86:9, 86:10, 140:11, 157:4, 221:4 needed [9] - 34:2, 90:22, 90:23, 91:2,

127:20, 219:10, 219:17, 219:24, 220:14 needs [2] - 191:19, 220:22 never [2] - 37:23, 233:25 **new** [18] - 17:2, 17:6, 23:2, 55:1, 57:15, 106:22, 107:9, 107:24, 108:2, 108:12, 138:15, 185:18, 197:24, 206:4, 219:10, 220:7, 220:8, 220:10 Newcomer [2] -103:4, 103:6 newcomer [2] -103:15, 103:23 next [28] - 30:1, 36:15, 37:24, 38:8, 40:24, 41:25, 42:4, 42:6, 44:12, 46:10, 48:22, 51:6, 57:6, 57:20, 57:24, 60:24, 61:14, 61:17, 62:17, 71:11, 74:8, 78:4, 78:22, 80:4, 88:17, 175:18, 185:15, 195:23 NICHOL [2] - 1:15, 2:14 night [2] - 204:24, 205:3 nine [1] - 113:22

Nine [1] - 113:22 Nine [4] - 24:16, 24:24, 25:2, 25:3 non [1] - 199:19 nor-contiguous [1] - 199:19 normal [1] - 232:21 North [2] - 5:23, 6:10 Nos [2] - 20:3, 95:21 notarial [1] - 248:2 Notary [3] - 5:9, 247:4, 248:5

247:4, 248:5 **note** [2] - 53:22, 146:17

**notes** [2] - 227:9, 242:5

nothing [6] - 17:8, 23:19, 104:3, 216:5, 244:12, 247:13 notice [1] - 168:18

**November** [9] - 99:7, 101:6, 101:25, 102:1, 102:2, 102:8, 110:2, 113:9, 167:13

**Number** [23] - 21:18, 21:21, 22:1, 22:18,

23:22, 24:16, 25:2, 25:3, 25:24, 26:7, 27:6, 30:11, 30:15, 30:24, 31:8, 31:17, 31:20, 36:15, 36:24, 106:5, 106:18, 106:25, 107:15 number [40] - 17:1, 17:5, 28:20, 41:7, 41:17, 50:5, 51:18, 53:9, 53:15, 53:23, 73:2, 74:22, 77:10, 77:14, 80:7, 83:4, 83:5, 83:8, 95:12, 100:21, 105:13, 114:8, 120:23, 167:17, 182:13, 182:17, 183:18, 184:10, 184:20, 185:3, 185:20, 187:20, 188:8, 188:9, 190:18, 220:2, 220:16, 222:14, 227:21, 230:19 numbered [5] -43:13, 57:6, 72:23, 73:3. 84:18 numbers [18] -44:23, 53:19, 53:20, 54:12, 82:8, 82:11, 82:21, 82:22, 82:24, 204:13, 204:19, 213:9, 213:10, 219:22, 221:9, 221:13, 229:12, 229:13 nuts [1] - 83:9

0

oath [2] - 7:18, 247:16 object [11] - 11:21, 12:11, 13:2, 39:7, 126:12, 153:11, 171:17, 219:25, 231:5, 234:15, 240:12 objection [25] - 7:4, 7:5, 9:14, 10:4, 10:6, 45:1, 45:12, 65:8, 66:25, 70:1, 105:3, 122:20, 140:24, 146:15, 146:17, 153:17, 155:24, 156:4, 156:6, 173:17, 183:7, 184:23, 219:19, 229:24, 238:13 **objections** [7] - 7:3,

23:14, 25:17, 26:24,

27:20, 153:22, 234:20 **objective** [4] - 64:10, 65:10, 67:8, 93:10 objectives [2] - 64:2, 127:1 observe [2] - 14:4, 131:19 obviously [4] -50:17, 58:20, 112:20, 238:19 occasions [1] -241:16 occur [4] - 119:21, 125:8, 154:13, 164:21 occurred [6] -124:21, 164:17, 184:19, 190:17, 190:20, 190:22 October [1] - 103:9 odd [2] - 43:13, 107:17 **OF** [6] - 1:1, 5:23, 6:7, 247:1, 247:2 offered [2] - 229:9, 237:13 Office [2] - 38:8, 38:19 office [47] - 31:22, 31:24, 31:25, 32:2, 32:6, 33:4, 33:8, 33:13, 33:16, 33:18, 33:23, 34:5, 34:7, 34:10, 34:18, 35:5, 35:8, 35:11, 35:17, 35:20, 35:22, 47:25, 84:5, 91:17, 91:21, 99:25, 100:3, 100:4, 100:5, 100:7, 120:9, 120:13, 124:18, 125:4, 129:12, 132:15, 132:18, 136:22, 139:16, 141:21, 142:15, 147:20, 149:12, 149:16, 149:19, 151:13, 164:22 **OFFICE** [1] - 5:23 offices [32] - 5:10, 13:12, 13:13, 13:15, 13:17, 13:19, 13:20, 31:9, 31:13, 31:20, 33:1, 49:4, 91:11, 92:1, 124:21, 127:14, 127:17, 127:21, 127:24, 129:10, 129:24, 130:6, 131:19, 135:13,

137:4, 142:10,

147:6, 147:13,

143:17, 146:23,

78 of 87 sheets 2:11-cwww.FORTHERECORDINAL 16 of 25

154:16, 247:8 official [2] - 1:14, 2:13 officials [1] - 197:23 often [2] - 52:11, 129:23 **old** [5] - 17:5, 97:17, 97:18, 226:2, 226:5 **OLGA** [1] - 2:9 **OLSON** [1] - 6:3 Olson [5] - 96:24, 96:25, 104:15, 148:22, 149:2 Olson's [1] - 148:24 once [3] - 169:13, 241:22, 241:25 One [8] - 5:11, 5:20, 6:17, 21:18, 22:5, 36:25, 40:12, 247:9 one [59] - 7:4, 15:22, 16:5, 16:7, 28:24, 41:20, 42:4, 42:6, 44:7, 44:12, 45:17, 48:19, 56:16, 58:22, 59:8, 68:7, 73:14, 73:19, 80:15, 85:2, 86:18, 88:4, 92:6, 93:20, 94:18, 97:16, 97:17, 101:24, 125:23, 131:1, 133:5, 137:4, 142:11, 154:18, 168:18, 172:9, 175:6, 186:25, 191:22, 198:17, 203:2, 205:8, 208:11, 210:10, 210:18, 210:24, 211:24, 213:17, 220:6, 220:9, 221:10, 221:23, 222:13, 228:13, 233:21, 243:18, 244:17, 245:4 ones [6] - 31:11, 47:22, 47:24, 224:14, 225:21, 242:14 open [1] - 16:12 open-ended [1] -16:12 opinion [8] - 141:8, 141:13, 157:16, 157:19, 157:21, 158:1, 194:9, 239:12 opinions [2] -217:19, 217:23 opportunity [3] -107:20, 233:9, 233:18 opposed [3] -138:11, 140:21, 192:24 option [2] - 81:22,

238:7 options [1] - 228:16 oral [1] - 234:17 order [10] - 54:17, 72:24, 95:25, 96:5, 96:21, 96:23, 97:1, 97:7, 238:21, 238:23 Order [2] - 3:19, 3:21 orders [1] - 95:17 original [5] - 4:8, 4:24, 110:4, 167:4 originally [1] - 10:12 otherwise [4] - 14:5, 141:7, 141:8, 141:13 Ottman [90] - 29:23, 30:7, 30:13, 30:24, 32:1, 33:5, 33:12, 33:22, 34:5, 34:8, 36:11, 36:17, 36:21, 36:22, 37:2, 37:17, 41:11, 42:21, 43:22, 50:11, 51:7, 53:11, 55:25, 57:8, 59:20, 59:21, 62:1, 62:5, 62:21, 71:18, 73:7, 74:21, 74:25, 75:9, 75:12, 75:23, 78:1, 78:10, 78:14, 80:23, 82:23, 86:9, 86:17, 91:18, 92:3, 92:5, 108:17, 108:20, 117:19, 117:20, 118:1, 118:11, 118:14, 118:17, 118:20, 118:22, 119:2, 119:10, 121:5, 133:8, 135:22, 135:25, 136:9, 136:13, 136:17, 137:1, 142:8, 149:18, 170:10, 175:16, 176:5, 177:2, 181:1, 181:22, 182:24, 190:3, 191:7, 192:7, 193:9, 193:13, 193:16, 196:3, 196:22, 202:16, 209:4, 211:13, 223:15, 228:14, 234:2 Ottman's [9] - 53:14, 75:4, 76:24, 137:10, 170:2, 171:11, 181:17, 194:8, 195:8 outline [6] - 81:24, 82:7, 82:16, 88:23, 88:24, 89:13 outreach [2] - 99:14, 101:13 outset [1] - 115:11

outside [20] - 13:18,

15:7, 45:7, 53:5, 55:23, 67:9, 68:18, 83:19, 120:13, 121:13, 123:3, 124:23, 124:24, 130:6, 174:18, 197:18, 237:2, 237:10, 237:17, 238:10 overall [3] - 172:3, 172:22, 219:23 overhear [2] -211:12, 243:5 overlay [2] - 81:24, 82:3 overpopulated [2] -220:21, 221:3 OWA [1] - 49:19 own [7] - 33:22, 59:21, 74:13, 100:3, 100:18, 118:14, 132:9

#### Ρ

p.m [3] - 78:17, 81:14, 246:16 package [3] - 46:10, 55:24, 67:18 packet [15] - 38:25, 39:3, 39:4, 39:14, 39:15, 39:17, 39:20, 40:14, 46:15, 47:17, 49:12, 82:17, 186:19, 187:2, 187:4 page [69] - 10:18, 11:11, 19:6, 19:7, 19:8, 19:11, 21:15, 29:15, 29:16, 30:1, 30:15, 36:15, 37:24, 38:1, 38:8, 39:24, 40:1, 40:9, 43:17, 48:2, 48:22, 49:21, 51:6, 53:18, 53:20, 53:23, 54:12, 54:19, 57:6, 57:20, 57:24, 60:24, 61:14, 61:17, 62:2, 62:18, 71:11, 78:9, 78:13, 81:11, 82:3, 82:5, 84:21, 84:25, 87:20, 88:10, 88:17, 89:10, 105:17, 106:17, 108:6, 110:1, 113:8, 113:17, 167:13, 175:7, 176:24, 176:25, 181:19, 181:20, 192:7, 204:22, 205:18, 207:6, 207:9, 236:7, 236:10 Page [37] - 10:18,

11:10, 15:12, 53:17, 54:19, 57:18, 57:20, 57:24, 61:14, 62:15, 62:18, 70:23, 71:21, 72:22, 73:2, 106:1, 106:17, 113:14, 113:21, 114:4, 170:9, 175:3, 175:7, 175:14, 176:10, 178:25, 181:16, 182:2, 183:21, 185:4, 185:6, 191:4, 191:7, 193:7, 193:15, 193:20, 195:8 Pages [8] - 3:2, 70:25, 71:5, 71:12, 71:17, 72:3, 114:7, 193:4 pages [18] - 29:8, 29:9, 54:5, 54:16, 72:23, 73:3, 78:5, 78:6, 78:25, 80:8, 84:18, 87:17, 113:21, 203:14, 203:22, 203:23, 204:14, 204:23 pagination [3] - 54:4, 54:7, 54:10 paging [1] - 228:18 paid [1] - 103:25 painfully [1] - 145:25 paired [8] - 177:24, 178:5, 178:10, 178:12. 178:13. 178:14, 178:19, 178:21 Pairings [1] - 177:3 pairings [9] - 177:5, 177:7, 177:10, 177:13, 177:17, 177:18, 178:1, 206:10, 206:15 Panel [1] - 167:9 Panzer [2] - 41:22, 41:23 paper [5] - 90:8, 128:5, 133:22, 155:3 papers [1] - 186:24 Paragraph [19] -10:19, 11:11, 22:1, 23:9, 24:16, 24:24, 25:3, 25:24, 26:7, 26:9, 27:19, 27:24, 28:10, 106:5, 106:18, 107:15, 107:22, 108:6, 108:11 paragraph [6] -22:18, 27:6, 106:6, 106:25, 107:8, 185:16 paragraphs [3] -

19:13, 19:18, 108:16

Paragraphs [1] -22:5 paraphrasing [2] -10:24, 11:14 parcel [1] - 220:8 part [32] - 40:21, 66:11, 66:12, 67:17, 83:6, 83:18, 84:3, 84:8, 85:8, 86:21, 88:15, 103:19, 125:9, 156:16, 166:18, 169:11, 171:4, 174:17, 174:22, 179:13, 181:9, 186:18, 193:24, 194:20, 199:23, 206:18, 213:12, 220:8, 236:6, 239:17, 239:21, 239:24 part-time [1] -103:19 participant [1] -77:21 participate [10] -76:1, 78:19, 81:6, 200:18, 208:2, 208:6, 208:22, 218:22, 233:9, 233:19 participated [6] -78:1, 145:13, 202:21, 230:24, 231:1, 240:4 participating [2] -240:8, 240:24 particular [25] -18:22, 42:22, 54:17, 66:15, 72:22, 72:24, 76:13, 77:19, 114:14, 115:20, 115:24, 119:6, 136:9, 141:23, 142:21, 155:21, 164:15, 168:6, 191:24, 198:25, 203:14, 209:5, 216:15, 217:1, 220:17 parties [5] - 42:24, 126:1, 229:8, 247:21, 247:24 partisan [6] - 193:10, 194:6, 194:15, 194:17, 194:23, 226:25 Party [4] - 101:17, 102:14, 102:15, 102:19 pass [1] - 156:13 passage [4] -148:25, 161:17, 184:12, 198:8 passed [11] - 42:3,

42:9, 132:21, 149:4,

127:3, 134:4, 135:23, 164:19, 184:20, 127:23 103:21, 171:8, 73:10, 74:2, 218:17 188:14, 196:18, period [4] - 14:1, 170:11, 171:24, 232:22, 233:10, practicable [2] -206:16, 207:12, 175:13, 196:15, 181:23, 186:2, 233:19, 239:10, 107:4, 108:4 233:11 196:16 187:12, 187:17, 239:20 Prairie [1] - 97:12 187:22, 188:6, 188:7, politics [1] - 103:24 past [2] - 223:13, preceding [1] - 175:7 **person** [7] - 10:20, 226:1 195:9, 195:11, pop [1] - 172:1 11:12, 18:22, 48:10, precluded [1] - 238:9 195:17, 195:25, **PAUL** [1] - 2:4 224:2, 234:8, 247:11 Population [1] predate [3] - 97:19, 196:9, 206:5, 220:6, Paul [1] - 14:20 personal [2] - 74:13, 24:17 97:21, 97:22 220:7, 232:4, 233:2 100:18 payroll [1] - 19:1 population [43] preeminent [1] -Plan [3] - 41:15, **PDF**[1] - 59:10 personally [5] - 81:6, 22:23, 22:25, 25:6, 231:21 41:20, 48:23 90:4, 137:16, 197:10, 29:12, 30:4, 41:8, pendency [1] preferable [3] planning [2] - 233:5, 200:18 77:5, 106:21, 106:22, 191:24 189:11, 237:20, 241:19 107:3, 107:5, 134:19, pending [7] - 5:5, persons [1] - 145:13 237:21 plans [8] - 64:2, 134:20, 170:13, 10:5, 157:17, 157:22, pertain [2] - 202:9, preliminary [1] -64:4, 82:8, 94:16, 171:11, 171:14, 166:23, 166:25, 204:16 32:16 127:1, 132:1, 197:20, 171:16, 172:1, 172:7, 168:10 pertained [1] - 61:12 preparation [7] -217:14 172:13, 173:1, 174:8, people [30] - 35:23, peter [2] - 95:1, 20:23, 21:9, 30:10, plant [1] - 199:20 175:21, 175:25, 50:5, 50:8, 70:21, 246:2 30:16, 36:12, 60:19, plat [1] - 40:6 185:13, 185:19, 74:16, 74:22, 100:13, Peter [11] - 4:6, 196:9 186:6, 187:13, 121:6, 121:10, 45:16, 80:3, 80:9, play [4] - 116:5, prepare [12] - 20:16, 193:18, 194:1, 195:1, 194:23, 194:24, 121:14, 125:24, 162:2, 162:18, 30:8, 41:10, 43:22, 210:22, 213:2, 213:3, 143:11, 148:12, 162:20, 227:9, 228:2, 214:12 44:19, 62:13, 64:4, 213:8, 213:10, 153:7, 183:3, 184:7, pleadings [1] - 43:18 233:14, 245:16 91:12, 104:7, 127:2, 219:12, 220:15, 184:9, 187:20, **PETER** [2] - 5:22, **plots** [1] - 94:13 159:10, 195:18 222:18, 223:1, 223:5, 190:18, 196:6, 196:8, point [18] - 12:16, prepared [13] -233:13, 240:18 198:3, 219:10, petition [3] - 167:4, 39:15, 60:21, 97:18, 27:25, 29:22, 29:24, populations [1] -219:16, 219:24, 134:22, 138:15, 167:20, 168:7 30:6, 30:24, 31:7, 121:2 220:14, 220:22, 138:16, 139:9, 31:16, 31:20, 36:10, **Petition** [1] - 167:8 221:21, 222:1, 227:16 153:23, 175:21, **portion** [2] - 89:3, 36:16, 36:19, 195:17, **PETRI** [1] - 2:4 percent [29] - 42:15, 176:1, 192:8, 192:9, 89:6 223:24 **Pfaff** [1] - 38:13 portions [4] -205:8, 210:17, 77:1, 77:2, 77:6, **Ph.D** [4] - 4:3, 4:6, preparing [4] -77:14, 172:25, 223:19, 224:15, 210:14, 211:7, 158:17, 162:2 20:14, 36:23, 70:14, 185:12, 185:18, 211:13, 211:21 232:25 195:25 phone [10] - 100:15, portray [1] - 87:21 187:13, 187:18, **pointed** [1] - 199:16 present [41] - 6:21, 100:18, 100:21, 187:22, 188:5, 188:7, Poland [7] - 3:5, portrayed [1] -100:23, 151:11, 31:16, 34:17, 34:22, 188:21, 188:24, 221:13 151:12, 151:19, 4:25, 17:12, 17:13, 35:5, 35:8, 35:11, 189:4, 189:10, position [5] - 98:15, 166:8, 243:23, 244:16 35:17, 35:19, 35:22, 151:20, 201:19 189:11, 189:14, 98:17, 102:10, POLAND [36] - 5:19, 91:15, 91:17, 91:24, phrasing [1] - 220:20 189:18, 189:21, 7:11, 17:18, 27:4, 102:15, 137:22 92:3, 92:9, 125:14, physical [2] - 13:14, 190:1, 190:10, 28:13, 45:16, 64:15, positions [1] -125:16, 125:18, 190:11, 191:1, 213:7, 65:3, 80:3, 80:7, 103:19 125:20, 125:22, physically [2] - 31:7, 233:12 80:14, 80:18, 94:24, possession [12] -136:23, 142:24, 222:12 percentage [5] -22:12, 23:10, 24:9, 126:16, 153:24, 143:16, 146:22, piece [1] - 245:24 41:7, 185:24, 186:5, 156:23, 157:3, 24:13, 25:9, 25:16, 147:6, 147:13, **Pinckney** [1] - 6:17 188:19, 191:2 166:12, 166:14, 26:6, 26:23, 39:2, 147:19, 149:12, PL [2] - 84:4, 139:15 percentages [1] -173:20, 176:17, 39:21, 46:22, 187:5 149:19, 152:22, place [4] - 124:14, 188:10 180:1, 183:12, 192:1, possibility [1] -153:1, 153:7, 153:14, 125:3, 176:18, 233:22 **Perez** [5] - 180:17, 194:11, 204:10, 215:16 153:16, 154:2, 154:7, places [1] - 93:21 180:24, 181:2, 181:6, 206:22, 222:10, possible [8] - 107:4, 156:3, 164:24, 170:2, **Plaintiffs** [11] - 1:9, 181:13 222:16, 227:8, 107:10, 107:12, 241:12, 243:13 1:11, 2:10, 3:13, 5:3, **PEREZ** [1] - 2:9 227:25, 245:3, 107:25, 188:3, 238:2, presented [2] -5:4, 5:21, 5:24, 6:5, perfect [1] - 221:5 245:23, 246:2, 246:9, 239:3, 239:6 64:12, 206:13 20:8, 246:7 246:11 perform [3] - 13:10, possibly [2] - 53:1, preservation [1] plaintiffs [2] - 7:24, Poland's [1] - 8:1 91:3, 124:10 119:7 171:8 17:14 performed [6] **policy** [3] - 99:13, postdated [1] preserve [2] - 107:3, **Plaintiffs'** [1] - 113:4 124:4, 127:12, 101:8, 101:9 148:24 128:24 plan [37] - 42:9, 127:25, 128:2, 136:1, political [17] - 8:14, potential [4] - 105:1, **pretty** [1] - 97:18 42:18, 44:7, 44:10, 10:14, 16:12, 23:25, 105:7, 157:17, 157:23 prevent [1] - 108:7 233:13 44:13, 44:15, 44:17, 26:1, 58:14, 58:17, performing [4] potentially [6] prevented [1] -57:15, 121:4, 121:20, 58:19, 58:21, 59:22, 32:16, 35:24, 91:4, 20:13, 45:10, 53:3, 229:13 124:11, 124:21,

80 of 87 shape 2:11-cwww.FORT-PERECORDINAL 18 of 25

28:10, 56:10, 96:13,

previous [10] - 12:2, 15:7, 19:4, 30:15, 57:12, 107:23, 140:14, 172:10, 217:15, 217:17 previously [6] - 17:2, 70:2, 123:6, 145:9, 152:13, 180:3 primarily [1] - 14:22 principles [4] -170:11, 171:4, 193:16, 193:25 print [4] - 54:9, 55:21, 131:4, 224:2 printed [12] - 30:25, 31:2, 49:7, 53:17, 55:17, 57:1, 60:22, 74:18, 128:6, 130:13, 150:24, 224:9 **printing** [1] - 224:3 printout [3] - 205:5, 205:11, 207:3 printouts [2] - 74:10, 226:2 privilege [43] - 9:16, 9:19, 21:7, 45:3, 45:8, 45:11, 45:23, 46:3, 63:23, 63:24, 64:9, 64:20, 65:12, 65:16, 65:19, 67:1, 67:4, 67:10, 67:24, 68:8, 68:11, 68:15, 79:19, 126:20, 126:21, 126:24, 127:5, 130:24, 145:8, 145:10, 145:16, 146:15, 150:2, 150:5, 152:11, 152:16, 244:10, 244:22, 245:10, 245:19, 245:21, 246:11 privileged [4] -45:19, 131:8, 234:19, 235:3 privileges [2] - 68:7, 68:19 problem [1] - 240:14 procedures [2] -64:3, 127:1 proceed [1] - 192:15 proceeded [1] -140:12 proceeding [1] -192:24 proceedings [1] -15:12 process [72] - 63:21, 65:6, 65:11, 65:24, 66:4, 66:9, 67:7, 69:19, 74:16, 83:24,

86:24, 87:2, 94:3, 115:12, 117:6, 121:1, 121:16, 122:1, 123:4, 128:15, 129:1, 129:18, 131:23, 136:10, 137:11, 137:23, 139:9, 140:4, 141:3, 141:20, 142:9, 142:20, 143:2, 143:17, 144:2, 146:24, 147:20, 148:1, 148:5, 148:7, 148:8, 149:3, 149:22, 149:25, 150:12, 151:21, 154:1, 154:6, 160:4, 160:7, 161:16, 163:3, 163:6, 178:5, 181:7, 182:11, 192:11, 201:9, 202:4, 206:2, 213:18, 221:22, 222:4, 225:17, 233:5, 233:10, 233:19, 239:24, 240:4, 240:8, 240:25, 241:19 produce [15] - 19:13, 24:4, 24:20, 27:15, 31:10, 56:4, 56:17, 56:20, 56:23, 59:21, 59:25, 84:2, 114:13, 244:19, 244:25 Produced [3] - 3:12, 20:7, 246:6 produced [39] - 3:14, 20:18, 22:9, 22:14, 23:6, 23:17, 24:23, 29:13, 37:1, 39:5, 47:17, 48:16, 59:14, 84:16, 128:14, 130:19, 135:14, 135:16, 135:20, 150:21, 159:17, 177:16, 179:21, 186:11, 186:15, 186:20, 200:7, 207:12, 222:3, 222:23, 223:18, 223:20, 234:23, 235:17, 236:19, 236:22, 241:7, 245:9 produces [3] - 55:14, 55:16, 74:3 producing [7] -23:19, 26:19, 28:7, 38:22, 63:9, 72:8, 86:21 Production [2] -

113:5, 114:5

production [10] -

23:14, 24:14, 25:17,

97:2, 97:3, 97:4, 130:21 program [2] -202:19, 202:23 project [1] - 13:22 pronounce [1] -73:20 **proper** [1] - 139:20 proposal [9] - 81:17, 81:19, 89:8, 89:20, 229:1, 229:3, 229:12, 230:17, 236:25 proposed [7] -88:19, 89:22, 197:19, 236:20, 236:23, 237:2, 240:18 proprietary [2] -87:3, 87:7 Prosser [1] - 44:7 provide [12] -101:12, 112:15, 114:1, 133:13, 149:21, 149:25, 159:9, 161:11, 163:8, 163:13, 163:17, 244:4 provided [17] - 4:8, 40:17, 51:22, 66:20, 84:4, 84:6, 88:16, 90:9, 94:10, 138:25, 145:10, 150:4, 194:21, 196:8, 243:24, 245:15, 245:25 provides [1] - 63:15 providing[1]-148:17 provision [3] -157:11, 165:11, 227:6 provisions [1] -166:1 public [11] - 13:18, 37:12, 79:11, 109:14, 116:13, 190:19, 190:20, 226:11, 226:13, 226:20, 226:21 **Public** [3] - 5:9, 247:4, 248:5 **pull** [1] - 105:12 purpose [15] - 57:10, 58:2, 58:10, 62:10, 65:15, 67:20, 74:24, 90:21, 126:10, 126:18, 129:14, 138:18, 151:16,

17:16, 23:14, 24:14, 25:17, 26:24, 27:20, 96:13, 97:4, 127:4, 188:5, 188:7, 247:6 put [13] - 42:21, 54:7, 55:22, 90:14, 90:16, 93:24, 137:24, 139:17, 139:19, 142:21, 202:13, 206:7, 211:3 puts [1] - 139:21 Q qualifications [1] -239:22 qualified [8] -216:10, 216:17, 227:1, 232:23, 239:9, 239:19, 240:3, 247:4 quarter [9] - 185:12, 185:18, 187:18, 188:6, 188:21, 189:11, 189:20, 189:25, 190:10 quash [1] - 64:8 query [1] - 240:1 questions [21] -7:25, 8:3, 17:15, 45:10, 45:19, 52:12, 52:15, 52:18, 58:5, 95:12, 140:14, 145:25, 163:23, 207:17, 217:16, 228:1, 228:7, 234:25, 235:22, 243:15, 245:3 quick [1] - 36:2

# R

quite [1] - 188:10

race [9] - 101:14, 101:19, 173:14, 173:24, 174:5, 174:14, 174:17, 174:18, 174:24 racial [1] - 30:4 Racine [8] - 146:3, 146:7, 146:12, 210:14, 211:7, 211:14, 211:21, 212:1 raised [1] - 168:6 **RAMIREZ** [1] - 2:9 **RAMIRO**[1] - 2:9 ran [1] - 200:6 range [3] - 172:3, 172:22, 197:16 rate [1] - 40:7 rather [2] - 188:6,

231:9 raw [1] - 41:7 Ray [2] - 34:23, 119:8 **RE** [2] - 244:15, 245:7 **RE-EXAMINATION** [2] - 244:15, 245:7 read [24] - 11:7, 11:8, 49:11, 65:1, 65:4, 110:17, 110:23, 111:19, 139:12, 140:14, 156:24, 156:25, 173:20, 173:22, 183:12, 183:14, 192:1, 192:3, 194:11, 194:13, 214:19, 214:21, 241:4, 241:6 reading [2] - 11:5, 192:4 real [1] - 36:2 really [6] - 7:25, 103:7, 171:21, 204:3, 225:6, 225:11 reapportionment [11] - 120:16, 120:21, 120:22, 170:11, 170:19, 170:21, 170:24, 171:1, 181:23, 195:9, 195:10 reason [11] - 44:21, 48:14, 60:9, 60:10, 60:12, 72:15, 140:3, 140:17, 190:10, 236:25, 244:25 reasonable [2] -238:22, 238:25 reasons [4] - 63:24, 126:20, 190:12, 191:22 receive [15] - 9:11, 18:6, 47:8, 47:12, 86:7, 86:9, 86:10, 96:4, 96:7, 116:8, 226:8, 226:14, 226:18, 245:18, 245:19 received [11] - 9:8, 9:21, 12:19, 18:11, 30:19, 47:22, 47:24, 49:4, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24 recently [1] - 132:23 **Recess** [4] - 28:15, 95:4, 176:20, 203:6 recipient [1] - 245:11

recognized [1] -

recollection [1] -

107:13

purposes [4] - 8:5,

pursuant [13] - 5:7,

12:9, 54:13, 55:18

155:12, 163:10

184:3	149:22, 149:25,	74:1, 77:18, 82:4,	released [3] -	93:23
recommendations	150:11, 151:4,	126:13, 156:8,	138:21, 139:6, 139:8	represent [5] - 7:23,
[2] - 242:16, 242:19	151:17, 151:21,	166:10, 173:6, 177:7,	relevant [1] - 90:22	17:13, 89:7, 161:1,
record [10] - 19:24,	151:24, 152:4, 152:5,	192:14, 195:20,	Relief [1] - 109:22	207:11
40:2, 54:14, 166:9,	152:6, 152:9, 152:24,	196:4, 196:5, 221:25,	remainder [2] -	representation [1] -
204:6, 219:8, 226:12,	153:7, 154:1, 154:6,	246:12	72:16, 73:4	195:3
226:14, 236:6, 247:18	154:10, 154:11,	refers [3] - 171:11,	remaining [1] - 72:21	representative [5] -
records [2] - 22:7,	155:11, 155:18,	192:7, 195:9	_	36:2, 81:9, 102:4,
25:8	160:4, 160:7, 161:3,	reflect [5] - 88:18,	remember [32] -	168:1, 169:7
	161:16, 163:3, 163:6,	90:24, 194:6, 194:15,	105:25, 106:2,	Representative [16] -
red [4] - 204:19,	166:24, 167:1,	227:16	122:11, 122:12,	
204:25, 205:15,	170:19, 170:20,		137:6, 137:9, 140:15,	35:14, 42:13, 97:22,
205:19		reflected [20] -	142:23, 143:3, 143:9,	98:17, 98:23, 98:24,
redistrict [1] -	170:24, 171:2, 171:5,	72:19, 82:16, 87:23,	144:13, 153:8, 162:9,	99:5, 99:10, 101:15,
191:23	173:2, 173:4, 173:7,	88:14, 89:14, 89:16,	165:9, 167:6, 167:21,	123:8, 123:21,
Redistricting [1] -	173:8, 177:11,	90:25, 108:13,	168:8, 168:14, 169:1,	125:12, 125:18,
48:23	179:13, 180:24,	146:13, 166:16,	180:25, 181:4, 183:2,	153:3, 168:2
redistricting [193] -	181:3, 181:7, 181:14,	174:16, 179:20,	186:14, 189:24,	representatives [3] -
10:8, 10:10, 10:15,	192:16, 195:11,	191:3, 194:5, 194:14,	190:2, 190:8, 193:3,	22:22, 180:7, 197:23
10:21, 11:13, 12:10,	195:25, 196:12,	215:1, 226:12,	201:14, 201:25,	representing [2] -
12:22, 13:1, 13:8,	197:20, 201:5, 201:9,	226:13, 226:23,	211:11, 241:13, 244:1	18:16, 206:3
13:9, 13:16, 14:4,	201:13, 201:17,	227:19	repeat [1] - 157:7	represents [1] -
14:8, 14:11, 14:25,	202:3, 202:10,	reflecting [2] - 79:3,	rephrase [6] - 12:15,	205:12
15:2, 15:4, 15:21,	202:18, 202:22,	241:8	144:8, 230:25, 238:1,	republican [7] -
16:15, 17:4, 32:4,	203:3, 206:2, 208:10,	reflects [2] - 66:7,	238:6, 240:22	44:10, 126:4, 126:7,
32:8, 32:10, 32:13,	209:7, 213:18, 216:3,	177:16	reply [1] - 61:25	156:17, 178:16,
32:17, 32:20, 33:9,	217:23, 220:7,	refresh [2] - 123:24,	report [37] - 4:3, 4:4,	195:3, 206:15
33:14, 34:19, 35:25,	220:20, 220:25,	184:3	4:6, 8:15, 30:25, 37:1,	Republican [8] -
38:16, 42:9, 42:10,	221:2, 221:20,	refreshed [1] - 192:5	55:2, 55:10, 55:11,	101:17, 101:18,
43:2, 43:7, 43:8,	221:21, 223:8,	regard [2] - 45:22,	55:15, 55:16, 55:20,	102:14, 102:15,
43:11, 43:20, 46:20,	224:19, 226:2, 226:6,	231:22	55:22, 57:13, 57:15,	102:17, 102:19,
50:14, 51:17, 52:9,	231:22, 232:3, 233:2,	regarding [25] -	57:17, 57:22, 59:10,	197:23, 201:7
55:18, 63:21, 64:4,	233:5, 237:4, 237:7,	14:22, 25:1, 46:20,	59:14, 59:21, 158:16,	republicans [6] -
65:6, 65:11, 65:23,	237:16, 239:24,	57:12, 58:5, 79:5,	159:4, 159:10,	178:12, 178:13,
66:4, 66:9, 67:7,	240:4, 240:8, 240:24	130:16, 131:12,	159:14, 159:16,	178:14, 178:21,
69:19, 74:16, 82:19,	redraw [3] - 121:1,	131:16, 148:17,	161:2, 163:10,	178:22, 226:25
83:23, 84:5, 86:24,	141:4, 229:14	150:3, 150:11, 151:3,	163:16, 163:20,	Request [2] - 113:5,
87:2, 94:3, 99:17,	redrawing [3] -	152:4, 152:5, 152:6,	182:12, 182:16,	114:4
104:3, 104:4, 107:10,	89:21, 229:10, 237:23	152:24, 153:7, 154:6,	199:21, 222:1,	request [5] - 43:22,
111:9, 111:14,	reduced [1] - 247:16	166:24, 167:1,	222:24, 224:4, 224:15	55:6, 75:10, 75:18,
114:23, 115:6,	reelection [6] -	182:17, 201:12,	Report [1] - 162:1	91:6
115:12, 116:5,	101:16, 123:9,	242:5, 243:8	reporter [9] - 8:5,	requested [6] -
116:10, 120:12,	123:14, 123:16,	regards [2] - 59:5,	11:8, 65:4, 156:24,	•
120:16, 120:22,	123:18, 123:22	233:8	158:13, 160:13,	22:12, 50:25, 51:1,
120:25, 121:4,	refer [9] - 10:17,	<b>REID</b> [1] - 2:5		51:2, 55:4, 198:22
121:16, 121:20,	11:10, 12:2, 54:12,	Reinhart [1] - 160:21	160:14, 161:23, 194:13	requests [2] - 114:8, 114:14
122:1, 123:4, 124:3,	58:23, 79:13, 207:5,			
124:4, 124:11,	207:8, 230:16	REINHART [1] - 6:10	Reporter [3] - 1:21,	require [1] - 216:12
124:15, 124:20,	reference [11] - 58:6,	rejected [1] - 236:25	5:8, 247:3	required [5] - 89:21,
127:3, 127:13,	58:7, 73:24, 140:8,	relate [2] - 71:2,	reporting [1] - 55:7	115:18, 229:10,
127:17, 128:14,	140:15, 175:8, 175:9,	126:24	reports [28] - 55:17,	237:23, 238:4
128:25, 129:16,	177:2, 183:25, 184:1,	related [3] - 32:16,	56:4, 56:7, 56:9,	requirement [1] -
	205:10	235:1, 247:20	56:15, 56:18, 56:20,	173:2
129:18, 130:6, 130:9,	references [2] -	relates [9] - 16:15,	57:1, 60:7, 60:11,	requirements [2] -
130:17, 131:12,		16:20, 46:5, 64:18,	60:16, 60:21, 64:3,	219:12, 220:15
131:16, 134:4,	58:14, 204:4	66:25, 71:8, 71:14,	127:1, 128:6, 158:8,	requires [3] - 79:20,
135:23, 137:2,	referencing [1] -	155:24, 234:22	199:25, 200:1, 200:6,	216:2, 239:18
137:11, 138:18,	188:9	relating [3] - 71:19,	217:12, 222:3,	requiring [1] - 197:5
139:16, 143:17,	referred [3] - 50:1,	71:22, 174:24	222:23, 223:16,	Research [1] - 85:17
144:2, 146:24,	59:11, 94:17	relations [1] - 101:13	223:21, 223:24,	reside [1] - 97:11
147:20, 147:25,	referring [18] - 15:11,	relative [1] - 247:23	223:25, 224:8, 241:7	residence [3] - 23:2,
148:8, 148:18, 149:2,	39:17, 58:23, 73:25,	relatives [1] - 121:23	repository [1] -	88:12, 88:13
	İ	İ	i e	İ

residents [5] - 220:5, 220:9, 221:9, 221:22, 222:7 respect [27] - 7:3, 10:6, 21:9, 25:24, 32:3, 32:13, 42:22, 43:3, 45:19, 69:17, 69:18, 85:12, 110:14, 111:14, 135:6. 136:12, 145:2, 146:2, 181:13, 182:21, 201:5, 209:13, 214:7, 215:4, 225:3, 226:4, 231:6 respond [1] - 114:1 responded [2] -114:17, 229:11 responding [1] -75:9 Response [3] - 3:12, 20:8, 246:6 response [3] - 68:13, 114:14, 214:25 responses [2] - 21:3, 153:21 responsibility [2] -136:3, 209:5 Responsive [2] -3:16, 92:24 responsive [6] -20:20, 93:2, 234:23, 243:25, 244:5, 244:18 rest [1] - 36:18 restate [2] - 146:16, 183:11 result [11] - 69:7, 77:11, 111:11, 111:15, 177:8, 178:22, 183:17, 185:9, 190:16, 229:17, 238:4 resulted [3] - 66:10, 161:16, 208:7 resulting [1] - 121:3 results [4] - 63:17, 66:8, 69:15, 194:20 retain [4] - 56:25, 60:6, 60:9, 60:12 retained [2] - 87:1, 135:9 retaining [1] - 159:22 retention [12] -16:20, 16:25, 17:4, 134:7, 134:8, 134:23, 134:24, 135:2, 135:6, 222:19, 223:1, 223:5 returned [2] - 12:7, 101:22 review [2] - 21:3, 107:22

reviewed [4] - 10:25, 11:16, 106:20, 117:1 reviewing [2] -106:11, 107:2 revised [1] - 60:12 revisions [2] - 72:18, 117:3 rhythm [1] - 25:1 **RIBBLE** [1] - 2:5 **RICHARD** [2] - 1:6 Richards [1] - 88:9 rights [2] - 231:22, 231:23 Rights [8] - 215:24, 216:2, 216:6, 216:8, 216:12, 216:14, 216:19, 216:24 ripple [1] - 221:2 **RISSEEUW** [1] - 1:7 Rivas [6] - 81:2, 81:4. 81:13. 84:23. 180:11, 180:14 RMD [1] - 2:12 **RNC** [3] - 201:11, 201:16, 202:14 **Robin** [5] - 35:11, 143:13, 146:6, 147:12, 147:13 **ROBSON** [1] - 1:7 **ROCHELLE** [1] - 1:6 Rodriguez [10] -37:9, 37:15, 37:18, 37:21, 37:23, 180:20, 180:24, 181:2, 181:6, 181:13 **ROGERS** [1] - 1:7 role [5] - 116:4, 136:14, 137:10, 182:11, 214:12 **RON** [1] - 1:4 Ronald [2] - 4:3, 158:17 **RONALD** [2] - 1:3, 1:10 room [4] - 31:23, 125:13, 132:25, 133:8 rough [3] - 97:24, 102:21, 115:1 roughly [7] - 92:25, 101:23, 137:8, 164:20, 172:16, 172:18, 190:17 round [2] - 10:10, 125:2 rounds [1] - 127:10 row [3] - 41:23,

41:25, 42:11

44:4

rows [2] - 41:17,

Roy [3] - 102:4,

102:10, 102:12 Rule [2] - 105:19, 108:22 run [6] - 101:14, 101:19, 182:12, 182:16, 199:21, 199:25 Ryan [4] - 14:20, 52:20, 62:1, 73:11 RYAN [1] - 2:4 résumé [1] - 97:15 S S-p-e-t-h [1] - 14:18 S.C [5] - 5:10, 5:19, 6:3, 6:10, 247:8 safe [1] - 139:13 salaried [1] - 197:4 **salary** [2] - 116:7, 116:9 **SANCHEZ** [1] - 1:7 SANCHEZ-BELL [1] - 1:7 **Sarah** [4] - 34:24, 34:25, 148:14 save [4] - 127:24, 128:2, 128:5, 128:8 saved [2] - 129:9, 200:9 **saw** [11] - 20:25, 38:3, 38:5, 132:17, 146:23, 150:14, 150:17, 159:1, 180:3, 215:1, 224:15 SB [7] - 29:3, 29:14, 29:17, 29:21, 30:1, 36:6, 42:6 scanned [4] - 80:8, 93:6, 95:1, 246:3 scenario [1] - 220:21 **SCHLIEPP** [1] - 1:7 school [5] - 16:11, 98:8, 98:13, 225:12, 225:18 scientist [3] -232:23, 239:10, 239:20 scope [13] - 45:3, 45:7, 45:23, 64:19, 65:16, 67:3, 67:10, 68:14, 68:18, 83:19, 126:23, 150:5, 152:15 **SCOTT** [1] - 6:3 **Scott** [7] - 6:19, 7:23,

35:3, 148:19 seal [1] - 248:2 **SEAN** [1] - 2:5 search [4] - 19:17, 23:8, 24:8, 25:8 searched [1] - 22:6 seats [2] - 120:24 Second [1] - 109:21 second [11] - 19:7, 28:14, 41:23, 45:17, 49:1, 73:16, 87:20, 105:17, 192:8, 227:10, 236:9 **Section** [4] - 164:3, 165:17, 166:20, 166:21 section [1] - 165:18 sections [5] -216:11, 216:13, 216:15, 216:18, 216:23 see [121] - 18:1, 18:8, 18:10, 19:11, 20:12, 20:25, 21:19, 21:22, 23:2, 24:2, 26:12, 27:8, 29:17, 36:6, 37:4, 38:9, 41:1, 41:13, 41:20, 42:25, 44:4, 49:23, 50:6, 53:18, 54:22, 54:25, 55:2, 58:15, 59:11, 61:1, 62:8, 63:3, 72:18, 75:15, 76:24, 77:2, 80:8, 81:12, 81:16, 81:25, 82:9, 85:3, 85:4, 85:6, 85:19, 89:3, 89:11, 89:17, 92:21, 95:1, 95:19, 95:24, 96:2, 96:18, 96:21, 105:18, 105:19, 106:5, 106:8, 106:17, 108:15, 108:22, 109:10, 109:20, 110:1, 110:4, 111:23, 113:3, 113:6, 113:8, 113:15, 113:22, 114:8, 158:16, 158:23, 159:7, 160:19, 160:21, 162:8, 162:10, 164:4, 167:10, 167:13, 170:5, 170:14, 171:12, 175:8, 175:19, 175:22, 177:1, 177:4, 177:5, 181:22, 182:3, 183:25, 184:1, 185:13, 185:15,

Screnock [3] - 34:24, 185:21, 187:17, 191:20, 192:7, 192:12, 193:8, 193:10, 193:20, 203:22, 204:4, 204:13, 204:22, 204:25, 205:7, 207:22, 208:10, 211:12, 218:3, 218:9, 219:21, 223:18, 236:11, 236:15 seeing [3] - 57:13, 72:13, 220:2 seeks [1] - 45:5 select [1] - 138:1 selecting [1] -142:20 **seminar** [1] - 202:13 Senate [3] - 6:18, 31:12, 62:7 senate [17] - 42:10, 43:12, 43:13, 58:22, 94:16, 107:17, 132:1, 156:14, 156:20, 157:9, 175:19, 175:20, 190:22, 212:14, 212:15, 217:14, 225:25 Senator [12] - 35:8, 39:1, 143:13, 146:5, 146:6, 147:5, 147:18, 147:19, 153:4, 193:8 **senator** [1] - 35:16 send [8] - 47:8, 47:12, 87:14, 198:14, 198:20, 200:3, 200:15, 242:9 sender [1] - 245:12 sending [2] - 74:24, 79:16 sense [1] - 112:20 **SENSENBRENNER** sensitive [1] - 195:1 sensitivity [3] -170:13, 193:18, 194:2 sent [15] - 9:11, 9:20, 47:3, 47:24, 58:11, 67:18, 79:7, 86:20, 198:13, 199:6, 200:11, 200:13, 201:1, 228:10, 246:4 separate [1] - 10:5 September [5] -101:21. 101:23. 101:25, 102:1, 103:9 served [1] - 97:5 serves [1] - 42:12 service [2] - 101:14, 101:21

36:2, 103:4, 103:6,

screen [3] - 31:4,

151:24, 154:1

137:22, 138:5

ı	VID	EOTAPE DEPO
	serving [1] - 116:7 session [1] - 216:6	<b>simply</b> [4] - 56:12, 61:19, 195:13, 239:2
	<b>set</b> [18] - 40:21, 46:9,	<b>single</b> [2] - 212:23,
	63:24, 74:9, 88:15,	218:16
	88:18, 90:7, 92:12, 97:10, 126:20, 145:9,	Sinicki [1] - 88:8 sit [1] - 190:9
	145:16, 160:9,	site [1] - 93:24
	161:19, 163:22,	sites [1] - 13:10
	205:25, 235:22, 248:1	sits [1] - 243:10
	<b>Set</b> [1] - 113:4	sitting [2] - 117:13,
	setting [1] - 112:19	195:23
	settling [1] - 197:11	<b>six</b> [6] - 43:11,
	<b>Seven</b> [7] - 22:18,	213:22, 213:23,
	23:9, 26:7, 36:19,	214:1, 214:4, 215:16
	36:20, 36:24, 37:3	<b>Six</b> [4] - 22:1, 22:5,
	seven [1] - 219:16 several [2] - 74:10,	36:19
	237:24	six-year [1] - 43:11 skips [1] - 53:25
	sewer [1] - 199:20	slightly [1] - 229:13
	shape [1] - 222:15	Slow [1] - 51:9
	<b>shaped</b> [1] - 94:13	slow [1] - 51:11
	<b>share</b> [1] - 100:3	smallest [1] - 142:1
	<b>shared</b> [1] - 33:16	smart [1] - 231:14
	<b>Shawn</b> [1] - 38:13	snafu [1] - 74:7
	<b>sheet</b> [2] - 187:9,	social [2] - 15:13,
	197:7	15:20
	sheets [3] - 197:3,	software [27] - 30:22
	227:13, 227:20	51:13, 51:14, 51:17,
	SHEILA [1] - 1:4 shifted [1] - 107:17	51:20, 52:12, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 53:7,
	shooting [1] - 187:23	53:14, 56:21, 74:7,
	<b>Shop</b> [1] - 50:16	82:25, 83:1, 83:6,
	<b>show</b> [8] - 10:17,	87:6, 132:7, 137:14,
	11:9, 114:19, 197:19,	138:22, 138:24,
	199:22, 203:15,	138:25, 139:5,
	204:8, 217:12	142:17, 222:20,
	<b>showing</b> [1] - 74:25	223:4, 223:8
	<b>shown</b> [5] - 81:22,	solicit [1] - 206:2
	81:24, 82:6, 82:7, 210:12	<b>solid</b> [5] - 89:9, 89:12, 89:13, 89:15,
	SHRINER [15] - 6:13,	236:21
	7:13, 27:2, 80:12,	<b>someone</b> [3] - 86:3,
	80:16, 148:10,	86:4, 100:4
	176:13, 204:5,	sometime [5] -
	204:12, 206:20,	10:12, 18:11, 115:4,
	206:23, 222:5,	159:1, 190:23
	222:14, 231:13, 236:7	sometimes [12] -
	<b>side</b> [9] - 40:22, 46:9,	74:17, 120:11, 128:7
	90:7, 92:12, 97:10, 160:9, 161:19,	128:10, 129:13, 130:4, 145:25, 177:3
	205:25, 239:14	177:10, 197:1, 197:2
	signed [5] - 10:23,	somewhat [1] -
	11:13, 86:6, 89:24,	222:6
	156:14	<b>sorry</b> [32] - 19:7,
	significant [2] -	22:17, 24:25, 29:15,
	111:5, 111:8	33:11, 35:15, 47:11,
	significantly [2] -	48:25, 58:8, 62:21,
	184:10, 206:4	69:20, 86:10, 98:21,
	similar [1] - 195:1	105:5, 108:2, 113:3, 113:16, 117:24,
	simple [1] - 240:1	113.10, 117.24,

```
simply [4] - 56:12,
1:19, 195:13, 239:23
single [2] - 212:23,
18:16
Sinicki [1] - 88:8
sit [1] - 190:9
site [1] - 93:24
sites [1] - 13:10
sits [1] - 243:10
sitting [2] - 117:13,
95:23
six [6] - 43:11,
13:22, 213:23,
14:1, 214:4, 215:16
Six [4] - 22:1, 22:5,
six-year [1] - 43:11
skips [1] - 53:25
slightly [1] - 229:13
Slow [1] - 51:9
slow [1] - 51:11
smallest [1] - 142:1
smart [1] - 231:14
snafu [1] - 74:7
social [2] - 15:13,
5:20
software [27] - 30:22,
1:13, 51:14, 51:17,
1:20, 52:12, 52:16,
2:19, 52:25, 53:7,
3:14, 56:21, 74:7,
2:25, 83:1, 83:6,
7:6, 132:7, 137:14,
38:22, 138:24,
38:25, 139:5,
42:17, 222:20,
23:4, 223:8
solicit [1] - 206:2
solid [5] - 89:9,
9:12, 89:13, 89:15,
36:21
someone [3] - 86:3,
6:4, 100:4
sometime [5] -
0:12, 18:11, 115:4,
59:1, 190:23
sometimes [12] -
4:17, 120:11, 128:7,
28:10, 129:13,
30:4, 145:25, 177:3,
77:10, 197:1, 197:2
somewhat [1] -
22:6
sorry [32] - 19:7,
2:17, 24:25, 29:15,
3:11, 35:15, 47:11,
8:25, 58:8, 62:21,
9:20, 86:10, 98:21,
```

```
128:1, 140:13, 148:7,
154:3, 155:8, 165:18,
186:11, 186:17,
191:25, 208:5, 210:7,
215:25, 223:9, 233:3
 sort [4] - 67:8, 99:15,
153:16, 216:5
 sound [2] - 157:4,
177:19
 sounds [1] - 177:21
 source [2] - 235:15,
235:19
 South [1] - 6:17
 south [1] - 239:14
 spacial [1] - 220:25
 Speaker [15] - 6:19,
18:24, 35:5, 111:5,
115:8, 115:16, 116:8,
119:13, 119:25,
120:5, 122:8, 122:14,
143:12, 146:5, 146:22
 speaker [7] - 8:17,
8:21, 19:2, 32:15,
115:7, 119:14, 230:10
 speaker's [3] -
100:5, 100:7, 125:4
 speaking [12] -
60:16, 60:17, 63:13,
76:10, 83:10, 93:8,
137:10, 149:21,
149:24, 209:21,
219:9, 227:16
 speaks [1] - 165:12
 special [1] - 103:4
 specific [37] - 9:25,
33:4, 33:12, 34:21,
46:4, 48:12, 48:14,
65:21, 67:20, 69:12,
69:17, 131:25, 134:3,
134:20, 134:24,
135:5, 136:12,
140:15, 140:16,
145:14, 149:16,
152:8, 152:14,
154:11, 155:15,
156:1, 156:2, 172:4,
174:10, 209:4,
220:13, 224:13,
224:14, 225:7,
225:21, 225:23,
232:24
 specifically [24] -
11:25, 34:1, 43:3,
44:22, 49:2, 59:8,
66:3, 104:14, 121:18,
126:21, 131:22,
134:16, 137:18,
153:12, 156:8,
165:17, 170:9, 173:6,
```

```
224:11, 225:3, 226:4,
227:2
 speculation [1] -
232:17
 spell [1] - 14:17
 spend [1] - 13:21
 spent [1] - 196:12
 Speth [2] - 14:14,
14:16
 split [20] - 58:6, 58:8,
58:14, 58:17, 58:20,
59:22, 143:10,
207:22, 207:25,
208:19, 210:6, 210:9,
210:11, 211:1,
212:18, 218:3, 218:6,
218:11, 219:1, 231:24
 splits [7] - 29:20,
30:20, 134:6, 134:17,
217:9, 217:12, 217:20
 splitting [9] - 208:3,
208:7, 208:15,
208:23, 209:2, 217:2,
217:5, 218:23, 219:5
 spoken [8] - 37:15,
38:13, 38:16, 159:25,
161:6, 161:8, 162:20,
182:23
 sprawling [1] - 89:18
 spreadsheet [12] -
48:13, 48:15, 63:5,
63:8, 63:13, 71:3,
71:9, 71:15, 71:19,
71:23, 72:9, 72:19
 Spreadsheet [2] -
63:2, 63:12
 spreadsheets [2] -
26:11, 72:11
 spring [1] - 202:8
 squarely [1] - 150:4
 Squires [14] - 52:20,
52:21, 52:24, 61:22,
61:23, 61:24, 62:1,
62:20, 69:1, 69:3,
71:18, 73:7, 73:11,
73:25
 ss [1] - 247:1
 stack [5] - 19:24,
28:25, 40:7, 47:19,
74:9
 stacks [2] - 105:13,
171:23
 Stadtmueller [2] -
3:20, 3:22
 Stadtmueller's [1] -
64:7
 staff [7] - 14:20,
50:18, 85:16, 116:8,
119:14, 120:3, 120:5
```

Staff [2] - 22:21, 28:1

```
staffer [2] - 111:5,
227:13
 staffers [1] - 15:6
 staggered [1] - 43:9
 stamp [1] - 96:1
 stand [1] - 7:4
 standalone [2] -
156:7, 216:5
 standard [10] -
55:23, 66:19, 88:15,
171:14, 171:15,
171:16, 171:25,
172:4, 187:23, 189:15
 standards [4] -
171:22, 199:9.
199:12, 199:15
 stands [2] - 42:14,
58:25
 stapled [10] - 29:6,
29:7, 29:8, 46:11,
78:6, 78:22, 81:11,
87:17, 88:18
 start [4] - 32:2,
32:10, 122:17, 233:22
 started [10] - 32:22,
32:25, 97:19, 99:10,
104:4, 117:25, 122:7,
122:25, 137:7, 138:17
 starting [1] - 98:22
 starts [1] - 54:25
 state [34] - 8:4, 11:2,
11:18, 18:22, 19:3,
22:21, 42:3, 42:9,
43:12, 46:25, 47:6,
47:11, 49:8, 49:13,
49:17, 69:20, 99:25,
106:14, 119:23,
120:25, 136:13,
140:4, 156:13,
156:14, 158:2,
173:19, 194:10,
197:18, 197:20,
209:5, 217:9, 220:24,
225:24, 227:2
 State [14] - 5:9, 5:12,
6:18, 8:10, 18:20,
62:7, 101:14, 101:21,
191:18, 191:19,
197:4, 247:5, 247:10,
248:5
 STATE [2] - 6:7,
247:1
 State's [1] - 185:13
 state's [1] - 186:5
 statement [9] - 11:4,
11:20, 106:15,
106:20, 107:15,
170:17, 175:18,
192:13, 234:14
 statements [2] -
```

180:9, 192:19,

168:5, 234:12 states [5] - 22:20, 29:2, 29:16, 85:15, **STATES** [1] - 1:1 States [2] - 5:6, 187:17 230:6 Statewide [2] - 3:18, 94:8 statewide [1] - 219:9 statistics [2] - 12:9, 12:25 Statistics [1] - 29:3 **Statute** [1] - 164:3 statute [8] - 164:7, 164:19, 165:2, 165:12, 165:14, 92:24, 246:6 165:22, 166:10, 184:8 statutes [3] - 166:15, 166:22, 191:10 statutory [1] - 165:10 steps [3] - 62:13, 217:1, 217:4 sticker [1] - 92:17 still [14] - 47:5, 56:7, 60:4, 61:7, 111:3, 195:1 128:11, 129:9, 129:12, 130:15, 170:20 132:14, 135:12, 163:19, 176:23, 233:13 232:11 stood [1] - 10:6 store [1] - 87:4 **Strategies** [4] - 4:5, 154:6 160:24, 161:9, 161:13 streamline [1] -57:22 81:19, 81:23 streamlined [5] -55:7, 55:9, 56:2, 56:14, 56:18 Street [8] - 5:11, 41:5 5:20, 5:23, 6:4, 6:7, 6:10, 6:17, 247:9 29:20, 41:4 strike [18] - 15:1, 37:20, 59:20, 72:2, 169:24 83:7, 113:13, 133:16, 143:25, 144:8, 154:4, 166:24, 184:7, 194:17, 201:1, 209:23, 226:24, 237:25, 238:5 studies [1] - 98:12 141:4 subdivision [4] -16:12, 58:19, 58:21, 103:21 subdivisions [4] -**Supreme** [2] - 167:2, 58:14, 58:17, 59:22, 167:5 171:8 **supreme** [1] - 167:22 subject [13] - 9:15, **survive** [1] - 156:15 48:23, 61:9, 62:7, **SUSAN** [1] - 247:3

63:1, 63:11, 64:12, 69:11, 145:15, 153:9, 156:6, 228:19, 244:10 submissions [7] -41:4, 41:6, 42:23, 43:16, 44:2, 44:20, Submissions [2] -41:13, 187:10 submit [1] - 37:22 submitted [12] -37:8, 37:10, 37:13, 39:18, 43:19, 44:7, 63:25, 117:3, 145:2, 159:5, 159:7, 161:2 Subpoena [6] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:16, 20:8, subpoena [12] - 5:7, 17:16, 18:1, 20:20, 22:13, 93:3, 96:13, 97:5, 243:25, 244:5, 244:19, 247:6 substance [3] -150:3, 234:21, 235:7 substantially [1] substitute [1] successfully [1] -Suder [8] - 36:2, 151:24, 152:1, 152:3, 152:9, 152:21, 154:1, suggest [1] - 70:17 suggestion [2] -Suite [6] - 5:20, 5:23, 6:4, 6:11, 6:17, 6:22 summarizing [1] summary [3] - 29:12, summer [2] - 52:4, **summoned** [1] - 27:3 **Sun** [1] - 97:12 Sunday [4] - 74:19, 75:4, 78:8, 228:20 supervisory [1] **support** [1] - 61:20 suppose [2] -232:13, 232:16

**Susan** [2] - 1:21, 5:8 sworn [2] - 7:17, 247:12

systems [1] - 50:3 T table [13] - 7:6, 40:9, 40:24, 41:12, 41:18, 42:22, 43:16, 43:23, 44:2, 44:19, 186:20, 187:9, 187:16 Tad [21] - 29:23, 30:7, 32:1, 36:11, 36:17, 36:21, 37:2, 41:11, 50:11, 51:3, 55:1, 62:1, 73:12, 74:25, 82:23, 86:9, 86:17, 108:17, 117:19, 182:24, 196:24 **Tad's** [1] - 136:3 Taffora [3] - 34:23, 119:8, 148:14 **TAMMY** [1] - 1:10 target [1] - 172:4 task [1] - 99:24 tasked [6] - 8:21, 11:25, 48:10, 99:16, 111:9, 201:8 tasks [5] - 32:16, 99:11, 101:11, 108:15, 108:19 team [9] - 52:22, 53:4, 82:19, 104:12, 104:13, 180:23, 237:4, 237:7, 237:16 tech [1] - 225:13 technical [7] - 52:12, 52:15, 52:18, 52:24, 53:5, 61:20, 74:4 technically [2] -18:25, 19:1 techniques [1] -239:18 Technology [1] -192:8 technology [1] -99:14 telephone [1] - 5:24 template [1] - 81:24 temporarily [1] -185:8 ten [6] - 42:24, 115:19, 171:24, 172:23, 176:16,

tendency [1] -199:18 term [10] - 9:9, 16:4, 16:13, 16:14, 16:20, 82:20, 112:21, 225:6, 230:8, 231:19 terms [8] - 15:21, 43:9, 64:13, 124:3, 137:17, 149:9, 149:16, 155:25 testified [19] - 7:18, 12:16, 15:8, 18:19, 39:14, 99:21, 109:2, 114:22, 117:23, 170:4, 173:11, 179:11, 187:5, 188:18, 190:14, 190:18, 222:4, 226:11, 227:12 testifies [1] - 170:10 testify [3] - 116:13, 117:20, 247:12 testifying [4] - 109:4, 175:16, 181:23, 191:8 testimony [59] -13:18, 18:1, 37:8, 38:6, 38:12, 39:18, 60:20, 90:18, 95:9, 108:25, 116:23, 117:1, 117:4, 117:8, 117:14, 118:2, 118:12, 118:15, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23, 119:1, 119:10, 124:23, 124:24, 124:25, 125:2, 127:11, 140:7, 140:16, 169:24, 170:2, 170:8, 171:11, 177:5, 177:15, 178:25, 181:8, 181:11, 181:18, 184:18, 185:3, 185:5, 185:13, 186:1, 188:16, 190:24, 191:3, 191:15, 191:20, 192:4, 193:15, 193:21, 193:23, 194:8, 195:8, 226:13, 228:8, 247:18 testing [1] - 103:7 text [6] - 131:10, 148:4, 151:2, 162:22, 201:16 texting [1] - 101:3 THE [1] - 214:19 themselves [3] -136:5, 166:22, 198:9 thereupon [1] -247:15

thick [1] - 167:17 third [2] - 33:25, 49:21 THOMAS [6] - 1:15, 1:16, 2:4, 2:14, 2:15, thoroughly [1] - 8:2 Three [3] - 30:2, 30:11, 167:9 three [10] - 33:21, 44:4, 88:1, 142:12, 170:10, 206:25, 212:15, 228:15, 228:25, 229:1 throughout [1] -175:10 throwing [1] - 186:25 thrown [1] - 128:22 Thursday [2] -204:24, 205:3 **THYSSEN** [1] - 1:8 ties [1] - 57:12 **TIMOTHY** [2] - 1:16, 2:15 tip [2] - 175:21, 176:1 titled [3] - 36:5, 162:1, 167:8 today [55] - 17:16, 18:2, 18:16, 22:9, 22:14, 23:6, 23:20, 24:5, 24:21, 24:24, 25:13, 26:19, 27:16, 28:7, 38:6, 38:7, 38:20, 38:24, 39:5, 47:17, 48:17, 56:10, 56:23, 59:18, 60:2, 63:9. 64:1. 72:8. 84:16, 86:21, 90:9, 92:13, 95:14, 104:7, 108:23, 108:24, 130:19, 130:22, 135:16, 145:11, 148:23, 150:22, 162:14, 173:11, 179:21, 186:25, 190:10, 200:7, 228:8, 228:10, 235:17, 244:19, 245:1, 245:10, 246:9 Todd [1] - 6:21 together [16] - 30:8, 42:21, 46:16, 55:23, 78:6, 78:22, 90:14, 90:16, 91:25, 92:10, 119:15, 120:5, 124:14, 131:18, 133:11, 210:15 **Tom** [1] - 95:8 Tony [8] - 49:22,

Ten [3] - 6:4, 26:10,

233:21

106:5

49:25, 50:4, 50:19, 52:20, 53:2, 69:1, 73:11 took [7] - 60:16, 125:3, 178:1, 185:17, 217:1, 217:4, 225:8 tools [2] - 223:3, 223:7 top [21] - 16:19, 19:9, 28:25, 29:2, 29:16, 37:25, 40:10, 40:25, 41:12, 46:11, 86:22, 88:10, 89:1, 118:5, 177:21, 183:5, 183:15, 204:23, 205:17, 207:6, 211:2 topics [3] - 109:1, 109:2, 109:5 total [3] - 41:7, 114:11, 187:13 touch [1] - 199:23 touching [1] - 247:13 towards [2] - 88:10, 131:24 tracked [1] - 228:22 tracking [1] - 201:8 trades [1] - 99:12 traditional [1] -224:19 traditionally [1] -16:25 trained [2] - 51:24, training [16] - 52:1, 52:6, 52:7, 138:23, 139:5, 201:23, 201:24, 202:6, 202:9, 202:13, 202:19, 202:22, 203:4, 216:1, 216:4, 216:5 transcript [14] - 4:8, 4:24, 15:11, 116:21, 117:14, 169:23, 175:3, 176:11, 176:22, 176:23, 181:17, 183:19, 191:5, 193:5 transcription [1] -247:17 transmit [1] - 86:15 travel [1] - 91:20 TRAVIS [1] - 1:8 treatment [1] -199:20 trends [1] - 30:4 Trends/ Proportionality [1] -24:18 trends/ proportionality [1] -

25:6 trial [4] - 105:1, 105:7, 105:10, 109:5 triangles [3] - 88:1, 88:11, 94:14 tried [1] - 182:4 trouble [1] - 220:19 Troupis [35] - 34:23, 34:24, 34:25, 75:13, 75:18, 75:20, 79:14, 79:16, 79:21, 80:21, 80:22, 81:13, 81:16, 82:4, 82:13, 84:23, 85:4, 85:8, 85:11, 85:15, 88:23, 119:8, 148:14, 150:17, 179:17, 180:4, 180:9, 180:22, 215:2, 233:24, 234:9, 234:13, 234:18, 235:8 true [9] - 11:4, 11:20, 106:20, 107:2, 107:8, 107:15, 116:24, 219:23, 247:18 truth [2] - 247:12, 247:13 trying [6] - 84:19, 172:13, 232:8, 232:11, 232:18, 238:6 turn [21] - 19:6, 29:15, 30:1, 62:17, 64:24, 70:23, 71:11, 81:11, 84:18, 84:21, 105:16, 106:1, 106:17, 108:6, 113:8, 113:14, 114:7, 136:7, 175:7, 185:4, 203:14 turned [3] - 9:9, 12:17, 172:21 turning [2] - 41:12, 212:12 two [40] - 16:23, 19:25, 20:13, 38:22, 68:7, 68:16, 68:19, 78:5, 79:10, 80:19, 82:8, 86:18, 87:17, 87:18, 90:1, 92:13, 94:18, 95:23, 140:14, 163:23, 166:15, 168:15, 198:3, 203:14, 203:22, 203:23, 204:23, 207:22, 208:15, 211:4, 212:13, 218:3, 218:6, 218:11, 218:23, 219:2, 219:5, 229:8, 237:10 **Two** [12] - 21:21,

29:17, 30:15, 30:17,

30:21, 30:24, 31:8,

31:17, 31:20, 76:25, 229:16, 229:17 type [4] - 40:6, 55:20, 67:15, 99:12 types [3] - 56:15, 86:7, 145:14 typewriting [1] -247:16 typically [2] - 54:9, 91:18

**U.S**[3] - 3:19, 3:21, 84:4 ultimately [8] - 8:22, 64:11, 85:18, 86:15, 144:21, 146:13, 229:6, 231:25 unavoidable [1] -181:25 unconstitutional [1] - 108:8 unconstitutionally [1] - 191:18 under [10] - 25:10, 50:19, 82:8, 173:24, 174:3, 183:3, 187:22, 220:6, 220:7, 245:10 Under [1] - 181:23 undergraduate [1] -98:12 underlies [1] - 30:20 underlying [8] -66:2, 66:11, 66:12, 67:8, 69:6, 69:7, 83:21, 94:10 underneath [1] -19:25 underpopulated [1] -221:3 understandings [1] -16:9 understood [1] - 8:8 undertaken [1] -240:2 undertook [1] -217:11 **UNITED** [1] - 1:1 United [2] - 5:6, 230:6 University [1] - 98:1 unnecessarily [1] -107:18 unnecessary [1] -

111:1, 113:21, 135:25, 138:5, 143:10, 148:22, 157:5, 171:24, 185:20, 196:1, 199:22, 204:23, 204:24, 205:3, 205:10, 205:23, 214:17, 217:6, 230:19, 238:11, 243:19, 244:17 updated [4] - 60:11, 62:14, 72:19, 97:16 upper [1] - 43:10 usage [2] - 230:7, 232:21 uses [3] - 83:16, 87:4, 142:5

### V

vacation [1] - 197:6

vague [5] - 70:3,

122:20, 183:8,

184:24, 230:4 **VAN**[1] - 6:10 Van [35] - 49:22, 49:25, 50:5, 50:19, 50:22, 51:6, 52:11, 52:15, 52:20, 52:23, 53:2, 53:10, 54:22, 56:5, 56:15, 57:7, 57:11, 57:25, 58:3, 58:11, 59:15, 60:25, 61:19, 61:24, 62:4, 62:11, 62:21, 69:1, 69:2, 71:22, 73:7, 73:12, 102:4, 102:10, 102:12 **VARA**[1] - 2:9 various [11] - 8:14, 42:24, 46:20, 93:2, 101:11, 133:1, 133:25, 143:16, 149:12, 216:3, 222:3 **VERA** [1] - 1:4 **Version** [1] - 139:2 version [6] - 51:18, 89:23, 197:11, 206:13, 208:10, 229:3 versions [6] - 132:2, 133:25, 134:15, 206:12, 218:9, 224:14 versus [6] - 47:23, 170:19, 171:24, 172:22, 173:4, 199:10 Video [1] - 6:22 video [1] - 170:5 VIDEOTAPE [2] -

117:8, 117:11 view [1] - 120:15 **Vis** [1] - 143:13 vitae [1] - 97:15 Voces [1] - 5:25 **VOCES** [1] - 2:8 **VOCKE** [2] - 1:16, 2:15 volunteer [1] - 104:1 Vos [7] - 35:11, 125:12, 125:18, 146:6, 147:12, 147:13, 153:3 vote [3] - 107:20, 238:21, 238:23 voter [4] - 108:8, 182:8, 182:21, 182:25 voters [9] - 107:17, 108:9, 182:13, 182:17, 183:16, 184:4, 184:21, 185:8, 220:4 **voting** [36] - 41:5, 43:9, 77:5, 175:20, 175:25, 187:25, 189:17, 213:2, 213:3, 213:8, 213:9, 229:21, 230:7, 230:14, 231:3, 231:18, 231:20, 232:5, 232:12, 232:13, 232:20, 233:12, 238:3, 238:8, 238:15, 238:17, 238:18, 238:19, 238:20, 239:2, 239:3, 239:8, 239:16, 240:1, 240:11, 240:18 Voting [8] - 215:24, 216:2, 216:6, 216:8, 216:12, 216:13, 216:19, 216:24 **VPN**[1] - 49:20 **Vrakas** [1] - 103:8 VTDS [1] - 63:16

1:18, 5:1

videotape [2] -

#### W

wait [4] - 98:18, 140:3, 146:1, 191:23 walking [1] - 58:4 WARA [1] - 2:9 ward [9] - 63:14, 63:16, 63:18, 66:2, 66:6, 66:8, 138:2, 140:4, 191:11 wards [10] - 107:11, 138:11, 138:14,

**up** [29] - 36:24,

39:13, 73:2, 78:19,

82:21, 99:8, 103:12,

108:7

138:15, 138:16, 140:18, 140:21, 191:24, 192:17, 192:25 Washington [2] -202:1, 202:6 watched [1] - 117:11 Water [1] - 6:10 Waukesha [3] -103:8, 168:10, 169:3 ways [1] - 16:1 **Web** [2] - 49:16, 49:19 Wednesday [1] -50:6 week [2] - 197:4, 197:6 weekly [1] - 130:3 weeks [4] - 13:24, 130:4, 196:25 West [1] - 6:7 wherein [1] - 5:3 whereof [1] - 248:1 White [1] - 50:15 Whitewater [1] - 98:2 whole [3] - 19:25, 107:11, 222:5 **wholly** [3] - 58:21, 89:17, 218:15 WI [1] - 6:23 Wielen [32] - 49:22, 49:25, 50:5, 50:19, 50:22, 51:6, 52:11, 52:15, 52:20, 52:23, 53:2, 53:10, 54:23, 56:5, 56:15, 57:7, 57:11, 57:25, 58:3, 58:11, 59:15, 60:25, 61:20, 61:24, 62:4, 62:11, 62:21, 69:1, 69:2, 71:22, 73:7, 73:12 Wild [2] - 198:3, 198:21 wild [7] - 198:6, 199:2, 200:4, 200:12, 200:24, 201:3, 201:12 willing [1] - 237:8 WISCONSIN [3] -1:1, 6:7, 247:1 Wisconsin [54] -1:13, 1:20, 2:1, 2:12, 2:16, 5:4, 5:7, 5:9, 5:12, 5:20, 5:24, 6:4, 6:7, 6:11, 6:14, 6:14, 6:18, 6:18, 6:19, 8:10, 8:22, 18:20, 42:16, 66:22, 68:5, 68:23, 69:24, 70:15, 76:2, 84:15, 94:12, 98:2,

101:17, 102:14, 102:16, 102:20, 167:2, 167:5, 185:19, 197:18, 197:24, 199:9, 199:12, 199:18, 201:9, 201:17, 202:19, 203:3, 206:20, 206:22, 228:20, 247:5, 247:10, 248:5 Wisconsin-Whitewater [1] - 98:2 Wisconsin.edu [1] -50:21 WisconsinEye [1] -117:10 wit [2] - 240:17, 247:11 withdraw [1] -240:21

withheld [10] - 23:13, 24:13, 25:16, 26:23, 27:20, 28:10, 56:9, 130:21, 244:7, 245:21 Witness [1] - 3:2 WITNESS [1] -214:19 witness [21] - 3:14, 5:2, 7:17, 9:16, 45:4, 65:20, 67:5, 67:25, 68:17, 105:2, 105:7, 105:10, 127:4, 146:18, 150:6, 152:13, 159:23, 235:5, 235:9, 247:18, 248:1 witnesses [3] -117:17, 117:23, 158:4

Wolff [3] - 53:3, 73:9, 73:14
word [4] - 170:20, 195:15, 231:7, 232:19
words [5] - 83:4, 137:5, 172:25, 232:20, 237:7
works [2] - 50:16, 74:2
workstation [1] -

WMC [1] - 42:16

workstation [1] 100:11
workstations [2] 100:9, 100:10
world [1] - 221:5
writing [1] - 242:21
written [6] - 28:20,
39:4, 181:8, 181:11,
221:8, 245:24
wrote [1] - 217:19

Υ

year [9] - 43:11, 97:14, 98:16, 98:18, 101:24, 102:6, 102:22, 115:4, 123:10 years [5] - 42:25, 115:19, 171:24, 172:23, 233:22 yesterday [5] -96:19, 135:21, 163:24, 203:10, 203:13 Ylvisaker [3] - 50:12, 53:1, 73:21 yourself [2] - 43:25, 56:18

Ζ

Zamarripa [3] - 88:6, 233:15, 243:8 Zepnick [1] - 88:4 zero [2] - 172:25 Zeus [6] - 37:9, 180:20, 180:24, 181:2, 181:6, 181:13 zip [6] - 72:5, 72:8, 72:9, 72:10, 72:12, 72:15 Zipperer [7] - 35:14, 35:16, 143:14, 146:6, 147:18, 147:19, 153:4 Zipperer's [1] - 39:1